

13 December 2013 [23–13]

Administrative Assessment Report – Application A1092

Irradiation of Specific Fruits

| Date received: 25 October 2013 Date due for completion of administrative assessment: 18 November 2013 Date completed: 13 November 2013 | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Applicant: Qld Department of Agriculture, Fisheries & Forestry | | Potentially affected Standard: Standard 1.5.3 | |
| Brief description of Application: | | | |
| To seek permission to irradiate 11 specific fruits (apple, apricot, cherry, nectarine, peach, plum, honeydew, rockmelon, strawberry, table grape and zucchini/scallopini (squash) for phytosanitary purposes. | | | |
| Procedure: | Estimated total hours: | Estimated start | |
| General | Up to 650 hours | work: | |
| | | March 2014 | |
| | Reasons why: | | |
| | The assessment will require an updated nutrition assessment of greater than average complexity. There will also need to be consideration of risk management options commensurate with the risk assessment findings and legal drafting. | | |

Decision

Application accepted

Date: 13 November 2013

Has the Applicant requested confidential commercial information status?

Yes No√

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together?

Yes No 🗸

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the Applicant?

Yes No 🗸

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No**√** Not known

Application Handbook requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the Application Handbook apply to this Application? 3.1.1, 3.5.3 Is the checklist completed? Yes√ No Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines? Yes√ No Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure? Yes√ No Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted? Yes No√ Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application? Yes**√** (in letter and checklist, not in Application) No If yes, indicate which Procedure: General Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

Consultation & assessment timeframe

Proposed length of public consultation period: 6 weeks

| Proposed timeframe for assessment: | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| 'Early Bird Notification' due: 11 December 2013 | | | |
| Commence assessment (clock start) | early May 2014 | | |
| Completion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measure | early Sept 2014 | | |
| Public comment | mid-Sept – late Oct 2014 | | |
| Board to complete approval | early Feb 2015 | | |
| Notification to Forum | mid-Feb 2015 | | |
| Anticipated gazettal if no review requested | late April 2015 | | |