

Food Standards Australia New Zealand submissions@foodstandards.gov.au

Name Paul Narva

Address [REDACTED]

Tel [REDACTED]

Re: Application A1073 - Food derived from herbicide-tolerant soybean line DAS-44406-6

Dear FSANZ,

I ask that FSANZ withdraw the application A1073 until the requisite safety tests have been

conducted. As a consumer I need to know if the GM DAS 4406-6 will be safe for my family to

eat. I am highly concerned that you have been misleading in saying that you have fully

assessed, along the best scientific grounds, the safety of this food. I now know that there

are no feeding studies conducted on the soybean or any components of the whole food.

Without this information there is no way that FSANZ can carry out its risk assessment on

A1073.

I note that Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) values and responsibilities are to protect,

the health and safety of people in Australasia and New Zealand through the maintenance of a safe

food supply using the best available sciences and evidence to guide decision making and being

responsive to the issues raised by others, to enable better consumer choice by undertaking dietary

exposure modeling and scientific risk assessments on GM foods.

Based on a reading of the assessments on A1073 that are provided on your website I

believe that

you have fallen short of your duty of care in relation to this application. The soybean line contains

novel genes that have never been considered by FSANZ.

☐

☐ FSANZ has not followed its legislated, Codex or OECD guidelines for risk assessment over

significant changes of nutrient, protein, carbohydrates and anti nutrients in A1073.

☐ Applicant information provided on safety is insufficient for assessment & approval into the food chain.

☐ There have been no feeding studies to show if there is risk from novel DNA or pesticides applied to the whole soybean, A1073 (DAS 44406-6 event).

☐ There is a lack of scientific data necessary to protect and maintain a safe food supply for the

health and safety of people in Australia and New Zealand.

☐ The reliance on the applicant's data has not shown impartiality, openness and accountability.

☐

☐ FSANZ has not provided information to consumers that will enable better consumer choice.

Over the last year there have been some highly concerning studies published in relation to safety,

(Seralini. G-E., Clair. E., Mesnage. R., Gress. S., Defarge. N., Malatesta. M., Hennequin. D. and de

Vendomois. JS. (2012) Long term toxicity of a Roundup herbicide and a Roundup-tolerant genetically modified maize. Food and Chemical Toxicity Vol: 50, (11) 4221–4231) that highlight the potential of real danger from A1073 components.

Yours sincerely, Paul Narva