

To whom it may concern,

I am writing a submission for the Safety assessment of application A1085.

The use of genetically modified lucerne line KK179 in animal feed specifically the risks of poor regulation of such experiments in food production.

The safety assessment 'Background' information shows precisely why this application should be rejected Quote:'

'It is not intended that KK179 enter the food supply. However, a food approval is sought in case this inadvertently occurs'.

Is this what passes for science?... Is this what we have to provide assurance to the public.No wonder confidence in this shonky science is at an all time low.

'This safety assessment report addresses only food safety and nutrition issues associated with this GM line.It therefore does not address'

- Environmental risks related to the environmental release of GM plants used in food production
- The safety of animal feed or animals fed with feed derived from GM plants'

How is this NOT a food safety and nutrition issue!

The conclusion states:

'No potential public health and safety concerns have been identified in the assessment of lucerne line KK179

. On the basis of the data provided in the present Application, and

Other available information, food derived from lucerne line KK179 is considered to be as safe for human consumption as food derived from conventional lucerne cultivars'

This is not a conclusion based on independent science, and in no way reassures me that Food Standards Australia, New Zealand are a creditable organization

Sincerely,

Stefan Tupper