

Imported food risk statement

Bivalve molluscs and saxitoxin-group toxins

Scope: Saxitoxin-group toxins in bivalve molluscs. This includes whole or portions of bivalve molluscs that are fresh, frozen, dried or canned, such as cockles, clams, mussels, oysters and scallops.

The following products are excluded and not covered by this risk statement:

- Cephalopod molluscs (e.g squid, octopus, cuttlefish) and jelly fish
- Scallops or pearl oysters where the only part of the product consumed is the adductor muscle (i.e. roe off)

Recommendation and rationale

Do saxitoxin-group toxins in imported bivalve molluscs present a potential medium or high risk to public health:

🗹 Yes

 \Box No

Rationale:

- Paralytic shellfish toxins (PST) are a group of over 50 related analogues of saxitoxin, which are heat stable toxins naturally produced by ocean dwelling algae.
- PST consumed in bivalve molluscs can cause paralytic shellfish poisoning (PSP).
- Symptoms of PSP vary from a slight tingling or numbness to complete respiratory paralysis.
- Fatalities resulting from PSP intoxication have been reported.
- On the basis of the severity of disease, and available prevalence data, the risk to public health in Australia is currently considered medium to high.

General description

Nature of the toxin:

Paralytic shellfish toxins (PST) are a group of over 50 related analogues of saxitoxin that vary in toxicity^{1–3}.

PST are produced by a range of unicellular dinoflagellate algae (generally from the *Alexandrium*, *Pyrodinium* or *Gymnodinium* genus) and one type of blue-green algae^{4,5}.

The levels of PST-producing dinoflagellates that exist in an ecosystem fluctuates and is influenced by factors such as temperature, sunlight, available nutrients and shipping activities in the area, including the release of ballast water^{6–8}.

Toxin accumulation is lower in the adductor muscle, minimising risk from PSP in bivalves consumed roe-off^{5,9}.

Toxin clearance (depuration) rates of PST vary between bivalve species, and in some cases the toxin can persist for up to two years after exposure to a harmful bloom of PST-producing algae⁵.

PST is not diminished by rinsing, cleaning or freezing. There is limited evidence that PST will partly degrade at high temperatures and pressures, and there are reports that PST levels are reduced during the process of canning^{9–11}. A small amount of PST can leach out of bivalves into the cooking medium, which can reduce the exposure to PST if the cooking medium is subsequently discarded⁹.

FSANZ provides risk assessment advice to the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment on the level of public health risk associated with certain foods. For more information on how food is regulated in Australia refer to the <u>FSANZ website</u> or for information on how imported food is managed refer to the <u>Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment website</u>.

Adverse health effects:

People affected by PSP show symptoms that may include^{12–14}:

- numbness or tingling around the mouth, face or extremities
- unsteady walking, weakness and clumsiness
- slurred/unclear speech
- dizziness/vertigo or double vision
- difficulty breathing, swallowing and paralysis.

Deaths have been reported in otherwise healthy adults as a result of PSP. The proportion of PSP cases that will result in death is significantly reduced when a local healthcare system is equipped to manage the paralytic symptoms and any resultant respiratory failure until the toxin is cleared¹⁴.

In less severe cases, symptoms generally resolve within a few days. The onset of symptoms associated with PSP is variable and dependent on the amount of PST consumed. Effects can be observed rapidly within minutes or, in less severe cases, hours^{12–14}.

Consumption patterns:

In the 2011 – 2012 Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey (part of the 2011 – 2013 Australian Health Survey), <1 % of children (aged 2 – 16 years), <1 % of adults (aged 17 – 69 years) and <1% of people aged 70 and above, reported consumption of bivalve molluscs (Australian Bureau of Statistics 2011)¹⁵.

High level consumers of bivalve molluscs in Australia (97.5 percentile) consumed approximately 250 grams per day per consumer (across the whole population 2+ years)¹⁵.

Mixed foods that contained bivalve molluscs and canned products were excluded from the analysis.

In the 2018-19 Australian Consumption of Selected Foodstuffs¹⁴, the apparent daily consumption of crustacean and molluscs was estimated to be 2.1g per capita¹⁶.

Risk factors and risk mitigation

Key risk factors:

- Consumption of bivalve molluscs (fresh or processed) from:
 - o global regions where blooms of PST-producing microorganism species have been identified
 - o areas where ballast water taken from areas historically associated with PSP outbreaks, has been discharged⁶.
- There is a risk that PSP outbreaks will occur as a result of seafood produced in areas without a history of PSTproducing algal blooms. The unpredictable occurrence and distribution of these events is linked with changing global temperatures and human activities^{6,7,17}.

Risk mitigation strategies:

- Batch testing samples of bivalve molluscs to verify that PST levels meet the Code specified ML of ≤0.8 mg saxitoxin eq/kg of mollusc flesh¹⁸.
- Seafood management plans that monitor commercial and recreational harvesting sites for PST and associated algal species.
- Signage at sites historically associated with PSP outbreaks, warning of the risk of consuming bivalve molluscs (warning may need to be in several languages to allow for recreational harvest by tourists).

Surveillance information:

Food poisoning events associated with PSP is a notifiable condition in most states and territories in Australia, and in New Zealand. There are no documented cases of PSP in Australia as a result of imported bivalve molluscs.

In the period 2017/18, according to the then Australian Department of Agriculture, approximately 80% of imported bivalves into Australia came from either China (55%), Chile (16%) or Japan (8%)¹⁹. All three countries have documented cases of PSP resulting from the consumption of local bivalve molluscs (refer to Table A1, Appendix 1).

Determining regulatory limits for PST in bivalve molluscs varies between countries. This occurs because of differences in reporting units (specifying free base or the dihydrochloride salt in the maximum levels) or by applying different toxicity equivalence factors when calculating the contribution saxitoxin equivalents²⁰.

General description

Illness associated with consumption of bivalve molluscs contaminated with PST

On the basis of a search of the scientific literature via PubMed, US CDC National Outbreak Reporting System Online Database (NORS)²¹, European Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed online consumer portal (RASFF)²² and other publications up to April 2021, it appears that PSP outbreaks associated with consumption of bivalve molluscs are relatively rare, but are serious life threatening events (refer to Table A1, Appendix 1).

Data on the prevalence of PST in bivalve molluscs

There are a number of ongoing programs worldwide to monitor the levels of biotoxins in shellfish and phytoplankton levels in harvesting waters. Nevertheless, recall events still occur. Between 2011 and 2020, there were 26 recalls due to PST across all seafood in Australia²³ and 15 notifications on the European Commission's RASFF portal referencing PST in bivalve molluscs²².

Standards or guidelines

Australia and New Zealand

Schedule S19-5 of the Australian New Zealand Food Standard Code specifies a maximum level of 0.8 mg/kg of saxitoxinequivalents in bivalve molluscs. This deviates from the maximum level specified by Codex, which includes the mass of the dihydrochloride salt when calculating the maximum limit^{18,24}.

The use of the FAO/WHO Toxicity Equivalence Factors is specified in the Australian Seafood Quality Assurance Program (ASQAP) manual, which is the Australian national guideline for monitoring marine biotoxins²⁵.

New Zealand

The Ministry of Primary Industries specified a maximum limit of ≤ 0.8 mg saxitoxin.2HCl equivalents/kg of edible portion, which is consistent with the maximum limit specified by Codex^{24,26}.

Codex

Codex Standard 292-2008 for live and raw bivalve molluscs specifies a ML of ≤ 0.8 mg saxitoxin.2HCl equivalents/kg of mollusc flesh for PST. The codex standard does not specify the toxicity equivalence factors²⁴.

The following Codex Standards are also relevant in the prevention of PSP from consumption of bivalve molluscs:

- Codex general principles of food hygiene (CXC 1-1969)
- Codex code of practice for fish and fishery products (CXC 52-2003)
- Codex guidelines for the sensory evaluation of fish and shellfish in laboratories (CXG 31-1999)

Other countries

USA - National Shellfish Sanitation Program Guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish specifies a guidance/action level of ≤0.8 mg saxitoxin equivalents/kg for bivalve shellfish²⁷.

European Union (EU) countries – Chapter 5 of Regulation (EC) No. 853/2004, gives a maximum limit of 0.8 mg saxitoxin equivalents/kg, measured in whole body or any part edible separately²⁸.

Europe – the European Food Safety Authority (2009) established an acute reference dose of 0.5 µg saxitoxin equivalents/kg bw²⁹.

Management approaches used by overseas countries

New Zealand - has specific monitoring programmes for both recreationally and commercially harvested shellfish, which includes monitoring at specified sites for saxitoxin-group toxins and associated phytoplankton species²⁶.

USA – The National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP) Guide for the Control of Molluscan shellfish; 2019 revision requires as a minimum, a contingency plan for proactive management for PST. A management plan is required where there is a history of closure due to PSP incidents or saxitoxin-group toxin producing phytoplankton are known to occur in the growing area²⁷

Chile – The Food Safety and Certification Manual outlines the standards and procedures to ensure safety in fishery and aquaculture products in Chile³⁰. The Canadian government audited the Chilean bivalve mollusc sanitation program in 2018, concluding that the system in Chile is effective at managing food safety risk associated with bivalve molluscs³¹.

Management approaches used by overseas countries

China – No details of monitoring or management programmes for biotoxins in bivalves harvested in China were found in a literature search.

This risk statement was compiled in: June 2021

References

- 1 Kwong RWM, Wang W-X, Lam PKS, Yu PKN. The uptake, distribution and elimination of paralytic shellfish toxins in mussels and fish exposed to toxic dinoflagellates. *Aquat Toxicol* 2006; **80:** 82–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2006.07.016</u>.
- 2 Munday R, Reeve J. Risk assessment of shellfish toxins. *Toxins (Basel)* 2013; **5:** 2109–37. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins5112109.
- 3 Thottumkara AP, Parsons WH, Du Bois J. Saxitoxin. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 2014; **53:** 5760–84. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201308235.
- 4 Grattan LM, Holobaugh S, Morris JG. Harmful algal blooms and public health. *Harmful Algae* 2016; **57:** 2–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2016.05.003.
- 5 Bricelj VM, Shumway SE. Paralytic Shellfish Toxins in Bivalve Molluscs: Occurrence, Transfer Kinetics, and Biotransformation. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 1998; **6:** 315–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10641269891314294</u>.
- 6 Hallegraeff GM. Transport of toxic dinoflagellates via ships' ballast water:bioeconomic risk assessment and efficacy of possible ballast water management strategies. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 1998; **168**: 297–309. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/meps168297</u>.
- 7 Gobler CJ, Doherty OM, Hattenrath-Lehmann TK, Griffith AW, Kang Y, Litaker RW. Ocean warming since 1982 has expanded the niche of toxic algal blooms in the North Atlantic and North Pacific oceans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2017; **114**: 4975–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1619575114</u>.
- 8 Tobin ED, Wallace CL, Crumpton C, Johnson G, Eckert GL. Environmental drivers of paralytic shellfish toxin producing Alexandrium catenella blooms in a fjord system of northern Southeast Alaska. *Harmful Algae* 2019; 88: 101659. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.101659</u>.
- 9 Wong C-K, Hung P, Lee KLH, Mok T, Kam K-M. Effect of steam cooking on distribution of paralytic shellfish toxins in different tissue compartments of scallops Patinopecten yessoensis. *Food Chemistry* 2009; **114**: 72–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.09.018</u>.
- 10 Berenguer JA, Gonzalez L, Jimenez I, Legarda TM, Olmedo JB, Burdaspal PA. The effect of commercial processing on the paralytic shellfish poison (PSP) content of naturally-contaminated Acanthocardia tuberculatum L. *Food Addit Contam* 1993; 10: 217–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02652039309374144</u>.
- 11 Vieites JM, Botana LM, Vieytes MR, Leira FJ. Canning process that diminishes paralytic shellfish poison in naturally contaminated mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis). *J Food Prot* 1999; **62:** 515–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-62.5.515</u>.
- 12 Gessner BD, Bell P, Doucette GJ, et al. Hypertension and identification of toxin in human urine and serum following a cluster of mussel-associated paralytic shellfish poisoning outbreaks. *Toxicon* 1997; **35:** 711–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0041-0101(96)00154-7</u>.
- 13 García C, del Carmen Bravo M, Lagos M, Lagos N. Paralytic shellfish poisoning: post-mortem analysis of tissue and body fluid samples from human victims in the Patagonia fjords. *Toxicon* 2004; **43**: 149–58. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2003.11.018</u>.
- 14 García C, Lagos M, Truan D, et al. Human intoxication with paralytic shellfish toxins: clinical parameters and toxin analysis in plasma and urine. *Biol Res* 2005; **38:** 197–205. <u>https://doi.org/10.4067/s0716-97602005000200009</u>.
- 15 Australian Bureau of Statistics. National Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey, 2012-13. Canberra 2014.
- 16 Australian Bureau of Statistics. Apparent Consumption of Selected Foodstuffs, Australia 2018-19. Canberra 2020. <u>https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/health/health-conditions-and-risks/apparent-consumption-selected-foodstuffs-australia/latest-release</u> (accessed June 2020).
- 17 Griffith AW, Gobler CJ. Harmful algal blooms: A climate change co-stressor in marine and freshwater ecosystems. *Harmful Algae* 2020; **91:** 101590. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2019.03.008</u>.
- 18 Food Standards Australia New Zealand. Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code. Schedule 19 Maximum levels of contaminants and natural toxicants. Canberra. <u>https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2017C00333/</u> (accessed April 2021).
- 19 Department of Agriculture and Water Resources. Proposed changes to food safety import requirements for bivalve molluscs. Canberra 2018. <u>https://haveyoursay.awe.gov.au/41955/widgets/226136/documents/94231</u> (accessed April 2021).
- 20 Turnbull AR, Harwood DT, Boundy MJ, et al. Paralytic shellfish toxins Call for uniform reporting units. *Toxicon* 2020; **178**: 59–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2020.02.018</u>.
- 21 U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Outbreak Reporting System. April 2021 (accessed <u>https://wwwn.cdc.gov/norsdashboard/</u>).

- 22 RASFF the Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed. European Commission. <u>https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/rasff-window/portal/?event=SearchByKeyword&NewSearch=1&Keywords=paralytic%20shellfish</u> (accessed April 2021).
- 23 Food Standards Australia New Zealand. Food Recall Statistics (Australia only). Canberra/Wellington 2021. <u>https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/industry/foodrecalls/recallstats/Pages/default.aspx</u> (accessed April 2021).
- 24 Codex Alimentarius. Standard for live and Raw Bivalve Molluscs. CXS 292-2008. FAO/WHO. <u>http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/codex-texts/list-standards/en/</u> (accessed April 2021).
- 25 Australian Shellfish Quality Assurance Advisory Committee. The Australian Shellfish Quality Assurance Program (ASQAP) -Operations Manual 2019. <u>https://www.safefish.com.au/-/media/fish-safefish/report-images/manuals-and-guidelines/asqaap-manual.ashx</u> (accessed April 2021).
- 26 NZ Ministry for primary Industries. Animal Products Notice: Regulated Control Scheme Bivalve Molluscan Shellfish for Human Consumption. Wellington 2018.
- 27 US Food and Drug Administration. National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP). Guide for the control of molluscan shellfish 2019 Revision. <u>https://www.fda.gov/food/federalstate-food-programs/national-shellfish-sanitation-program-nssp</u> (accessed April 2021).
- 28 The European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. REGULATION (EC) No 853/2004. Chapter V: Health Standards for Bivalve Molluscs. The European Parliament and the Council of the European Union.
- 29 Marine biotoxins in shellfish Saxitoxin group. EFSA Journal 2009; 7: 447. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1019.
- 30 SERNAPESCA. Food Safety and Certification Manual. Ministry of Economy, Development and Tourism, Government of Chile: Ministry of Economy, Development and Tourism, Government of Chile 2018. <u>http://www.sernapesca.cl/english</u> (accessed April 2021).
- 31 Government of Canada. Canadian Audit of the Chilean Bivalve Mollusc Sanitation Program Final Report 2018. <u>https://inspection.canada.ca/importing-food-plants-or-animals/food-imports/foreign-systems/audits/chilean-bivalve-mollusc-sanitation-program/eng/1549425598743/</u> (accessed April 2021).
- 32 AKAEDA H, TAKATANI T, ANAMI A, Nogughi T. Mass Outbreak of Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning Due to Ingestion of Oysters at Tamano-ura, Goto Islands, Nagasaki, Japan. J. Food Hyg. Soc. Jpn 1998; 39: 272-274_1. https://doi.org/10.3358/shokueishi.39.4 272.
- 33 Yao J, Jin W, Li D, et al. Geographical distribution and seasonal variation in paralytic shellfish toxins in the coastal water of the South China Sea. *Toxicon* 2019; **168:** 67–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2019.06.221</u>.
- 34 Montebruno D. Paralytic shellfish poisoning in Chile. *Med Sci Law* 1993; **33**: 243–46. https://doi.org/10.1177/002580249303300310.
- Anderson DM, Kulis DM, Qi YZ, Zheng L, Lu S, Lin YT. Paralytic shellfish poisoning in southern China. *Toxicon* 1996; 34: 579–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-0101(95)00158-1.

Appendix 1 – International paralytic shellfish poisoning events resulting from the consumption of bivalve molluscs that were sourced from countries who produce the majority of Australia's bivalve mollusc imports [‡]

Country of origin	Year	Product	Number of cases	Comments
United States ²¹	2017	Mussels	5	
United States ²¹ s	2017	Clams	3	
United States ²¹	2013	Clams	2	
United States ²¹	2012	Mussels	7	4 hospitalised
United States ²¹	2010	Clams	3	2 hospitalised
United States ²¹	2008	Mussels	3	3 hospitalised
United States ²¹	2007	Mussels	4	4 hospitalised
United States ²¹	2002	Mussels	4	1 hospitalised
Chile ¹⁴	2002	Mussels	4	All 4 hospitalised
Chile ¹³	2002	Mussels	2 (2 deaths)	Death occurred withir 4 hours
United States ²¹	2000	Mussels	9	6 hospitalised
United States ²¹	1998	Mussels	6	
Japan ³²	1997	Oysters	26	16 hospitalisations
China ³³	2005	Clams	More than 20	
USA ¹²	1994	Mussels	11	4 hospitalisations
Japan ³²	1991	Oysters	5	
Chile ³⁴	1991-1992	Unspecified	150 (11 deaths)	30% hospitalisation rate
China ³⁵	1991	Mussels	2 deaths	
China ³⁵	1989	Clam	5	
China ³⁵	1986	Clam	136 hospitalisations (1 death)	
Canada	1957	Clams	20	

Table A1 – Overview of PSP cases attributed to saxitoxin-group toxins located in the literature.

⁺ Between 2017-2018, China (55%), Chile (16%), Japan (8%), Vietnam (5%), United States (4%), Thailand (4%) and Canada (3%) made up 95% of bivalve mollusc imports into Australia, not including imports from New Zealand¹⁹.