

## Submission - P1007 Primary Production & Processing Requirements for Raw Milk Products

from MDU Public Health Laboratory, University of Melbourne.

We note that the stated objectives are

- consistency through national Standards and the permission to allow the domestic production of products that are currently permitted for import
- replacement of current references to foreign legislation and
- consideration of applications for extensions to permissions in the Code for raw milk products
- public health and safety.

FSANZ proposes to consider the risk to public health and safety from raw milk products through the Category Framework below

Category	Raw milk products	Comments
1	In which pathogens are eliminated	Very hard cheeses currently permitted under Standard 1.6.2
2	Where pathogens may survive but not grow	Require a combination of control measures & verification activities for safety
3	Where pathogens survive and grow	This is a high risk category.

Our comments relate specifically to public health and safety:

1. Pasteurisation of milk is recognised as an effective means of inactivating pathogens that may be present. We believe, along with others in the scientific community (Schmidt & Davidson 2008) that this process confers safety on a product that is often contaminated and is a good medium for growth of pathogens.

2. We recognise, however, that product safety is the result of a combination of the intrinsic properties (such as pH, water activity, salt content) and the processes that it has undergone. Thus, we agree that products under Category 1, when properly produced, controlled and handled hygienically, *should* not generally pose a risk to public health and safety.

Johnson *et al* (1990) found that there were no outbreaks linked to very hard Italian cheeses such as Parmesan, but there have been reports of outbreaks that have been linked to the consumption of aged cheeses including Cheddar and Gouda (D'Aoust *et al* 1985; Honish *et al* 2005; Anon 2008). The processes may not be adequate to eliminate pathogens if they are present in high numbers in the raw milk. Further, Altekruze (1998) has observed that *Salmonella*, *E. coli* O157:H7 and *L. monocytogenes* may survive the curing processes of these hard cheeses. Thus, permission must be tied to some assurance that the milk used is of good microbiological quality.

3. Products under Category 3 cannot be permitted as the risk is amplified during their manufacture and storage.
4. Category 2 products, by implication, should only be conditionally approved for sale to the general population as low numbers of pathogens may be present from time to time

Blanket approvals for each class may not be appropriate as controls for each cheese will vary depending on the supplier/source of raw milk, its composition and process. Verification of the effectiveness of control measures together with an understanding of the potential hazards, must occur throughout the process. But as the predictive value of product testing is subject to the sampling plan used, this approach is not fail-safe.

Extract from Pastore *et al* 2008:

*For the specific dairy product involved in this outbreak, routine investigations for bacterial contamination are performed in white cheese (early stage of production) whereas in ripened cheeses, at the latest stage of production, only controls for listeria are routinely done. Since bacterial contamination may*

*occur at any stage of the production, in order to prevent further outbreaks linked to soft cheese "brand X" and similar dairy product we concluded that testing for salmonella should be systematically performed also in fully ripened cheeses, at the latest stage of production. Therefore, in Switzerland, the HACCP monitoring programme and the clearing procedures for the release of products on the market have been revised to intensify the measures aimed at preventing the risk of salmonella infections during production and ripening of cheese.*

5. Survival of pathogens in Category 2 cheeses could potentially result in the transmission of
  - a. resistant bacteria. The prevalence of resistant salmonellae among isolates from farmed (mostly dairy) cattle averaged 14% from 1994 to 2003. While resistant isolates were rarely reported from milk-related sources, they have been reported from isolates from goats (mostly from carcasses) (Handford *et al* 2006).
  - b. pathogens with low infective doses such as Shiga-toxigenic *E. coli* and *Coxiella burnetii*. Cobbold & Desmarchelier (2000) detected STECs in 16.7% of faecal sampled from dairy cattle in Australia. Q fever, caused by *C. burnetii* is a recognised zoonosis in Australia. In 2006, some 400 cases were notified in Australia, with cases mostly from Queensland and New South Wales (Begg *et al* 2008). Transmission via raw milk was historically a very important route of infection.
6. Cross-contamination is harder to control in premises where raw milk products are produced. Pathogens have been shown to grow on aged mould-ripened soft cheeses (D'Amico *et al* 2008; Tan *et al* 2008).

## References

Altekruse SF, Timbo BB, Mowbray JC, Bean NH, Potter ME. 1998. Cheese-associated outbreaks of human illness in the United States, 1973 to 1992: Sanitary manufacturing practices protect consumers. *J Food Protect.* 61:1405-7.

Anon 2008. Outbreak of Multidrug-Resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotype Newport Infections Associated with Consumption of Unpasteurized Mexican-Style Aged Cheese --- Illinois, March 2006--April 2007. *MMWR.* 57(16):432-435

Begg K, Roche P, Owen R, *et al.* 2008. Australia's notifiable disease status, 2006: Annual report of the National Notifiable Disease Surveillance System. *CDI.* 32(2):139-207. Available at [http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/Publishing.nsf/Content/cda-cdi3202-pdf-cnt.htm/\\$FILE/cdi3202a.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/Publishing.nsf/Content/cda-cdi3202-pdf-cnt.htm/$FILE/cdi3202a.pdf)

D'Auost J-Y, Warburton DW, Sewell AM. 1985. *Salmonella* Typhimurium phage type 10 from Cheddar cheese implicated in a major Canadian foodborne outbreak. *Journal of Food Protection* 48, 1062-1066.

D'Amico DJ, Druart MJ, Donnelly CW. 2008 60-day aging requirement does not ensure safety of surface-mold-ripened soft cheeses manufactured from raw or pasteurized milk when *Listeria monocytogenes* is introduced as a postprocessing contaminant. *Journal of Food Protection* 71, 1563-1571.

Handford S, Veitch M, Lightfoot D, Powling J, Hogg , Davos D. 2006 Antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* from human and non-human sources in Australia: A report based on data from the Microbiological Diagnostic Unit Public Health Laboratory (1994 to 2003) and the Institute of Medical and Veterinary Science (2003). Report to the Commonwealth Department of Health and Aging, Canberra.

Honish L, Predy G, Hislop N *et al.* 2005. An outbreak of *E. coli* O157:H7 hemorrhagic colitis associated with unpasteurized gouda cheese. *Can J. Public Health*, 96:182-4.

Johnson EA, Nelson JN, Johnson ME. 1990. Microbiological safety of cheese made from heat treated milk, Part 1. Executive summary, introduction and history. *Journal of Food Protection* 53, 441-452.

Pastore R, *et al.* 2008. Outbreak of *Salmonella* serovar Stanley infections in Switzerland linked to locally produced soft cheese. *Eurosurveillance* 13(11): 1-6. Available at <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=18979>. Accessed on 16/9/08)

Schmidt RH, Davidson PM. 2008. Milk Pasteurization and the consumption of raw milk in the United States. IAFP Position Statement. *Food Protection Trends*, 45-47.

Tan A, Beaton S, Dimovski K, Hogg G, di Paola V, Haines H. 2008. Pathogen survival in portioned retail soft cheeses. *Australian Journal of Dairy Technology*, 63:39-44.