

# 11 September 2009 [15-09]

## **APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT**

A1032	Date Received: 29 July 2009  Date Due for completion of Administrative Assessment: 19 August 2009  Date Administrative Assessment Completed: 19 August 2009			
Applicant: FrieslandCampina Domo BV via Brooke-Taylor & Co Pty Ltd			Potentially Affected Standard in the Code:	
Title: ß-Galactosidase as a Processing Aid (Enzyme)			1.3.3	
source for ß-Gala		To seek approval for a new microbial ed from <i>Bacillus circulans</i> , for use in the les.		
Procedure: General		Cost Category (General Procedure): Level 1	Estimated start work (based on payment of fees):	
Reasons why: This processing aid is already permitted from other bacteria sources. The applicant is requesting a variation of a food regulatory measure to change the permissions for a currently used processing aid.		Estimated total hours (General Procedure): Up to 500 hours	Late August 2009	
		Reasons why:		
		the processing aid is already permitted from other sources		
		<ul> <li>a safety and food technology assessment is required</li> </ul>		
	the risk management options are anticipated to be straight-forward			
	the amendment being sought is expected to have limited social and economic impact			
		it grants a permission involving a pre-market safety assessment similar to a previous assessment		
		it involves an assessment of the risk to public health and safety of average complexity		

#### **DECISION**

Application accepted

Date: 19 August 2009

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes ✓ No

What documents are affected?

Attachment 2

Has the Applicant provided justification for Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes ✓ No

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No ✓

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No ✓

#### Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No ✓

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes 

✓ (Fees received 31 August 2009)

No Not known

### Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guideline within the Part 3 of the Application Handbook apply to this Application:

3.1 General requirements

3.3.2 Processing Aids

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guideline/s?

Yes ✓ No

Is the checklist completed?

Yes ✓ No

What information is not provided?

N/A

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes ✓ No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No ✓

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes ✓ No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

#### **CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME**

**Consultation Strategy:** 

Proposed length of public consultation period:

General Procedure (6 weeks)

Community Involvement Category:

5

Case of minor significance or complexity or with little adverse impact on individual interests

Proposed Timeframe for Assessment (based on the stated intent of it being a paid application):

'Early Bird Notification' due: 7 September 2009

General Procedure:

Commence Assessment (clock start)
Completion of Assessment

Public comment

Board to complete Approval Notification to Ministerial Council Anticipated gazettal if no review requested 31 August 2009 Early December 2009

Mid-December – Early February 2010

Mid-May 2010 Late May 2010 Early August 2010