FINAL ASSESSMENT REPORT (s.36)

PROPOSAL P263

SAFETY ASSESSMENT OF RAW MILK VERY HARD COOKED-CURD CHEESES
**FOOD STANDARDS AUSTRALIA NEW ZEALAND (FSANZ)**

FSANZ’s role is to protect the health and safety of people in Australia and New Zealand through the maintenance of a safe food supply. FSANZ is a partnership between ten governments: the Commonwealth; Australian States and Territories; and New Zealand. It is a statutory authority under Commonwealth law and is an independent, expert body.

FSANZ is responsible for developing, varying and reviewing standards and for developing codes of conduct with industry for food available in Australia and New Zealand covering labelling, composition and contaminants. In Australia, FSANZ also develops food standards for food safety, maximum residue limits, primary production and processing and a range of other functions including the coordination of national food surveillance and recall systems, conducting research and assessing policies about imported food.

The FSANZ Board approves new standards or variations to food standards in accordance with policy guidelines set by the Australia and New Zealand Food Regulation Ministerial Council (Ministerial Council) made up of Commonwealth, State and Territory and New Zealand Health Ministers as lead Ministers, with representation from other portfolios. Approved standards are then notified to the Ministerial Council. The Ministerial Council may then request that FSANZ review a proposed or existing standard. If the Ministerial Council does not request that FSANZ review the draft standard, or amends a draft standard, the standard is adopted by reference under the food laws of the Commonwealth, States, Territories and New Zealand. The Ministerial Council can, independently of a notification from FSANZ, request that FSANZ review a standard.

The process for amending the *Food Standards Code* is prescribed in the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991* (FSANZ Act). The diagram below represents the different stages in the process including when periods of public consultation occur. This process varies for matters that are urgent or minor in significance or complexity.

- **Public Consultation**
  - Comment on scope, possible options and direction of regulatory framework
  - Provide information and answer questions raised in Initial Assessment report
  - Identify other groups or individuals who might be affected and how – whether financially or in some other way

- **INITIAL ASSESSMENT**
  - An IA report is prepared with an outline of issues and possible options; affected parties are identified and questions for stakeholders are included
  - Applications accepted by FSANZ Board
  - IA Report released for public comment

- **DRAFT ASSESSMENT**
  - Public submissions collated and analysed
  - A Draft Assessment (DA) report is prepared using information provided by the applicant, stakeholders and other sources
  - A scientific risk assessment is prepared as well as other scientific studies completed using the best scientific evidence available
  - Risk analysis is completed and a risk management plan is developed together with a communication plan
  - Impact analysis is used to identify costs and benefits to all affected groups
  - An appropriate regulatory response is identified and if necessary a draft food standard is prepared
  - A WTO notification is prepared if necessary
  - DA Report considered by FSANZ Board
  - DA Report released for public comment

- **FINAL ASSESSMENT**
  - Comments received on DA report are analysed and amendments made to the report and the draft regulations as required
  - The FSANZ Board approves or rejects the Final Assessment report
  - The Ministerial Council is notified within 14 days of the decision

- **MINISTERIAL COUNCIL**
  - If the Ministerial Council does not ask FSANZ to review a draft standard, it is gazetted and automatically becomes law in Australia and New Zealand
  - The Ministerial Council can ask FSANZ to review the draft standard up to two times
  - After a second review, the Ministerial Council can revoke the draft standard. If it amends or decides not to amend the draft standard, gazettal of the standard proceeds

- ** Those who have provided submissions are notified of the Board’s decision**
Final Assessment Stage
The Authority has now completed two stages of the assessment process and held one round of public consultation as part of its assessment of this proposal. This Final Assessment Report and its recommendations have been approved by the FSANZ Board and are now being reviewed by the Australia and New Zealand Food Regulation Ministerial Council (ANZFRMC).

If accepted by ANZFRMC, a change to Volume 1 and/or Volume 2 (of the Food Standards Code) is published in the Commonwealth Gazette and the New Zealand Gazette and adopted by reference and without amendment under Australian State and Territory food law.

In New Zealand the New Zealand Minister for Health gazettes the food standard under the New Zealand Food Act. Following gazettal, the standard takes effect 28 days later.

Further Information
Further information on this and other matters should be addressed to the Standards Liaison Officer at the Food Standards Australia New Zealand at one of the following addresses:

Food Standards Australia New Zealand  Food Standards Australia New Zealand
PO Box 7186  PO Box 10559
Canberra BC  ACT  2610  The Terrace  WELLINGTON  6036
AUSTRALIA  NEW ZEALAND
Tel (02) 6271 2222  Tel (04) 473 9942

Assessment reports are available for viewing and downloading from the FSANZ website www.foodstandards.gov.au or alternatively paper copies of reports can be requested from the Authority’s Information Officer at info@foodstandards.gov.au including other general enquiries and requests for information.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND STATEMENT OF REASONS

Background

The processing requirements for cheese and cheese products in Volume 1 and Volume 2 of the Food Standards Code (the Code) specify that milk for cheese manufacture must be heat treated by a pasteurisation or thermisation process. In Volume 1 of the Code, a provision exists whereby the adequate heat treatment of milk can be measured in terms of the destruction of the enzyme alkaline phosphatase. Certain very hard cheeses made from raw milk (not heat treated) have continued to be imported into Australia because, in the past, they were considered to comply with the alkaline phosphatase test. This alkaline phosphatase provision, however, has not been included in Volume 2 of the Code because it was not considered a reliable method for measuring the adequate heat treatment of milk and milk products. When Volume 1 is repealed at the end of 2002, raw milk very hard cheeses will not, therefore, comply with the processing requirements of the Code (Volume 2). Cheeses currently imported into Australia that fall into this category are Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano.

Proposal P263 has been raised in order to assess the safety of very hard cheeses (<36% moisture) made from raw milk and to determine whether an amendment to the Code should be made in order to permit the continued sale of these cheeses. It is being progressed under section 36 of the Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991, omitting the first round of public comment before draft assessment. Two options are considered by this proposal – to amend Volume 2 of the Code in order to permit the sale of very hard cheeses from raw milk (Option 1) or to make no amendment (Option 2).

Scientific Evaluation

The scientific evaluation (Attachment 2) undertaken for this proposal indicated that the very hard cheese manufacturing processes assessed could achieve a 5 log reduction of the bacterial pathogens of concern when using raw milk given good hygienic and manufacturing practices. This benchmark level of bacterial reduction is considered as achieving a product that is equivalent in its microbiological safety to products derived from pasteurised milk. The low moisture content of these very hard cheeses (<36%) and the long maturation/ripening periods involved are integral in determining the survival of any pathogens that may be present and are characteristic of this category of cheese. The cheese types assessed in this evaluation are considered representative of the very hard cheese category and so it is concluded that very hard cheeses in general can be manufactured to achieve a safe product under conditions of good hygienic and manufacturing practice.

Draft Assessment

At draft assessment, an amendment to Volume 2 of the Code (Option 1) was proposed which would allow for the sale of very hard cheeses (36% moisture and matured for greater than 6 months at no less than 10°C) made from raw milk by exempting them, as a category, from the relevant heat treatment requirements in Standard 1.6.2 of the Code. The amendment proposed was consistent with COAG principles and FSANZ Section 10 objectives in providing minimum effective regulation applicable to both imported and domestic product. It essentially maintained the status quo with respect to allowing the continued importation of raw milk very hard cheeses and, additionally, allowed for the domestic production of such cheeses. The alternative option was not to amend the Code.
Public Consultation

The submissions received at draft assessment supported the continued importation of raw milk very hard cheeses. A number of submissions however had concerns with the domestic production of such cheeses to achieve a safe product, raising that there are no specific requirements in the proposed amendment that would, on their own, ensure safety and the production of supporting material by the relevant State authorities would take time.

The amendment proposed at draft assessment was not intended to be a standard for the production of raw milk very hard cheeses. It provided for an exemption from the heat treatment requirements in the Code for this category of cheeses (36% moisture and matured for greater than 6 months at no less than 10°C). While the low moisture nature of these cheeses and long maturation times affect bacterial die off, the safe production of these cheeses would be ensured through compliance with the proposed standard, together with the Microbiological and Food Safety Standards in the Code and applicable State and Territory requirements in relation to cheese production, including any specific requirements in relation to the safety of raw milk and raw milk cheese production. An editorial note has been included at final assessment with the proposed amendment to clearly identify these additional requirements.

Final Assessment

Submissions received after the close off date for public consultation further indicated that dairy regulators and industry did not support the general exemption for the domestic production of very hard cheeses from the heat treatment requirements of Standard 1.6.2 unless other measures were in place. It was raised that the use of high curd cooking temperatures seemed to be a significant parameter contributing to the production of a safe product yet this was not reflected in the draft amendment to the standard.

The Final Assessment Report therefore recommends that an amendment to Standard 1.6.2 of the Code is made to exempt very hard cheeses (cheeses which have a moisture content less than 36% and have been matured/stored for at least 6 months) from the heat treatment requirements for milk and milk products for cheese manufacture, provided that they have also undergone a curd heating treatment of at least 48°C. While the use of higher curd cooking temperatures is implicit in the production of very hard cheeses (compared to other cheese types), this minimum temperature requirement further reflects that a greater level of safety assurance can be achieved through the combination of high curd cooking temperatures and long maturation time for these low moisture cheeses (<36%).

The draft amendment at Final Assessment also includes an editorial note, which states that the draft amendment is to be reviewed within 12 months of gazettal so that a generic standard based on minimum effective legislation can be developed in consultation with jurisdictions. This will enable any outstanding issues with jurisdictions to be resolved without unjustifiably impacting on continued importation of raw milk very hard cheeses in the interim.

Statement of Reasons

An amendment to Standard 1.6.2 of the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code is recommended for the following reasons:
• The amendment is based on scientific evidence which supports that raw milk very hard cheeses (less than 36% moisture, stored for at least 6 months at no less than 10 ºC and which have undergone a curd heat treatment of no less than 48ºC) can achieve an equivalent level of safety as cheeses using heat treated milk and do not pose any significant public health and safety risk.

• The amendment supports the continued importation of raw milk very hard cheeses such as Grana Padano and Parmigiano Reggiano, which have been imported into Australia for many years.

• The impact analysis indicates that the amendment will provide an overall benefit to key stakeholders including importers, consumers, the food service sector and relevant government agencies.

1. Problem

1.1 Background

The *Food Standards Code* (the Code) requires that milk and milk products for cheese manufacture must be heat-treated by either pasteurisation or thermisation (see section 1.2 Current Regulations). There is, however, a provision, which allows for the use of raw milk (not pasteurised or thermised) in cheese manufacture providing that an equivalent level of safety is achieved to that using heat treated milk.

Currently there are only three raw milk cheeses specifically permitted under this provision - Gruyère, Sbrinz, and Emmenthal cheeses manufactured in Switzerland. These cheeses were approved for sale in 1999 following an application from the Swiss Government. A risk assessment showed that these cheeses could be produced with an equivalent level of safety as cheese made from pasteurised milk if controls were exercised over pathogen levels over the whole production system and there was evidence that the necessary industry and regulatory control measures were in place to ensure that the requirements were met.

Raw milk very hard cooked-curd cheeses (parmesan style) such as Grana Padano and Parmigiano Reggiano manufactured in Italy are also currently permitted to be imported and sold in Australia because they are considered to comply with the processing requirements of Volume 1 of the *Food Standards Code*. Volume 1 of the Code contains a provision whereby adequate heat treatment of the milk can be tested in terms of the destruction of the enzyme alkaline phosphatase (Standard H9(1)(e)). Historically, imported parmesan style Italian cheeses were considered to comply with the Code if testing of the cheese complied with the phosphatase requirement. Testing of the cheeses, and in some cases the curds, did yield phosphatase results which complied and importation of these cheeses has continued.

The alkaline phosphatase test was not included as a method for measuring the effectiveness of pasteurisation or equivalent processes in the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (Volume 2) for a number of reasons. Phosphatase may reform after heat processing of milk and milk products and the level of alkaline phosphatase may vary for different mammalian species.

---

1 Around 900 to 1000 tonnes of raw milk parmesan style cheese (Grana Padano and Parmigiano Reggiano) are imported to Australia from Italy annually.
Goat milk, for example, has a low alkaline phosphatase activity and so a test using phosphatase activity as an indicator of effective pasteurisation would not be satisfactory for goat milk. Testing for alkaline phosphatase is also inappropriate for alternative processes to pasteurisation such as micro-filtration or heat treatment processes using lower temperatures than that required for pasteurisation. Therefore the alkaline phosphatase test was considered to be an inappropriate test for this purpose.

At the end of 2002 (20 December 2002), Volume 1 of the Code will be repealed and the Joint Code (Volume 2) will come fully into effect. At that time, as there will no longer be any phosphatase provision to measure the adequacy of the heat treatment of milk used in cheese manufacture, raw milk hard cheeses such as Grana Padano and Parmigiano Reggiano will no longer comply with the processing requirements in the Code and these cheeses will not be able to be imported into Australia. Raw milk hard cheeses from Italy have a long history of import into Australia and New Zealand. Based on the currently available epidemiological data, such cheeses have been extensively used over a long period without adverse health and safety reports.

FSANZ therefore raised proposal P263 – Assessing the safety of raw milk very hard cooked-curd cheeses (parmesan style). The proposal is being progressed under section 36 of the Australia New Zealand Food Authority Act 1991 resulting in the omission of the first round of public comment. The decision to omit one round of comment was made on the basis that it would not have a significant adverse effect on the interests of stakeholders.

1.2 Current Regulations

Processing requirements for cheese manufacture are specified in Standard H9 - Cheese and Cheese Products in Volume 1 of the Code and Standards 1.6.2 - Processing Requirements and 2.5.4 – Cheese in Volume 2 of the Code. In New Zealand, processing requirements for cheese and cheese products are regulated under the Dairy Industry Act 1952 and the Food Act 1981. Microbiological limits for cheese, including raw milk cheese, are included in Standard 1.6.1 – Microbiological Limits for Food of Volume 2 of the Code.

1.2.1 Volume 1

Standard H9(1)(d) – (f)

(d) Milk and milk products used for cheese production shall -

(i) be heat treated by being held at a temperature of not less than 72°C for a period of not less than 15 seconds, or at a temperature and for a period equivalent thereto in phosphatase destruction;

(ii) be subjected to a minimum heat treatment at a temperature of 62 °C for a period of not less than 15 seconds (and the cheese may not be sold unless it has been stored at a temperature of not less than 2°C for a period of 90 days from the date of manufacture of the cheese); or

(iii) if they are specified in Column 1 of the Table to this subclause, be produced and processed using a method that:
(A) ensures that the cheese produced achieves an equivalent level of safety protection as cheese prepared from milk or milk products that have been heat treated in accordance with (1)(d)(i); and

(B) is set out in legislation or documentation listed in Column 2 of the Table to this subclause.

**TABLE TO SUBCLAUSE (1)(d)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milk and milk products</td>
<td>Legislation or documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk and milk products used to produce Gruyère, Sbrinz or Emmental cheese only</td>
<td>The Ordinance on Quality Assurance in the Dairy Industry of the Swiss Federal Council of 18 October 1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(e) Milk and milk products used for cheese production shall be taken to have been adequately heat treated in accordance with paragraph (d)(i) of this clause if they do not exhibit a phosphatase activity in excess of that required to give a reading of 10 µg/ml of ρ-nitrophenol when tested by the current standard method in AS 2300, *Methods of Chemical and Physical Testing for the Dairying Industry*.

1.2.2  *Volume 2*

Standard 1.6.2 clause 2:

2  *Processing of cheese and cheese products*

Milk and milk products used to manufacture cheese or cheese products must -

(a) be heat treated by being held at a temperature of no less than 72 °C for a period of no less than 15 seconds, or by using a time and temperature combination providing an equivalent level of bacteria reduction; or

(b) be heat treated by being held at a temperature of no less than 62 °C, for a period of no less than 15 seconds, and the final product stored at a temperature of no less than 2 °C for a period of 90 days from the date of manufacture of the cheese or cheese product.

Standard 2.5.4 clause 3:

3  *Processing of milk and milk products used to produce Gruyere, Sbrinz or Emmental cheese*

Milk and milk products used to manufacture cheese or cheese products specified in Column 1 of the Table to this clause must be produced and processed using a method that –

(a) ensures that the cheese produced achieves an equivalent level of safety protection as cheese prepared from milk or milk products that have been heat treated in accordance with paragraph (2)(a) in Standard 1.6.2; and

(b) is set out in the legislation or documentation listed in Column 2 of the Table to this paragraph.
Table to clause 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2 documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milk and milk products used to produce Gruyere, Sbrinz or Emmental cheese only</td>
<td>The Ordinance on Quality Assurance in the Dairy Industry of the Swiss Federal Council of 18 October 1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB. Section 3(a) of this Standard was not adopted by New Zealand.

1.2.3 **New Zealand**

The processing requirements for cheese and cheese products specified in Standards H9 (Volume 1) and 1.6.2 (Volume 2) of the Code do not apply to New Zealand. For New Zealand purposes, processing requirements, other than for the raw milk Swiss cheeses, are currently regulated under the *Dairy Industry Act 1952* and the *Food Act 1981*. The heat treatment provisions of the New Zealand *Food Regulations 1984* for cheese are as follows:

**Regulation 113:**

(2) The milk or cream or mixture of milk and cream that is used in the manufacture of cheese-

(a) Shall be subjected to pasteurisation or an equivalent heat treatment; or

(b) Shall be subjected to heat treatment at a temperature of not less than 62°C for a period of not less than 15 seconds; and

(i) The cheese shall be labelled with the date of commencement of manufacture; and

(ii) The cheese shall be stored prior to sale at a temperature of not less than 2 °C for a period of not less than 90 days from the date of commencement of manufacture; and

(iii) The cheese shall contain not more than:

(a) 100 *Escherichia coli* per gram; and

(b) 100 *Staphylococcus aureus* (coagulase producing) per gram; and

(iv) A 50 g sample of the cheese shall be free from *Salmonella*.

1.2.4 **Standard 1.6.1 – Microbiological Limits For Food**

Standard 1.6.1 – Microbiological Limits for Food includes several microbiological standards for cheese. Of relevance to this Proposal is the limit for *Escherichia coli* for all cheeses and the standards for *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* in all raw milk cheese. The sampling plans specified in Standard 1.6.1 are provided below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food</th>
<th>Micro-organism</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All cheese</td>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All raw milk cheese (cheese made from milk not pasteurised or thermised)  | *Listeria monocytogenes*/25g | 5 | 0 | 0  
| *Salmonella*/25g | 5 | 0 | 0

Where:
- \( n \) = the minimum number of sample units which must be examined from a lot of food
- \( c \) = the maximum allowable number of defective sample units (the number of samples they may exceed ‘m’)
- \( m \) = the acceptable microbiological level in a sample unit.
- \( M \) = the level which, when exceeded in one or more samples, would cause the lot to be rejected.

These microbiological limits mean that raw milk very hard cheeses must have no detectable levels of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella*. Additionally, the level of *E. coli* should not exceed 10 per gram though a maximum level of 100 per gram may be allowed for 1 in 5 samples.

2. Objective

2.1 General

In developing or varying a food standard, FSANZ is required by its legislation to meet three primary objectives, which are set out in section 10 of the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991*. These are:

- the protection of public health and safety;
- the provision of adequate information relating to food to enable consumers to make informed choices; and
- the prevention of misleading or deceptive conduct.

In developing and varying standards, FSANZ must also have regard to:

- the need for standards to be based on risk analysis using the best available scientific evidence;
- the promotion of consistency between domestic and international food standards;
- the desirability of an efficient and internationally competitive food industry;
- the promotion of fair trading in food; and
- any written policy guidelines formulated by the Ministerial Council.

2.2 Processing requirements

The requirement that milk for cheese manufacture be pasteurised or thermised is a public health measure. Pasteurisation destroys pathogenic bacteria such as *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter* and pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, which may be present in raw (unpasteurised) milk and so then be present in the cheese. The Code does allow, however, for an alternative process to be used (e.g. the use of raw milk under Standard 2.5.4 or different heat treatments of milk under Standard 1.6.2) where it can be demonstrated that this process will achieve an equivalent level of safety as cheese prepared from milk that has been heat-treated. This means that the process must be able to consistently produce a microbiologically safe product.
3. Relevant Issues

3.1 Heat treatment of milk

3.1.1 Pasteurisation

Pasteurisation is a heat treatment process applied to a food, which is, primarily, designed to destroy pathogens. Spoilage organisms may also be eliminated during the process, increasing the stability and shelf life of the food. Vegetative bacteria subjected to heat are killed at a rate that is proportional to the number of organisms present (the greater the number of bacteria present, the greater the rate of destruction required). This rate of destruction is dependent both on the temperature and time of exposure and the heat resistance of the organism itself. The pasteurisation parameters of time and temperature are generally determined by the most heat resistant vegetative microorganism likely to be present in the food.

The pasteurisation of milk is historically based on the destruction of *Mycobacterium bovis*, the causative agent of bovine tuberculosis, which in the past, was also responsible for causing tuberculosis infections in humans. The temperature used for milk pasteurisation was then increased to ensure the destruction of *Coxiella burnetii*, the causative agent of Q fever in humans and the most heat resistant vegetative pathogen found in milk. High temperature short time pasteurisation (HTST) of milk at 72 ºC for 15 seconds has been shown to be effective in eliminating this organism and is accepted internationally as the standard process for milk pasteurisation. Additionally, batch pasteurisation using the lower temperature of 63 ºC for 30 minutes will give an equivalent measure of bacterial destruction. Other time and temperature conditions of equivalent effect can be calculated graphically by using a log time versus temperature graph. This is obtained by passing a line through the points 72º/15 seconds and 63 ºC/ 30 minutes. Equivalent time temperature conditions should not include temperatures below 63 ºC.

While the presence of *Mycobacterium bovis* and *Coxiella burnetii* in milk is now largely controlled by improvements in animal health and farm sanitation, pasteurisation destroys other potential milk-borne pathogens such as *Salmonella* spp, *Staphylococcus aureus*, pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Campylobacter* spp. The temperature-time parameters specified for pasteurisation are generally accepted as being able to achieve at least a 5 log reduction of these pathogens and this level of reduction has been used as the benchmark measure in evaluating the effectiveness of the manufacturing processes of very hard cheeses to produce a microbiologically safe product.

3.1.2 Thermisation

The *Food Standards Code* permits a time-temperature process of milk for cheese production that is less rigorous than pasteurisation (62ºC for 15 seconds), providing that the cheese is stored for at least 90 days from the date of manufacture. This heat treatment is generally referred to as thermisation. The purpose of thermisation is to kill spoilage microorganisms (such as *Pseudomonas* and other psychrotrophs) in the milk, which may cause flavour and textural defects in the cheese.2


Thermisation results in less inactivation of enzymes and non-starter lactic acid bacteria which may be important during ripening for the development of cheese flavour.

While thermisation kills psychrotrophs (microorganisms active at lower temperatures), it may not destroy all pathogenic microorganisms that may be present. A further safeguard is therefore required and the cheese produced must be stored for at least 90 days at a temperature greater than 2 °C. During this time, depending on the physical and chemical characteristic of the cheese such as pH, water activity and salt content, any pathogenic bacteria present die off.

3.2 Raw milk quality

The microbiological quality of raw milk for cheese manufacture is an essential component in producing good quality raw milk cheese, which is microbiologically safe. Raw milk should have total bacterial counts below 100 000 cfu/ml and somatic cell counts below 400 000/ml. Hygienic milk production systems should be in place, preferably as part of an overall HACCP (Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points) program. In recent years, Codex has initiated work on a Draft Code of Hygienic Practice for Milk and Milk Products (at step 3) which includes the hygienic production of milk as an essential element. This includes requirements relating to the areas and premises for milk production, animal health, general hygienic practice on farm and hygienic milking.


- Total plate count (at 30 °C) must not exceed 100 000 cfu per ml
- Somatic cell count must not exceed 400 000 cfu per ml
- *Staphylococcus aureus* (per ml) n=5, c=2, m=500, M=2 000

Raw goat or sheep milk intended for the manufacture of products “made with raw milk” must meet the following standards:

- Total plate count (at 30 °C) must not exceed 500 000 cfu per ml
- *Staphylococcus aureus* (per ml) n=5, c=2, m=500, M=2 000

In Australia, dairy regulations covering the safe production and supply of milk are administered on a State and Territory basis. Such regulations are administered through State Dairy Authorities or food safety agencies (such as SafeFood NSW). Requirements covering the safe production and supply of milk are generally imposed as a condition of licensing. (A summary of the State dairy regulations is provided in section 3.8.) Additionally, all food produced must meet the requirements of the Food Standards Code and applicable food legislation within each jurisdiction.
3.3 Pathogens in milk and cheese

Raw milk can be contaminated with a variety of pathogens originating from the animal, equipment, handlers and the environment. Zoonoses (organisms that can cause disease in animals and humans) such as Mycobacterium bovis and Brucella spp are controlled primarily through good animal health practices and jurisdictional requirements that milk be collected from healthy animals only. Other pathogens associated with raw milk and which have been implicated in food-borne illness due to the consumption of contaminated cheeses include Salmonella, Listeria monocytogenes, Staphylococcus aureus and pathogenic Escherichia coli. Reported cases of food-borne illness internationally have not, however, been associated with the consumption of very hard cheeses. Campylobacter jejuni/coli has been frequently associated with human infections due to the consumption of raw milk.

3.3.1 Salmonella

Salmonella has been isolated frequently from raw milk but rarely from commercially made cheese. Outbreaks of salmonellosis due to the consumption of contaminated cheese have been ascribed to faulty control of the production process or to the use of contaminated raw milk. The source of raw milk contamination is primarily via the udder and teats. Milk can also be contaminated post-pasteurisation via the factory environment and food handlers during processing.

Depending on the production process, Salmonella can grow during cheese manufacture and may survive in cheese for more than 60 days. The use of thermal processes during cheese manufacture using temperatures >50 °C will inactivate Salmonella. Its survival during maturation will be influenced by the pH and water activity characteristics of the cheese and the conditions of maturation.

3.3.2 Listeria monocytogenes

Listeria monocytogenes is ubiquitous in the environment. It can also be carried by milk producing animals and can cause disease in these hosts. While the incidence of Listeriosis is low, it can have serious health consequences for vulnerable groups (pregnant women, the elderly and the immunocompromised). Because L. monocytogenes is commonly found in the processing environment and may contaminate cheese throughout the various stages of the manufacturing process, it is a hazard for all cheese manufacturing processes whether using raw or pasteurised milk.

The incidence of L. monocytogenes in soft and mould-ripened cheeses is higher than in any other cheese type. Mould-ripening has the effect of raising pH and moisture content resulting in more favourable conditions for bacterial growth than would be present in other manufacturing processes. The ability of L. monocytogenes to grow at refrigeration temperatures means its presence on soft and mould ripened cheeses is a particular concern. Survival of L. monocytogenes is dependent on the pH of the cheese and at levels of ~pH 5.5 no growth should occur (the final pH of the curd for most very hard varieties is in the range 5.0 to 5.3). Thermal processes using temperatures >50 °C will inactivate the organism.

---

4 Information provided in this section has been sourced primarily from the Scientific evaluation of the safety of very hard cheeses produced from raw milk (Attachment 2). Additional reference was made to: ICMSF. 1996 Microorganisms in foods 6, Microbial ecology of food commodities. Blackie Academic & Professional, London.
3.3.3 Staphylococcus aureus

*Staphylococcus aureus* can contaminate milk directly via the animal or by food handlers during milking and processing. *S. aureus* may be shed into milk in large numbers (up to $10^5$ colony forming units per ml) by animals having mastitis before any clinical symptoms are shown (though this will result in an increase in the somatic cell count which, if exceeded, will exclude the milk from the commercial milk supply). When conditions allow this organism to grow in the food during manufacture, enterotoxins are produced which have been shown to persist in cheese for several years. Outbreaks of food-borne staphylococcal intoxication attributed to cheese have resulted largely from poor process control and contaminated starter cultures. Proper raw milk handling and storage, and rapid acid production during acidification of cheese are important controls over this organism during cheese manufacture.

3.3.4 Pathogenic Escherichia coli

Pathogenic *E. coli* are grouped into specific pathotypes: enteropathogenic (EPEC), enterotoxigenic (ETEC), enteroinvasive (EIEC), diffuse-adhering (DAEC), enteroaggregative (EAEC) and enterohaemorrhagic (EHEC). Food-borne illness caused by pathogenic *E. coli* where cheese has been implicated have included EIEC, ETEC and EHEC. The dose of EHEC required to cause human illness is very low (it has been reported that as few as 1 EHEC organism can result in adverse health effects in susceptible individuals).

Raw milk may become contaminated by *E. coli* during milk collection from the animal or from the milking parlour environment. Post-pasteurisation contamination of milk may also occur from the food-processing environment. *E. coli* are sensitive to heat and are inhibited by low pH and salt. The inactivation of this organism during cheese manufacture, as for most other pathogens, depends on the thermal processes used during curd cooking, the pH and water activity characteristic of the cheese and the conditions of maturation.

3.3.5 Campylobacter

*Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* are the most common Campylobacter spp causing food-borne illness. *C. jejuni* is more likely to be a contaminant of sheep and cows milk than *C. coli*. Milk may become contaminated with this organism from faecal material or it may be shed in the milk itself. Raw or inadequately pasteurised milk has been frequently identified, as a vehicle of food-borne infection with *C. jejuni* however contaminated cheese has not been reported as a cause of Campylobacteriosis.

Campylobacters are sensitive to heat and survive poorly in mildly acidic environments and in the presence of 2% or more salt. This organism does not tolerate low moisture conditions and fails to grow at water activities of less than 0.987 (the water activity of very hard cheeses is quite low with parmesan, for example, having a typical $a_w$ of about 0.92).

3.4 Cheese-making process for very hard cheeses

Cheese can be categorised in a number of ways but more traditional characterisation schemes are based principally on moisture content such as very hard, hard, semi-hard/soft or soft. Very hard cheeses have the lowest moisture content, generally less than 35%.
Because of their hard texture and strong flavour, they are generally used in small quantities as grated cheese and so are often referred to as very hard grating cheeses. Codex\(^5\) has a standard for “extra hard grating cheese” which includes some principal characteristics for this cheese. The Codex standard specifies maximum moisture content for extra hard grating cheese of 36% and a minimum period of maturation/curing of not less than 6 months. The cheese varieties typical of the very hard cheese category\(^6\) include Parmesan, Romano, Asiago and Montasio and are generally made from cow or sheep milk.

The majority of extra hard cheese varieties originated in Italy. Cheeses such as Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano (parmesan style cheeses) are designated ‘DOC’ (Denominazione d’origine controllata)\(^7\) and continue to be manufactured in Italy according to traditional methods using raw milk under strict manufacturing protocols. However, whether using traditional methods or modern manufacturing techniques, there are characteristic steps in the manufacturing protocol of very hard cheeses, which determine the nature of these cheeses. The main steps involved in the manufacture of very hard cheeses are outlined below.

Generalised manufacturing process for very hard cheeses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw milk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standardised (e.g. for cream/fat content)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>milk heat treated as required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition of starter culture/whey culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(acidification)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation (addition of rennet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curd cutting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curd cooking *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curds drained/moulded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salting – in saturated brine (dry salting may</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>be used for some varieties such as Romano)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturation/ripening (typically for periods of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months and longer at temperatures &gt;10 ºC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\(^6\) Varieties of cheese typical of different cheese categories are discussed within many reference books on cheese manufacture and technology including Fox et al, 2000 (Fundamental of Cheese Science).

\(^7\) The DOC or Protected Denomination of Origin is a regulated and controlled qualification used within Europe for a number of products including olive oils, wines and cheeses. The Denomination of Origin regulates the area of production and the production system which makes the product unique.
*High curd cooking temperatures of up to 55-56°C are typically used in traditional parmesan cheese manufacture. Lower temperatures (less than 50°C) may be used in more “modern” large-scale operations but are used in combination with the heat treatment of milk (pasteurisation or thermisation). Other varieties, such as Romano, may use lower curd cooking temperatures (45 – 48°C) in their manufacture. A summary of the processing steps used for the manufacture of several very hard cheese varieties (using heat treated and raw milk) is provided in Table 5 of Attachment 2.

3.5 Factors affecting the growth or survival of pathogens during cheese making

Several factors are involved in controlling the growth of bacteria in cheese including pH, temperature processes, the level of salt and the water activity or moisture content of the cheese. While each of these has an effect, it is their combined effect, which has greatest control over the growth or survival of pathogens and other bacteria in cheese. The scientific evaluation of the safety of very hard cheeses (Attachment 2) examines the effect of the temperature processes used in the manufacture of certain very hard cheeses on bacterial pathogens, as well as the effects of pH, water activity and salt.

3.5.1 pH

The production of cheese curd is dependent firstly on an acidification operation. In the manufacture of very hard cheeses a starter culture or natural whey culture containing lactic acid bacteria is added to the milk and lactic acid is produced. Acid production and the resultant decrease in pH affects the growth of many non-starter bacteria, including pathogens that may be present. The rate and duration of acidification is reasonably characteristic of the cheese variety. For hard cheeses, the final pH of the curd for most varieties is in the range 5.0 to 5.3.

3.5.2 Temperature

The time-temperature profile used during cheese making can play a major role in promoting the growth of pathogens during manufacture or may kill them. For example, if curd-cooking temperatures of 24 – 40 °C are used, this is conducive to the growth of pathogens if they are present. (In this case, rapid acid production and a quick drop in pH would be important in the process for controlling the growth of any bacteria present.) The curd-cooking temperatures used in the manufacture of very hard cheeses can be quite high (up to 55-56 °C) as is the length of time the curd is held at these temperatures (can be up to 1 hour). This time/temperature process will have a lethal effect on pathogens present, the extent of destruction depending on the numbers present and the thermal resistance of the particular organism.

The pathogens of concern in this proposal have maximum temperatures for growth of 45-48°C. Curd cooking temperatures at and above 48 °C will begin having a killing effect on these pathogens, the death rate depending on the thermal resistance of the organism.

---


Thermal resistance of a microorganism is expressed as a D-value (the decimal reduction time or time required for the destruction of 90% of the bacterial population, at a particular temperature). D-values for the pathogens of concern are discussed within the scientific evaluation (Attachment 2).

The temperatures used for heating curds are characteristic of the cheese variety. High curd-cooking temperatures are used in the production of very hard cheeses to promote syneresis (the process of removing moisture from the cheese), and is therefore characteristic of this cheese category.

### 3.5.3 Salt

Salting has a number of important effects on cheese quality and safety. In terms of safety, salt inhibits the growth of microorganisms, including pathogenic bacteria, which may be present. The addition of salt increases the aqueous phase of foods, causing dehydration of bacterial cells and resulting in the death or inhibition of growth, depending on the level added and the characteristics of the particular organisms present. Salt also aids in the removal of whey from the curd, thus reducing the moisture of the cheese, which also influences the activity of microorganisms and enzymes. Salt levels in very hard cheeses can be quite high, up to 5% for varieties such as Pecorino Romano.

### 3.5.4 Moisture content

The ability of bacteria to grow or survive is largely dependent on available moisture (in combination with other factors such as pH and temperature). Cheeses with relatively high moisture content, for example, may readily support the growth of pathogens, if present, compared to a low moisture cheese. The available moisture for bacterial growth is defined in terms of the water activity (aw) of the food. Very hard cheese, generally have quite low water activities (a typical aw for parmesan is 0.92) which do not support the growth of pathogenic micro-organisms.

The moisture content of a cheese can be controlled during syneresis and by pressing of the curd. Following coagulation, the curd can be cut, broken or subjected to external pressure, resulting in the release of whey - a process known as syneresis. The degree to which this happens enables the cheese maker to control the moisture content of the cheese (the greater the release of whey, the lower the moisture content). In making parmesan style cheeses, for example, the curds are broken up before ‘cooking’ to dispel as much moisture as possible. Syneresis is further promoted during curd cooking (the higher curd cooking temperatures used for the production of very hard cheeses are integral in achieving the low moisture content of these cheeses). Before ripening, curds for some varieties of cheeses are subjected to considerable pressure in moulds to aid whey removal (e.g. cheddar cheese). For parmesan style cheeses, ‘cooked’ curds may be transferred to moulds and subjected to light pressure to encourage even further whey expulsion before salting and ripening.

### 3.5.5 Storage/ripening period

The combined effects of pH, salt, moisture and storage temperature come into play during ripening and promote the die off of pathogens. The decline of pathogens present during this time will be influenced by the characteristics of the cheese and the temperature of storage.
Very hard cheeses, which are generally ripened at temperatures above 10ºC represent quite an inhospitable environment for most bacteria such that pathogens die off during storage.

It should be noted that the thermisation provision already in Standard 1.6.2 reflects the acceptance of bacterial die off in cheeses during storage. In this case, a storage/maturation period of 90 days at a temperature of no less than 2 ºC is specified for any cheese which is manufactured from milk which receives a lesser heat treatment (62 ºC for no less than 15 seconds) than pasteurisation.

3.6 Survival of pathogens during the manufacture of very hard cheeses

The scientific evaluation of the safety of very hard cheeses produced from raw milk (Attachment 2) assessed the impact on pathogen survival of the production process for five very hard cheeses – Parmigiano Reggiano (parmesan), Grana Padano (parmesan), Pecorino Romano, Asiago and Montasio10. These cheeses are considered representative of the very hard cheese category (as discussed in Section 3.4). The manufacturing process provided for Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano involved no thermal treatment of the milk (raw milk used) and involved higher curd cooking temperatures of 55 – 56°C. The specific manufacturing processes supplied for Pecorino Romano, Asiago and Montasio cheeses included thermal treatment of the milk (pasteurisation and thermisation). While the scientific evaluation notes the effect that these thermal processes would have on the pathogens of concern, it also assesses the effect of the curd cooking processes used and the effects of ripening and storage on bacterial reduction. This allows for an evaluation of the production processes on pathogen survival for these cheeses if raw milk is used. The manufacturing processes assessed for Pecorino Romano, Asiago and Montasio use lower curd-cooking temperatures than the parmesan cheeses (42 - 48ºC).

The scientific evaluation of the safety of very hard cheeses produced from raw milk provided the following conclusions for each pathogen assessed:

3.6.1 Campylobacter

It is concluded that C. jejuni/coli are unlikely to be a hazard in these very hard cheeses as thermal processing applied will inactivate bacteria present in the raw milk and the conditions in the cheeses after fermentation and ripening will further inactivate survivors. (This assumes that these processes are carried out under control programs such as HACCP and its pre-requisites.)

3.6.2 Pathogenic Escherichia coli

Hard cheeses manufactured with a curd cooking temperature of >55 ºC (30 min) and matured for a minimum of 3 months will achieve the required performance criteria of greater than a 5 log reduction in E. coli. Cheeses receiving a lower cooking temperature should, where possible, be manufactured from thermised or pasteurised milk. Raw milk cheeses using lower cooking temperatures should be matured for a minimum of 6 months unless supporting data on the inactivation of E. coli are provided.

10 The manufacturing processes assessed for these cheeses are provide in the Annex to the scientific evaluation (Attachment 2).
3.6.3 Salmonella

Cheeses produced with curd cooking temperatures above 55 °C are unlikely to contain viable Salmonellae at the end of maturation. Cheeses produced with curd cooking temperatures below 51°C may still be contaminated with low levels of Salmonellae. Such cheeses should be manufactured from pasteurised or thermised milk or stored for more than 3 months at approximately 15 °C.

3.6.4 Staphylococcus aureus

*S. aureus* should be inactivated in hard cheeses manufactured with a curd cooking temperature of > 55 °C (30 min) and matured for a minimum of 3 months. The presence of toxin appears to be determined by the number of organisms present in the milk used for cheese making. Some growth (1 to 2 logs) of *S. aureus* would be expected in cheeses receiving a lower cooking temperature, therefore *S. aureus* numbers in milk used in the manufacture of these cheeses should be less than $10^3$ CFU/ml\(^{11}\). Storage of these cheeses for 3 months should ensure that they are free of viable *S. aureus*.

3.6.5 Listeria monocytogenes

Cooking of the curd to temperatures of >55 °C followed by maturation at >15 °C for more than 3 months will result in reductions in *L. monocytogenes* of more than 5 logs. Cooking at lower temperatures may result in a slight increase in *L. monocytogenes* during the early stages of production followed by a rapid decrease in numbers during storage reaching >5 logs after approximately six months.

The general conclusions drawn from the report are as follows:

- All very hard cheeses made, according to the process criteria provided (including acidification, curd cooking, salting and ripening conditions), will achieve a 5-log reduction in the numbers of the pathogens specified e.g. *Campylobacter jejuni/coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes*, pathogenic *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*. (Note is made of the significance of staphylococcal enterotoxin production in raw milk and during manufacture.)

- The production process resulting in the greatest control/destruction of pathogens include the curd cooking together with the long maturation.

- The presence of staphylococcal enterotoxin will be dependent on the initial quality/pathogen load of the raw milk, which needs to be controlled for the production process to achieve a safe product. This is the case whether using raw milk or pasteurised/thermised milk.

---

\(^{11}\)Controls on raw milk quality for cheese production would include microbiological testing criteria (such as total plate count, somatic cell count and *S. aureus* count).
Pasteurisation is generally accepted as being able to achieve at least a 5-log reduction of pathogens. This level of reduction has been used as the benchmark measure in evaluating the effectiveness of the manufacturing processes used for very hard cheeses in achieving a microbiologically safe product. For the production processes assessed, the combination of the curd cooking temperatures and maturation times given will result in this 5-log reduction.

Traditional manufacturing processes using high curd cooking temperatures (up to 55 °C and above) will result in the inactivation of any pathogens present (measured as a 5 log reduction) within a minimal maturation time (3 months). If lower curd cooking temperatures are used in the manufacture of very hard cheeses (42 – 48°C), any pathogens present should be inactivated after at least 6 months maturation for the manufacturing protocols assessed.

However, if there has been abuse of the raw milk or a processing problem, which has enabled the growth of *S. aureus* and the production of enterotoxin, toxin will remain in the matured cheese. This is the case whether using raw milk or pasteurisation during manufacture but highlights the need for good manufacturing processes and controls for the hygienic production of milk.

### 3.7 Quarantine requirements

The Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service (AQIS)/Biosecurity Australia maintain import requirements for dairy products into Australia. A quarantine permit must be obtained in order to import cheeses into Australia. The conditions for import depend on whether the country exporting is free from Foot and Mouth Disease. Import from these countries must be accompanied by an import permit for each consignment and each consignment must be accompanied by a specific sanitary certificate signed by an Official Government Veterinarian of the exporting country. While these requirements are mainly concerned with the transfer of Foot and Mouth Disease, they effectively require that dairy products are sourced from healthy animals and that there are appropriate controls in place within the country of origin to ensure this. The import requirements are as follows:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The milk or the milk from which the cheese is made must originate from a country/zone recognised by the Office International des Epizooties (OIE) as foot and mouth disease-free, with or without vaccination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The country of origin must have controls in place to ensure only healthy animals are used for milk production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The products must be processed in a foot and mouth disease-free country/zone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EITHER:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (a)</td>
<td>The milk or the milk from which the cheese or butter was made must be subjected to one of the following heat treatments:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (a)</td>
<td>pasteurisation at 72°C for a minimum of 15 seconds or equivalent treatment, in terms of phosphatase destruction or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (a)</td>
<td>a UHT treatment of 135°C for a minimum of 1 second.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

12 AQIS quarantine requirements for the importation of dairy products from approved countries as at 27 September 2000.
(b) The milk from which the cheese was made was not heat treated as above and the milk
or the milk from which the cheese or butter was made must originate from a
country/zone, which meets the OIE requirements for freedom from rinderpest in
accordance with Code Article 2.1.4.2.

5 The packaging or immediate container must be stamped with the date of manufacture of
the products.

6 Cheese or butter not heat treated in accordance with requirement 4.4(a) will not be
released from quarantine until the conclusion of a period of 30 days from the date of
manufacture*.

*[Note: For cheese the date of manufacture is the date the curd was set.]

Conditions for the importation of cheese from countries not free from foot and mouth disease
include additional requirements:

1 The milk or the milk from which the cheese is made must originate from a country/zone
approved by AQIS for the export of dairy products to Australia.

2 The country of origin must have controls in place to ensure only healthy animals are
used for milk production.

3 EITHER
   (a) the milk from which the cheese was made was
       pasteurised at a minimum of 72°C for 15 seconds or equivalent treatment, in terms of
       phosphatase destruction and
       the cheese has attained a pH of less than 6 and the cheese has aged for 30 days or more.
       OR
   (b) the cheese has attained a pH of less than 6 and has aged for 120 days or more at a
       temperature not less than 2°C.

4 The packaging or immediate container must be stamped with the date of manufacture of
the products.

5 Cheese made according to requirement 5.3(a) above will not be released from
quarantine until a minimum of 30 days after the date of manufacture. Sampling of cheeses
prior to release from quarantine to ensure the pH is not above 6 may be required by the
Director of Quarantine.

6 Cheese made according to requirement 2.5.3(b) above shall not be released from
quarantine until a minimum period of 120 days storage at a temperature not less than 2°C
after the date of manufacture. Sampling of cheeses prior to release from quarantine to ensure
the pH is not above 6 may be required by the Director of Quarantine.

*[Note: For cheese the date of manufacture is the date the curd was set.]

When considering the approval of countries to export dairy products into Australia, AQIS
takes into account the following criteria:

- the animal health status of the country;
- the effectiveness of veterinary services and other relevant certifying authorities;
- legislative controls over animal health, including quarantine policies and practices;
- the standard or reporting to the Office International des Epizooties (OIE) of major contagious disease outbreaks;
- effectiveness of veterinary laboratory services, including compliance with relevant international standards;
- effectiveness of systems for control over certification/documentation of products intended for export to Australia.

In effect, the AQIS import requirements for dairy products provide an additional control over the source and microbiological quality of raw milk used in the manufacture of dairy products imported into Australia. Similar quarantine measures are applied in New Zealand.

3.8 Domestic Food Safety Requirements

3.8.1 Food Standards Code

Microbiological limits

Standard 1.6.1 - Microbiological Limits for Food of the Food Standards Code (Volume 2) specifies maximum permissible levels of food-borne microorganisms that pose a risk to human health in nominated foods, or classes of foods. This Standard currently contains a number of microbiological limits for cheese, including cheese made from raw milk. Microbiological standards for L. monocytogenes and for Salmonella are specified for all raw milk cheeses, requiring that there are no detectable levels of these organisms. Additionally there is a limit for E. coli (as an indicator of hygienic production) in all cheese of 10 colony-forming units (cfu) per gram. Raw milk very hard cheeses, whether imported or produced domestically, have to comply with these microbiological limits or they would be considered to be failing foods.

Food Safety Standards

Chapter 3 of the Food Standards Code contains mandatory food safety standards that apply to every food business in Australia:

- Standard 3.1.1 - Interpretation and Application;
- Standard 3.2.2 - Food Safety Practices and General Requirements; and
- Standard 3.2.3 - Food Premises and Equipment.

13 The sampling plan for E. coli in cheese allows 1 in 5 samples to have up to 100 cfu per gram (M).
These standards cover general food safety practices, food handling requirements, health and hygiene matters and requirements for food premises and equipment. A fourth standard, Standard 3.2.1 Food Safety Programs has also been developed as a model standard (food businesses do not have to comply with this standard unless a State or Territory requires it).

The Food Safety Standards are not prescriptive but contain general requirements such that food businesses must take all practicable measures to produce safe and suitable food. When processing a food, food businesses must use a process step that is reasonably known to achieve the microbiological safety of the food (where a process step is needed to reduce to safe levels any pathogens that may be present in the food). Additionally, food handlers are expected to have skills and knowledge commensurate with the food handling/processing operation that they are involved with.

### 3.8.2 State dairy regulations

In addition to the requirements of the *Food Standards Code*, the safe production of milk and dairy products within Australia (for domestic sale) is controlled under State legislation. A summary of the dairy regulators and legislation in place within each jurisdiction is provided below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Victoria | Dairy Food Safety Victoria | *Dairy Act* 2000  
All dairy premises operating in Victoria are required to be licensed with Dairy Food Safety Victoria. Each licensee is required to have an approved Food Safety Program in place. |
| NSW     | SafeFood NSW            | *Food Production (Safety) Act* 1998  
*Food Production (Dairy Food Safety Scheme) Regulation* 1999  
*Dairy Industry Act* 2000  
The Dairy Food Safety Scheme is introduced by regulation under the *Food Production Act*. Under the scheme, all dairy businesses within NSW are required to be licensed with SafeFood NSW. The are also required to have a fully operational HACCP system in place (Food Safety Program). The Food Safety Program is certified and audited by SafeFood Food Safety officers. Quality assurance systems developed by SafeFood with the Dairy industry are consistent with the Quality Plus 2000 program. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Queensland</th>
<th>Safe Food Queensland</th>
<th>Food Production Safety ACT 2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dairy businesses are currently licensed by the Queensland Dairy Authority under the Dairy Industry Act 1993. Safe Food Queensland is currently developing a Food Safety Scheme for Dairy Produce, which will replace existing regulations by the beginning of 2003. This Scheme will require dairy businesses (from farming to processing) to have Food Safety Programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmania</td>
<td>Tasmanian Dairy Industry Authority</td>
<td>Dairy Industry Act 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Tasmanian Dairy Industry Authority licenses dairy farmers, manufacturers and processors providing they meet quality assurance requirements. The Quality Assurance Program is managed through Codes of Practice gazetted under the Dairy Industry Act, 1994.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Australia</td>
<td>Dairy Authority of South Australia</td>
<td>Dairy Industry Act 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Dairy Authority of South Australia regulates the production, processing and vending of dairy products. It provides licenses and operates via industry-administered Codes of Practice and quality assurances programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>Dairy Safety Program</td>
<td>WA Health Act 1911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Western Australia Department of Health</td>
<td>Currently, local government inspects premises and processes with regard to relevant legislation in the Health Act and its Food Hygiene regulations (and the Food Standards Code). The Food Safety Program encourages the adoption of food safety programs. Milk processors also require their milk suppliers to have on farm quality systems which are audited by the Dairy Safety Program.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Regulatory Options

There are two regulatory options posed by this proposal, to amend Volume 2 of the Code to allow for the sale of very hard cheeses produced from raw milk or not to amend the Code.

- Option 1 – amend Volume 2 of the [Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code](https://www.foodstandards.govt.nz/en/standards-code) to allow for the sale of very hard cheeses produced from raw milk

Under Option 1, an amendment to volume 2 of the Code could be made to Standard 1.6.2 – Processing Requirements or Standard 2.5.4 – Cheese. An amendment to Standard 1.6.2 would maintain the status quo for Australia with respect to the importation of raw milk very hard cheeses and would, additionally, allow for domestic production of these cheeses. New Zealand, however, is not covered by this standard and would need to continue with its current administrative arrangements that allow for the import of such cheeses.
An amendment to Standard 2.5.4 would be applicable to both Australia and New Zealand and potentially create a more level playing field for domestic producers in both countries in that it could allow for domestic production of raw milk very hard cheeses in both countries as well as their importation. However, an amendment to Volume 2 of the Code would be more logically placed in Standard 1.6.2 as it is directly concerned with the processing requirements. As New Zealand currently has its own measures in place to deal with the importation of raw milk hard cheeses and has its own processing requirements for domestic production, an amendment to Standard 1.6.2 would not discount their interests. An amendment to Standard 1.6.2 – Processing Requirements is therefore proposed under Option 1.

The draft amendment proposed under Option 1 at Draft Assessment would have exempted very hard cheeses, as a category, from having to comply with the heat treatment requirements for cheese manufacture specified in Standard 1.6.2 of the Code. Very hard cheeses are defined by this amendment as those with moisture content of no more than 36% and which have been matured/stored for a minimum of 6 months from the date of manufacture at a temperature no less than 10°C. These parameters are consistent with the Codex International Standard for Extra Hard Grating Cheese.

Proposed amendment at draft assessment under Option 1:

2 Processing of cheese and cheese products

(1) Cheese and cheese products must be manufactured –

(a) from milk and milk products that have been heat treated –

(i) by being held at a temperature of no less than 72°C for a period of no less than 15 seconds, or by using a time and temperature combination providing an equivalent level of bacteria reduction; or

(ii) by being held at a temperature of no less than 62°C for a period of no less than 15 seconds, and the cheese or cheese product stored at a temperature of no less than 2°C for a period of 90 days from the date of manufacture; or

(b) such that the cheese or cheese product has a moisture content of less than 36%, after being stored at a temperature of no less than 10°C for a period of no less than 6 months from the date of manufacture; or

(c) in accordance with clause 3 of Standard 2.5.4.

• Option 2 – no amendment

Option 2 means there would be no amendment to Volume 2 of the *Food Standards Code* which would allow for the sale of raw milk very hard cheeses.

5. Impact Analysis

The options posed by this proposal at draft assessment were concerned with clause 2 of Standard 1.6.2 – Processing Requirements, which are not applicable to New Zealand. This impact analysis, therefore, focuses on the impact on Australian parties but would also be relevant to New Zealand as discussed above.
5.1 Option 1

Option 1 essentially maintains the status quo with respect to allowing the continued importation of raw milk very hard (parmesan style) cheeses into Australia. Additionally, this amendment would allow for the domestic production of very hard cheeses (no greater than 36% moisture) using milk that has not been heat treated prior to cheese manufacture, providing the cheese is ripened for at least 6 months. It is unlikely that this would result in any changes to the manufacturing protocols currently used in large scale commercial operations where milk is routinely pasteurised prior to cheese making, but could allow smaller, specialty cheese makers further scope in the production of very hard cheeses. This would, however, be subject to applicable State and Territory requirements for cheese production and would need to meet all other requirements of the Food Standards Code.

The impact of option 1 on the parties most likely to be affected by this application is outlined below.

5.1.1 Importers

The are several major importers of raw milk parmesan style cheeses. Around 900 to 1000 tonnes of raw milk parmesan style cheese (Grana Padano and Parmigiano Reggiano) are imported to Australia from Italy annually. Option 1 enables importers to continue to import these cheeses as they have done for many years.

5.1.2 Consumers

Consumers of Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano, in particular, and of specialty cheeses in general would wish to have access to raw milk very hard cheeses. The scientific assessment indicates that these cheeses can be manufactured to achieve a safe product and should pose no additional public health and safety concern compared to a very hard cheese produced with pasteurised milk.

Option 1 provides consumers with continued access to these cheeses and, potentially, further increases consumer choice through the possible domestic production of raw milk very hard cheeses in the future.

5.1.3 Industry

The dairy industry would not support a measure that could erode domestic and export market confidence in the safety of dairy products. Pasteurisation has been viewed as a key-processing requirement for maintaining the safety of milk and milk products in Australia and New Zealand. However, raw milk parmesan style cheeses have been imported for many years without any reported adverse public health consequences and the scientific assessment indicates that a safe product can be produced. Quarantine requirements can provide an additional control over the milk quality used for imported products.

---

14 Import figures provided by ISTAT (Italy’s National Statistical Institute) via the Embassy of Italy, Canberra.
The majority of parmesan style cheese consumed in Australia is produced domestically and from pasteurised milk. Australia produced around 374,261 tonnes of cheese in 2001, approximately 13,920 tonnes of this being hard grating cheese\(^{15}\). Compared to domestic production, imported raw milk parmesan style cheeses account for only a small percentage of the market. Australian specialty cheese manufacturers (in particular), however, may wish to increase the development and production of very hard cheeses using raw milk within Australia. There has, however, been no indication that domestic producers wish to produce such cheeses at this point and any future production of these cheeses domestically would need to be supported by appropriate State and Territory dairy manufacturing requirements and industry protocols.

Many restaurateurs and caterers serve and use imported raw milk hard cheeses in food preparation. The food service sector would, therefore, support the ongoing supply of these cheeses into Australia.

Option 1 should result in minimal impact on the domestic dairy industry and would support the interests of the food service sector and specialty cheese manufacturers.

### Government

As with industry, State and Territory departments of health and dairy regulators would not support a measure that could compromise the safety of dairy products and impact adversely on human health. As discussed, Option 1 is supported by the scientific evaluation that indicates that very hard cheeses can be manufactured to achieve a safe product using good hygienic and manufacturing practices.

Domestic production of raw milk cheese, however, would need to be supported by State and Territory requirements for milk and cheese production. This may involve the development of codes of practice or other guidance material by jurisdictions in order to specifically cover cheese production from raw milk.

For the Imported Food Program of AQIS, enforcing processing standards at the border is difficult. Option 1 does not provide an additional processing requirement but essentially provides an exemption from the heat treatment provisions for low moisture cheeses ripened for at least 6 months. Information as to the types of cheeses covered by this provision can be supplied to AQIS to support testing against the requirements of Standard 1.6.1 – Microbiological Limits for Food. Option 1 should not impose any additional enforcement requirements.

### Option 2

Rejecting Proposal P263 would result in no amendment to Standard 1.6.2 of the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (Volume 2 of the Code). This will mean that all cheeses will be required to be manufactured from pasteurised or thermised milk as specified in this standard unless there is specific permission for an alternative process such as for Gruyere, Sbrinz and Emmental cheese produced in Switzerland. As a consequence, raw milk very hard cheeses such as Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano would not be able to be imported into Australia.

\(^{15}\)Australian Dairy Corporation, *Australian Dairy Industry in Focus 2001.*
5.2.1 Importers

Import companies that currently have permits to import raw milk very hard cheeses into Australia would no longer be permitted to bring these products into the country for sale. Option 2 would disadvantage cheese importers.

5.2.2 Consumers

Consumers who currently enjoy raw milk parmesan style cheeses imported from Italy would no longer be able to purchase these products in Australia nor enjoy them in restaurants. The Italian community, in particular, would not be able to have continued access to these traditional cheeses. As raw milk very hard cheeses pose no additional public health and safety risk to that of pasteurised milk very hard cheeses, Option 2 does not benefit consumers.

5.2.3 Industry

The domestic cheese manufacturing industry would continue to supply the vast majority of very hard parmesan style cheeses consumed in Australia. There would unlikely be any significant increase in demand for Australian cheeses to compensate for the niche market that imported Grana Padano and Parmigiano Reggiano, for example, currently have. Option 2 would also maintain the status quo for specialty cheese makers, requiring all cheese to be made from pasteurised or thermised milk.

Restaurateurs and caterers would be unable to continue serving and using these cheeses. This may be considered a negative impact on the quality and range of food products served by the food service sector and would not be supported by them.

Option 2 is unlikely to provide any benefit to industry.

5.2.4 Government

Stopping the trade in raw milk very hard cheeses would have no real impact on State and Territory governments. The Commonwealth government, however, may be petitioned by consumers, the food service sector and importers to allow cheeses such as Grana Padano and Parmigiano Reggiano to continue to be allowed into Australia.

Italian cheese manufacturers and trade officials may also be concerned by such a barrier to trade which is not supported by the scientific evidence and petition the Commonwealth to address the issue with possible WTO implications. Option 2 may have a negative impact on government at the Commonwealth level.

For the Imported Food Program of AQIS, enforcing the requirement that raw milk cheeses are not permitted into Australia for sale, (except for those specifically permitted) would not be significantly affected by Option 2.

5.3 Cost benefit comparison

A comparison of the impact of Options 1 and 2 on the parties identified is summarised in the table below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Party</th>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industry</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dairy industry (domestic)</td>
<td>Costs. No cost</td>
<td>Costs. No cost to large manufacturers.</td>
<td>The dairy industry should not be significantly affected by Option 1 or 2, though large dairy manufacturers may be more supportive of Option 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benefits. No benefit to large manufacturers. Specialty cheese makers may benefit through increased flexibility in regulation for very hard grating cheeses.</td>
<td>Benefits. No real benefit, though large dairy producers may feel that Option 2 best protects domestic cheese production.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industry</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Food service sector</td>
<td>Costs. No cost</td>
<td>Costs. The food service sector unable to continue using raw milk very hard cheeses.</td>
<td>The food service sector benefited by Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benefits. The food service sector would benefit by being able to continue serving and using raw milk very hard cheeses</td>
<td>Benefits. No benefit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Importers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Costs. No costs.</td>
<td>Costs. Impacts on the trade of raw milk parmesan style cheese.</td>
<td>Only Option 1 provides a benefit to importers – Option 2 will impart a cost through restricting trade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benefits. Maintains the status quo, enabling importers to continue the trade of raw milk parmesan style cheeses.</td>
<td>Benefits. None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consumers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Costs. No costs</td>
<td>Costs. Unable to access raw milk very hard cheeses; choice restricted.</td>
<td>As for importers, Option 1 provides the only benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benefits. The trade in imported raw very hard cheeses is maintained.</td>
<td>Benefits. None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Government</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Costs. Implementation costs to State dairy regulators in overseeing the production of cheese from raw milk within their jurisdiction (e.g. development of additional supporting material and verification systems to ensure safe food production).</td>
<td>Costs. Negative impact at the Commonwealth level through adverse reactions by importers, consumers, the food service sector and Italian trade representatives. Possible WTO implications due to restriction in trade. Possibly no cost to State/Territory government.</td>
<td>The continued trade of raw milk very hard cheeses (Option 1) provides an overall benefit to Government. There may be an implementation cost to State regulators to ensure safe domestic production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benefits. The trade in imported raw milk very hard cheeses is maintained. May support the promotion and development of the Australian dairy industry.</td>
<td>Benefits. No additional resources required in implementing the possible production of very hard raw milk cheeses at State level.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outcome: While Option 1 may impose additional costs on State and Territory jurisdictions associated with implementing domestic production of raw milk very hard cheeses, it provides an overall benefit to most parties compared with Option 2.

6. Consultation

6.1 World Trade Organization

It is recommended that the WTO be notified under the SPS agreement in accordance with Australia and New Zealand’s obligations as members of the WTO, in order to enable other member countries to comment on proposed changes to standards that may have a significant impact on them.

6.2 Public consultation

Proposal P263 has been progressed under section 36 of the Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991, omitting the first round of public comment. The decision to omit one round of comment was made on the basis that it would not have a significant adverse effect on the interests of stakeholders.

The Draft Assessment Report was released for public comment on 25 September 2002. In addition to the general notification for public comment, the Report was circulated to relevant industry representatives and associations (such as the Australian Dairy Products Federation); State and Territory departments of health and dairy regulators; importers and their representative bodies; AQIS, and other parties who have demonstrated an interest in raw milk cheese production and regulation in the past. Eighteen submissions were received, a summary of these is provided at Attachment 3.

6.2.1 Issues raised in submissions

The submissions received, except one, generally supported the continued importation of raw milk very hard cheeses. Many of the submissions, however, raised concerns with how the domestic production of these cheeses would be implemented. Dairy Food Safety Victoria and the Australian Dairy Products Federation proposed that additional time (12 to 24 months) be given before domestic production comes into effect. This would enable time for the assessment, development and verification of Critical Control Points in raw milk cheese manufacture and the development of guidelines for the manufacture of raw milk cheese to support food safety programs.

Amending the Code for imported and domestic foods

As a general rule, food standards within Chapters 1 and 2 of the Code apply equally to both imported and domestically produced foods, without discrimination. This is consistent with Australia and New Zealand’s obligations as members of the WTO. It is also consistent with FSANZ’ objectives under section 10 of its Act, in particular the desirability of an efficient and internationally competitive food industry and the promotion of consistency between domestic and international food standards (harmonisation).

---

This submission, from a New Zealand cheese manufacturer, opposed the continued trade in imported raw milk cheeses because of “unfair competition” with subsidised European cheeses.
To differentiate between imported and domestically produced foods in relation to a food standard, even for a limited time, may not be consistent with these requirements.

The TBT Agreement states "Members shall ensure that technical regulations are not prepared, adopted or applied...with the effect of creating unnecessary obstacles to international trade". Additional requirements such as a delay in amending Standard 1.6.2 for domestic cheese production could have the effect of creating unnecessary obstacles to international trade.

For example, in respect of those domestic producers who may wish to produce very hard raw milk cheeses for export, and who have the capacity to comply with all relevant standards so as to produce a safe product in the absence of specific State or Territory guidelines. Additionally, such a delay may be prejudicial to the section 10 objective of the desirability of an efficient and internationally competitive food industry.

As discussed above, the proposed amendment at draft assessment would not exist in isolation but must be considered in the context of other food standards, including Standard 1.6.1 and the Food Safety Standards. In concert, these standards promote the protection of public health and safety. Although implementation measures such as guidelines may be useful for domestic industry, all industry players must in any case ensure that they comply with relevant standards.

Additionally, DFSV questions why temperature/time parameters were not included in the drafting considering they were evaluated in the scientific assessment. SafeFood NSW and the Queensland Dairy Authority in their submissions also raise this. DFSV raises the point that low curd cooking temperatures combined with pressing could achieve a moisture level below 36% (which would meet the requirements of the standard) but the food safety risks would be different to those considered in the scientific evaluation. Additionally there was concern that there was insufficient evidence presented to show that low moisture content and maturation times alone (which the proposed amendment to Standard 1.6.2 reflected) were sufficient to ensure a safe product.

Moisture content and drafting issues

The scientific evaluation of the safety of very hard cheeses (Attachment 2) examined the effect of the temperature processes used in the manufacture of certain very hard cheeses on bacterial pathogens, combined with the effects of other parameters such as water activity and pH. The use of higher curd cooking temperatures (greater than 48°C) did achieve a bacterial reduction in themselves – the higher the temperature/time process, the greater the bacterial reduction. Further bacterial die off is then achieved during maturation (within 3 months for very hard cheeses using high curd cooking temperatures). When lower curd cooking temperatures are used no bacterial reduction may be achieved. However it is indicated that bacterial die off will still be achieved by the end of the maturation period (within 6 months) for the very hard cheeses evaluated. As discussed previously, the combined effects of pH, salt, moisture and storage temperature come into play during ripening and promote the die off of pathogens. While the scientific evaluation only examined five manufacturing protocols, it was considered that these cheese types are representative of the very hard cheese category.
The manufacture of very hard cheeses involves a process which, generally, includes the addition of starter culture, curd coagulation, curd cutting, curd cooking, possibly some pressing, salting and long maturation. Depending on the particular cheese variety there is some variation in this process, particularly as to the exact curd cooking temperature used, the final pH and salt levels (these parameters are discussed in section 3.5). The use of higher curd cooking temperatures to promote syneresis and achieve the low moisture content of less than 36% is implicit in the manufacture of very hard cheeses. Very hard cheeses are, overall, characterised by their low moisture content and long maturation period. The Codex International Standard for Extra Hard Grating cheeses specifies that they have a maximum moisture content of 36% and that there is a maturation procedure for at least 6 months.

The drafting for Option 1 at draft assessment set out to provide an exemption for very hard cheeses from the heat treatment requirements in Standard 1.6.2 that require milk and milk products for cheese manufacture to be pasteurised or thermised. It did this with minimal prescription, identifying this cheese category by moisture content and maturation time, consistent with the Codex Standard. The intent was not to provide a detailed standard for the manufacture of raw milk very hard cheeses. Such an approach would be overly prescriptive and against COAG principles. As discussed below, the safe production of these cheeses domestically should be ensured by other requirements in the Food Standards Code and State and Territory regulations.

Neil Willman, an expert in cheese making from the University of Melbourne, supports, in principle, an amendment to the Code which would allow the domestic production of raw milk very hard cheeses but is concerned that there is a lack of technical expertise (best practice) in Australia to make them. These concerns are also raised by the Australian Specialty Cheesemakers Association who recommend that such cheeses need to be made under appropriate protocols to ensure safety. These would include the mandatory use of starter cultures, the monitoring of pH reduction and the use of a minimum salt level.

Ensuring safe cheese production

The proposed amendment to the Code at draft assessment did not exist in isolation but would be supported by other standards within the Food Standards Code and State/Territory dairy regulations that do require that the necessary control measures be in place to produce a safe product.

The Food Safety Standards (Chapter 3 of the Food Standards Code) specify that food businesses must take all practicable measures to produce safe and suitable food. A food business must use a process step that is reasonably known to achieve the microbiological safety of the food (where a process step is needed to reduce to safe levels any pathogens that may be present in the food) and the food handlers within that business are expected to have skills and knowledge commensurate with the food handling/processing operation that they are involved with. This means that a food business involved in the manufacture of a cheese would need to have the skills and knowledge necessary for the safe production of that cheese and be using a production process that can achieve a safe product.

Additionally, the final product must comply with the microbiological limits specified in Standard 1.6.1 of the Food Standards Code.
State dairy regulators should further oversee the safe production of dairy products within their jurisdictions through licensing and other requirements. The production of raw milk very hard cheeses should only proceed if the food safety and processing requirements in State regulation is met. An editorial note to this effect could be included with an amendment to Standard 1.6.2 as follows:

**Editorial note:**

Cheese under clause 2(1)(b) is generally known as ‘extra hard grating cheese’ – see the Codex International Standard for Extra Hard Grating Cheese (*CODEX STAN C-35-1978*).

For Australia, cheese and cheese products must also be manufactured using measures to ensure compliance with requirements in Standard 1.6.1 – Microbiological Limits for Food, Chapter 3 - Food Safety Standards, and any applicable State and Territory requirements in relation to cheese production, including any specific requirements in relation to the safety of raw milk and raw milk cheese production.

### 6.3 Outcome of public consultation

It is acknowledged that the safe production of raw milk very hard cheeses domestically would need to be supported by additional guidance or other material specific to the production of raw milk cheese. This would identify the critical control points in the operation and ensure appropriate controls are in place and monitored. Delaying the final assessment of this proposal to allow for State and Territory jurisdictions to develop and implement such measures would mean that an amendment to the Code to allow the continued importation of raw milk very hard cheeses would not be made before December 20 2002.

In addition, dairy regulators and industry indicated that they would prefer an amendment to the Code, which included additional manufacturing requirements. A minimum curd cooking temperature requirement could be included as an extra measure of safety assurance. This would also be in keeping with the outcomes of the scientific evaluation, which concluded that the greatest control over pathogen destruction was the combination effect of curd cooking and long maturation.

As a result, it is proposed at final assessment to change the drafting for Option 1 so that it includes a minimum curd-heating requirement. Additionally, it is proposed to include an editorial note such that the draft amendment will be reviewed within 12 months of gazettal.

### 7. Draft Amendment at Final Assessment

Submissions received at draft assessment supported the continued importation of raw milk very hard cheeses. A number of submissions did not support a minimum effective regulatory amendment to the Code that would also allow for the domestic production of raw milk very hard cheeses without the inclusion of additional measures.

It is proposed at final assessment that the draft amendment includes a minimum curd heating requirement of 48°C. Temperatures of 48°C and above are lethal to the pathogens of concern and this temperature range is representative of the “high” curd cooking temperatures used for the production of raw milk very hard cheeses.
The inclusion of this minimum temperature requirement further reflects that a greater level of safety assurance can be achieved through the combination of high curd cooking temperatures and long maturation time for these low moisture cheeses (<36%). Imported raw milk very hard cheeses currently imported into Australia would also comply with this requirement.

An editorial note is included with the draft amendment (Attachment 1) stating that this amendment will be reviewed within 12 months of gazettal. This will allow for the continued importation of raw milk very hard cheeses while the issue of raw milk cheese production can be further progressed with State dairy regulators and industry such that a longer term measure which will satisfy both import and domestic requirements can be agreed.

While it is unlikely that raw milk cheese production in Australia will be progressed in the short term, the editorial note additionally includes reference to other requirements in the Food Standards Code and State and Territory requirements in relation to cheese production, including any specific requirements in relation to the safety of raw milk and raw milk cheese production.

8. Conclusions and Recommendation

The scientific evaluation of very hard cheeses made from raw milk (Attachment 2) concluded that the manufacturing processes assessed for the very hard cheeses Grana Padano, Parmigiano Reggiano, Romano, Asiago and Montasio (varieties considered as representative of the very hard grating cheese category) can achieve a 5 log reduction of the bacterial pathogens of concern when using raw milk. This benchmark level of bacterial reduction is considered as achieving a microbiologically safe product and therefore an equivalent level of safety as cheeses produced from heat-treated (pasteurised or thermised) milk. The low moisture content of these very hard cheeses (<36%) and the long maturation/ripening periods involved (greater than 6 months) are integral in determining the survival of any pathogens that may be present and are characteristic of this category of cheese.

Based on the scientific assessment it is concluded that very hard cheeses in general can be manufactured to an equivalent level of safety as cheeses produced using pasteurised or thermised milk, using good manufacturing and hygienic practices. An amendment to the Food Standards Code that would allow the domestic production of such cheeses by exempting them from the heat treatment requirements of Standard 1.6.2, however, was not supported by State dairy regulators and the dairy industry in the absence of additional control measures to ensure safe production. The continued importation of these cheeses, however, was supported.

It is recommended, based on the scientific evaluation, that the draft amendment to Standard 1.6.2, include a requirement in addition to moisture content (<36%) and maturation time (at least 6 months at a temperature of no less than 10°C) such that the cheese curd must be heat treated to a temperature of at least 48°C. The draft amendment is to be reviewed within 12 months of gazettal so that a generic standard, based on minimum effective legislation, can be developed in consultation with jurisdictions. This will enable any outstanding issues with jurisdictions to be resolved without impacting on continued importation of raw milk very hard cheeses in the interim.

An amendment to Standard 1.6.2 of the Australian New Zealand Food Standards Code (Volume 2) is recommended for the following reasons:
- The amendment is based on scientific evidence which supports that raw milk very hard cheeses (less than 36% moisture, stored for at least 6 months at no less than 10 °C and which have undergone a curd heat treatment of no less than 48 °C) can achieve an equivalent level of safety as cheeses using heat treated milk and do not pose any significant public health and safety risk.

- The amendment supports the continued importation of raw milk very hard cheeses such as Grana Padano and Parmigiano Reggiano that have been imported into Australia for many years.

- The impact analysis indicates that the amendment will provide an overall benefit to key stakeholders including importers, consumers, the food service sector and relevant government agencies.

9. Implementation and Review

This Final Assessment Report and its recommendations have been approved by the FSANZ Board and are now being reviewed by the Australia and New Zealand Food Regulation Ministerial Council (ANZFRMC). Once the ANZFRMC process is finalised, an amendment to Standard 1.6.2 of Volume 2 of the Code may be published in the *Commonwealth Gazette* and the *New Zealand Gazette* and adopted by reference and without amendment under Australian State and Territory food law. This amendment will commence on gazettal and will be reviewed within 12 months.
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DRAFT VARIATION TO THE AUSTRALIA NEW ZEALAND FOOD STANDARDS CODE

To commence: on gazettal

[1] Standard 1.6.2 of Volume 2 of the Food Standards Code is varied by omitting clause 2 and the editorial notes immediately following clause 2, substituting –

2 Processing of cheese and cheese products

(1) Cheese and cheese products must be manufactured –

(a) from milk and milk products that have been heat treated –

(i) by being held at a temperature of no less than 72°C for a period of no less than 15 seconds, or by using a time and temperature combination providing an equivalent level of bacteria reduction; or

(ii) by being held at a temperature of no less than 62°C for a period of no less than 15 seconds, and the cheese or cheese product stored at a temperature of no less than 2°C for a period of 90 days from the date of manufacture; or

(b) such that –

(i) the curd is heated to a temperature of no less than 48°C; and

(ii) the cheese or cheese product has a moisture content of less than 36%, after being stored at a temperature of no less than 10°C for a period of no less than 6 months from the date of manufacture; or

(c) in accordance with clause 3 of Standard 2.5.4.

Editorial note:

Paragraph 2(1)(b) is to be reviewed within 12 months from its date of gazettal.

Cheese under paragraph 2(1)(b) is generally known as ‘extra hard grating cheese’ – see the Codex International Standard for Extra Hard Grating Cheese (CODEX STAN C-35-1978).

For Australia, cheese and cheese products must also be manufactured using measures to ensure compliance with requirements in Standard 1.6.1 – Microbiological Limits for Food, Chapter 3 - Food Safety Standards, and any applicable State and Territory requirements in relation to cheese production, including any specific requirements in relation to the safety of raw milk and raw milk cheese production.

For New Zealand, the processing of cheese and cheese products, other than those manufactured in accordance with clause 3 of Standard 2.5.4, is regulated under the Dairy Industry Act 1952 and the Food Act 1981.
SCIENTIFIC EVALUATION OF THE SAFETY OF VERY HARD CHEESES PRODUCED FROM RAW MILK

A report prepared for Food Standards Australia New Zealand by Food Science Australia

August 2002

Report prepared by:
Dr P Desmarchelier
Mr P Vanderlinde

Microbiology Section
Brisbane Laboratory
Summary

This report is a scientific evaluation of the safety of very hard cheeses produced from raw or heat-treated milk. Very hard cheeses considered in this report include Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano, Romano, Asiago and Montasio. All of these cheeses are characterised by a low moisture content i.e. <35%, relatively high curd-cooking temperatures (>42°C) and long storage/maturation times (3 to 24 months). The performance of the production process was evaluated for common food-borne pathogens eg Campylobacter jejuni/coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes, pathogenic Escherichia coli, and Salmonella.

The major source of contamination of cheese with food-borne pathogens is via the raw milk. Properly controlled processes used in the manufacture of cheese are able to inactive these bacterial pathogens. Thermal treatment of milk eg pasteurisation and thermisation, are established means for the reduction of these pathogens in raw milk. The reduction of bacterial numbers achieved with thermal processing varies depending on the combination of temperature and duration of heating employed. The fermentation and maturation processes used will also aid in the reduction of pathogen numbers depending on the level of pH and water activity, aw.

C. jejuni/coli maybe present in the raw milk used for cheese making. Campylobacter spp. are unlikely to grow in the raw milk and will be eliminated by thermisation (65-68 °C) or pasteurisation (73-75 °C). During the manufacture of raw milk cheese heating the curd to > 55°C for more than 5 min will result in a 5-log reduction in Campylobacter numbers. At low curd cooking temperatures i.e. < 48 °C, a 64 min cooking time would be required before a 5-log reduction in thermophilic Campylobacter spp. would be reached. However, after brining, ripening and storage, the conditions in the cheese are expected to be lethal to C. jejuni. It is concluded that C. jejuni/coli are unlikely to be a hazard in very hard cheeses.

Pathogenic E. coli may be present in raw milk and can grow in the milk if the temperature during storage and transport is greater than 7°C. In some of the cheese processes milk is held at temperatures above 7°C for a short time to aid in processing, at the temperatures used it is unlikely that significant growth will occur. E. coli are sensitive to heat and readily inactivated by pasteurisation and thermisation. Cooking of the curd will impact on any E. coli that have survived the processing to that stage, although at low cooking temperatures little inactivation will occur. When the curd is cooked at high temperatures eg 55°C, reductions in E. coli numbers of between 2.5 and 6-logs would be expected. After cooking it is possible there is an increase (up to 2-logs) in numbers due to growth and the concentration of cells in the curd (syneresis). During ripening and storage the numbers of E. coli present in the cheese will decrease.

Salmonella has been isolated frequently from raw milk although the level of contamination should be very low. The behaviour of Salmonella during cheese making will be similar to that observed for pathogenic E. coli, although some heat resistant strains exist (i.e. Salmonella Senftenberg). Pasteurisation or thermisation of the raw milk will destroy most salmonellae. Salmonella will not survive high curd cooking temperatures although some increase can occur at low temperatures, possibly as a result of syneresis. The relatively high maturation temperatures and longer storage times used in the manufacture of very hard cheeses will eliminate Salmonella i.e. >5-log reduction.
Coagulase positive *S. aureus* are the cause of food-borne intoxications. Milk usually becomes infected via the animal host or food handlers during processing. High numbers of staphylococci are required for the production of heat stable enterotoxins (10⁶ to 10⁷ CFU/mL). Raw milk that is not cooled rapidly or stored correctly will support growth and possible toxin production. Toxin production appears more likely associated with growth in the milk prior to cheese making rather than as a result of temperatures during curd formation. Pasteurisation and thermsisation (65-68°C for 63s) will inactivate *S. aureus*. However, staphylococcal enterotoxins are thermally stable and if toxin is present in the raw milk active toxin will remain in the cheese after processing. Cooking the curd at 55-56°C will produce considerable reductions of *S. aureus*. There is little effect of cooking at lower temperatures (42-48°C) on the numbers of *S. aureus*. Increases of between 1 to 2-logs can occur after cooking at low temperatures and a rapid pH fall is essential for controlling growth. *S. aureus* is inactivated in very hard cheeses during maturation and storage. The presence of toxin will be determined mainly by the number of organisms present in the milk used for cheese making.

*L. monocytogenes* is a zoonosis and is ubiquitous in the environment. The bacterium may be present in raw milk and is inactivated in pasteurised milk. Numbers of *L. monocytogenes* decrease during curd cooking at high temperatures (i.e. 55°C). Cooking at lower temperatures will have little effect on the numbers of *Listeria*. Survival of *Listeria* during the maturation is highly variable between products and within the same product. *Listeria* has been shown to survive and even grow on the outside surface of cheese during maturation. Survival is dependent on the pH of the cheese and at levels of ~pH 5.5 no growth should occur. *Listeria* does not appear to survive in very hard cheese during maturation and storage.

According to the criteria specified by FSANZ, the following conclusions were made:

1. All very hard cheeses made, according to the process criteria provided, will achieve a 5-log reduction in the numbers of the pathogens specified eg *Campylobacter jejuni/coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes*, pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella*. Note is made of the significance of staphylococcal enterotoxin production in raw milk and during manufacture.

2. The production process resulting in the greatest control/destruction of pathogens include the curd cooking together with the long maturation.

3. The presence of staphylococcal enterotoxin will be dependent on the initial quality/pathogen load of the raw milk, which needs to be controlled for the production process to achieve a safe product. This is the case whether using raw milk or pasteurised/thermised milk.

**Terms of reference and definitions**

This report is a scientific evaluation of the safety of very hard cheeses produced from raw milk prepared by Food Science Australia for Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) according to the Schedule Item A, Agreement Services, of Food Science Australia Contract *Scientific evaluation of the safety of very hard cheeses produced from raw milk*.

The Agreement Services are to make a scientific assessment of whether very hard cheeses produced with raw milk are a safe product. This involves the following:
a. determining whether the production process provides a safe product, as demonstrated by the absence of pathogens in the final product, by achieving at least a 5 log reduction of each pathogen;

b. determining where in the production process the greatest control/destruction of pathogens is achieved (e.g. during curd cooking; during maturation/storage);

c. determining whether the production process can achieve a safe product irrespective of the initial raw milk quality/pathogen load (i.e. in the absence of any controls over raw milk quality, could a safe product still be made).

For the purposes of the Agreement between FSANZ and Food Science Australia and thus this report, the following definitions and criteria apply:

i. Raw milk is milk that has not been treated by pasteurisation or thermisation.

ii. The pathogens are *Campylobacter jejuni/coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella*.

iii. Very hard cheeses are those characterised by a low moisture content (<35% moisture), the use of relatively high curd-cooking temperatures (>45°C) and long storage/maturation times (8 – 24 months). These cheeses include parmesan style cheeses such as Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano, Romano, Asiago, Montasio.

Information was supplied to Food Science Australia by FSANZ as follows. These documents are attached as an Annex to this report.

1. “Declaration of manufacture” from Zanetti sent to AQIS, Canberra for the following cheeses:
   a. Parmigiano Reggiano cheese (Zanetti Brand)
   b. Grana Padano cheese (Zanetti Brand)
   c. Asiago cheese (Zanetti Brand)
   d. Montasio cheese Zanetti (Tre Canpane Brand, Three Bells Brand)

2. Documents from Istituto Sperimentale Lattiero – Caseario for Parmigiano Reggiano, Grana Padano and Pecorino Romano cheeses including:
   a. statement and flow diagram for manufacture from Ministry of Agriculture,
   b. chemical composition

There are a variety of cheeses that fall within the group “very hard cheeses”. As information has been provided for those listed in (1) above, these are the only cheeses included in this evaluation. The information provided in the above documents have been summarised in Table 5 and Table 6. It should be noted that this evaluation was prepared using the process criteria provided in the above documents. The format of this report is a summary of the evaluation of each pathogen under the various processing conditions outlined in the above documentation provided for the manufacture of hard cheeses. As there are both similarities and differences between the cheeses, this was considered the most efficient approach.
Introduction

The major source of contamination of cheese with food-borne pathogens is via the ingredients, from environmental sources during processing and from milkers and food handlers. Milk, both ovine and bovine, is the major ingredient in these cheeses.

Raw milk may be contaminated with a variety of pathogens including all of those to be addressed in this evaluation eg C. jejuni/coli, S. aureus, L. monocytogenes, Salmonella spp. and pathogenic E. coli (Johnson et al., 1990). Other zoonotic pathogens may also be transmitted by raw milk eg. Mycobacterium tuberculosis and M. paratuberculosis, Brucella spp. and Coxiella burnetii; however, these do not fall within the terms of reference of this report. These bacteria may be both carried by healthy cattle and sheep and may cause disease in these animals. The extent of contamination of raw milk with any of these pathogens may be limited but not prevented by following good agricultural and sanitary practices on farm eg maintaining herd health, safe animal fodder, hygiene during milk collection, hygienic handling, storage, transport and delivery of milk. Growth of any of these contaminants can be limited by control of temperature during storage with rapid chilling to 4°C or less during storage and transport. High milk quality is essential in cheese making to ensure no inhibitory substances or microorganisms are present that will interfere with the fermentation process and that pathogens and their enterotoxins are not present.

Salmonella spp. and E. coli have been found to contaminate dairy factory environments. In addition, L. monocytogenes is an environmental bacterium as well as a zoonosis and factory surfaces, equipment and the general environment are a common source of L. monocytogenes contamination. Food handlers may also carry or suffer illness from these pathogens and are a source of contamination if poor personal hygiene is practiced. Good hygiene and manufacturing practices and standard operating procedures that are pre-requisites for HACCP programs, if effectively employed, will limit this means of contamination.

Properly controlled processes used in the manufacture of cheese are able to inactive these bacterial pathogens although not all bacterial enterotoxins. Thermal treatment of milk eg pasteurisation and thermisation, are established means for the reduction of these pathogens in raw milk. The reduction of bacterial numbers achieved with thermal processing varies depending on the combination of temperature and duration of heating employed. Thermal processing eg cooking of curd will also inactivate vegetative cells. The fermentation and maturation processes used in cheese manufacture may further influence the populations of these pathogens and this depends largely on the level of pH and water activity, $a_w$.

The maximum concentration of the pathogen in the food that is considered tolerable for human protection, or the food safety objective, determines the performance required of the production process for that food (ICMSF, 2002). While the relationship between the concentration of a pathogen and the human response is generally recognised as being continuous, the probability of infection increases as the dose or concentration increases.
For some food-poisoning bacteria (e.g., Salmonella and enterohaemorrhagic E. coli) the dose can be low, perhaps as low as one or two viable cells, particularly in foods with a high fat content such as cheese. For these bacteria it is important not only to limit contamination of the primary ingredient, raw milk, but also, for the cheese processing to perform so that the destruction or a theoretical D-value (decimal or log-cycle reduction) is sufficient to ensure a final product containing not more than the maximum tolerable level. The extent of this performance criterion necessary to provide sufficient consumer protection varies with the microorganism and the type of food.

In this evaluation the product is considered safe if the production process achieves at least a 5-log reduction in the pathogen e.g. the performance criterion is a 5D reduction. Therefore combinations of heating, pH and aw through the cheese making, early ripening and late ripening must be able to reduce contaminating pathogens by 5 log-cycles. Allowing for a concentration of pathogens during syneresis (separation of the curd from the whey) a total reduction may have to be as high as 7 log-cycles from raw milk to final product. The pH and temperature limits for growth of the pathogens of concern, beyond which the bacteria can survive but not multiply are given in Table 1. Minimum aw values are also listed in Table 1. Typical aw value for hard cheeses such as parmesan is 0.917, which would allow growth of some of the pathogens considered here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Temp. Range</th>
<th>pH Range</th>
<th>Minimum aw values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. jejuni</td>
<td>25 – 43</td>
<td>5.5 – 8.0</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. coli</td>
<td>30.5-45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. monocytogenes</td>
<td>1 – 44</td>
<td>4.4 – 9.0</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>7 – 48</td>
<td>4.0 – 9.8</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella spp.</td>
<td>7 – 47</td>
<td>4.7 – (9.0 - 11.0)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>7- 46</td>
<td>4.6 – 9.6</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Johnson et al., 1990. 2 Spahr and Url, 1994. 3ICMSF, 1996a-e 4Benjaman et al, 1983

Foodborne pathogens in hard cheeses

Campylobacter jejuni/coli

C. jejuni and C. coli are the most common Campylobacter spp. causing human diarrhoeal disease. The clinical disease of both is indistinguishable and most laboratories do not differentiate the species so that the ratio of illness due to each species is not clear. In the USA it is estimated that 1-3% human cases are due to C. coli (Oberhelman and Taylor, 2000) and in a study in Denmark 6% of campylobacteriosis cases over 12 months were caused by C. coli (Nielsen et al, 1997). Due to the predominance in human infection of C. jejuni most information in foods relates to this species.

Birds and animals are the main reservoir of C. jejuni/coli and they are found in the intestinal tract of a wide range of healthy domesticated animals. C. jejuni is found in cattle and sheep, while C. coli is more often found in pigs and birds and less likely to be a contaminant of sheep or cow’s milk than C. jejuni. In a nationwide study of faeces of cattle at slaughterhouses in Denmark in 1995-6, thermophilic campylobacters were isolated from 47% of 94 cattle and 46% of 316 swine (Nielsen et al, 1997). 90.9% of the thermophilic campylobacters from cattle were C. jejuni and 94.5% form pigs were C. coli.
The organisms are found in the faeces of the animals and in cattle it can cause low-grade or subclinical mastitis although infrequently. Milk may be contaminated from faecal material or *Campylobacter* may be shed in the milk itself. Campylobacters have been isolated from 1-6% raw milk samples (Wallace, 1997). Raw or inadequately pasteurised milk is the most frequently identified vehicle of foodborne human infection with *C. jejuni* (ICMSF, 1996a). The authors are unaware of campylobacteriosis having been caused by consumption of contaminated cheese.

*C. jejuni/coli* that are present in the raw milks used for the production of these very hard cheeses will not grow unless the temperature during storage and transport are at greater than 30°C and the microaerophilic conditions of reduced oxygen and increased CO₂ are provided (Park, 2002). The temperatures at which the raw milks are held before processing are provided for two cheeses. The sheep’s milk used in Pecorino Romano cheese and cow’s milk used in Grana Padano cheese is held at 4°C and 16-17°C, respectively, and growth will not occur at these temperatures. Even for the other cheeses, the microaerophilic conditions will not prevail and growth is unlikely. The bacteria however will survive these storage temperatures.

These campylobacters are thermophilic in their growth; however, they are sensitive to heat and readily inactivated by pasteurisation and cooking temperatures for food (Park, 2002). *C. jejuni* has a D-value of 0.7-1.0 min at 55°C in skim milk (Doyle and Roman, 1981). Thermisation at 65-68°C for sufficient time or pasteurisation (73-75°C for 15-30 sec) will result in more than a 5-log reduction in thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. (D’Aoust et al, 1988). These thermal processes if controlled through effective HACCP programs will inactivate campylobacters present in the raw milks.

For each of the cheeses considered, raw milk is acidified and clotted by the addition of a starter culture and rennet. The starter for all except Pecorino Romano is aspirated from the whey fermentation of the previous day and is composed mainly of thermophilic lactobacilli; the starter for Pecorino Romano is a thermophilic lactobacilli and streptococci culture. The temperature for all is brought up to 33-40°C for between 10-30 min. While these temperatures may be suitable for growth of thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. other strict conditions required for growth are not met such as elevated CO₂ (10%) and reduced oxygen (5-6%; ICMSF, 1996a).

FSANZ has defined “very hard cheeses” for this evaluation as those that have the curd cooked at 45-55°C. When pasteurised milk is used in the manufacture of cheeses (i.e. Asiago and Montasio, a lower curd cooking temperature is used, 42-46°C for 20-30 min and 48°C for 30-40 min respectively. The curd made from thermised sheep milk (Pecorino Romano), is cooked at 45-48°C for 10 min and is held at this temperature for up to 30 min while the curd is pressed under the whey. Raw milk used for production of Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano is not thermally treated and the curd is cooked at a higher temperature eg 55-56°C for 15-20 min.

The curd is held for longer than this time as it is allowed to rest in contact with the whey in the cooking vat before pressing and hooping so that the time at 55-56°C is a minimum of 40 min. In skim milk *C. jejuni* has a D-value of 7.2-12.8 min at 48°C, 0.7 – 1 min at 55°C (Doyle and Roman, 1981) and at 40°C with optimum gas atmosphere death occurred (ICMSF, 1996a).
C. jejuni would not survive the curd cooking temperatures used in the manufacture of these hard cheeses. At low curd cooking temperatures i.e. < 48 °C, a 64 min cooking time would be required before a 5-log reduction in thermophilic Campylobacter spp. would be reached.

Campylobacter survive poorly in mildly acidic environments and in the presence of 2% or more salt and fails to grow at water activities of less than 0.987 (ICMSF, 1996a). The salt concentration of these cheeses is 1.4g/100g (Parmigiano Reggiano), 1.5g/100g (Grana Padano), and 5g/100g (Pecorino Romano). The final pHs of cheeses considered in this report are generally less than 5.5 (Grana Padano, 5.4-4.6, Asiago, 5.35 and Montasio, 5.4). After brining, ripening and storage, the conditions in the cheese are expected to be lethal to C. jejuni.

It is concluded that C. jejuni/coli are unlikely to be a hazard in these very hard cheeses as thermal processing applied during pasteurisation, thermisation and/or curd cooking will inactivate bacteria present in the raw milks and the conditions in the cheeses after fermentation and ripening will further inactivate survivors. This assumes that these processes are carried out under control programs such as HACCP and its pre-requisites.

Pathogenic Escherichia coli

Pathogenic E. coli associated with foodborne disease are grouped into specific pathotypes based mainly on their virulence characteristics, mechanisms of pathogenicity and clinical syndromes: enteropathogenic (EPEC), enterotoxigenic (ETEC), enteroinvasive (EIEC), diffuse-adhering (DAEC), enteroaggregative (EAEC) and enterohaemorrhagic (EHEC) (Desmarchelier and Grau, 1997). The epidemiology is not clear for all of these pathotypes. Human carriers are believed to be a principal reservoir and source of EPEC, EIEC and ETEC strains involved in human illness. The intestinal tract of ruminants including cattle and sheep is an important reservoir of EHEC.

Of the pathotypes of E. coli, the EHEC have become the most important foodborne type, in particular those belonging to the serotype O157. This is because the incidence of EHEC foodborne disease has increased significantly in recent years and because EHEC infection can have serious clinical outcomes such as haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS) and deaths occur (for a summary see Meng et al, 2001). There is insufficient data of each pathotypes’ behaviour in foods and data for non-pathogenic strains is used unless a pathotype is known to behave differently. EHEC in particular are distinguished from the other E. coli pathotypes, as some EHEC strains are able to tolerate mildly acidic conditions in foods. The dose of EHEC required to cause human illness is also considered to be very low with a few cells required in high-risk individuals.

Pathogenic E. coli have been the cause of foodborne illness where cheeses have been implicated as the source of infection. These have included EIEC isolated from Brie and Camembert, ETEC associated with consumption of Brie, EHEC implicated directly or indirectly with consumption of a variety of cheeses including semi-soft cheese, cheese curds, goat cheese, Lancashire cheese (a semi-hard cheese), (MacDonald, 1995; Deschenes et al., 1996; Desenclos et al., 1996; Desmarchelier and Grau, 1997). The source of the pathogens may have been the raw milk used in the cheese manufacture (EHEC), food handlers (EIEC, ETEC, EHEC) or water used in food manufacture (EIEC).
Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC) of which EHEC is a sub-group are found in the faeces of healthy cattle and sheep (Reviewed in Desmarchelier and Grau, 1997). Milk can become contaminated at collection or from the milking parlour environment and O157 EHEC have been isolated from raw cow’s milk on farm and from bulk raw milk tankers (summarised in Meng et al, 2001; Desmarchelier and Grau, 1997). The number of bacteria present in raw milk is expected to be very low, particularly with the co-mingling of milk in bulk containers. EHEC infection has been reported following the consumption of raw cow’s milk or milk-contaminated post-pasteurisation (summarised in Meng et al, 2001; Desmarchelier and Grau, 1997).

*E. coli* grow over a temperature range of $7^\circ$C to $46^\circ$C and the optimum is $35-40^\circ$C (ICMSF, 1996b). Any contaminants present in the raw milks will grow if the temperature during storage and transport are within this range. The extent of growth depends on the temperature and duration of storage. The raw cow’s milk used in Grana Padano cheese is separated at 16-17$^\circ$C for 6-8 h and a small amount of growth may occur although it is likely that the bacteria remain in the lag phase during this time. The storage temperature of sheep’s milk for Pecorino Romano is $4^\circ$C and this will inhibit growth, although *E. coli* will survive. There are no temperature details provided for Montasio and Asiago cheeses or Parmigiano Reggiano for this evaluation although this milk is stored for 10-12 h. Growth may occur in the latter milk depending on the temperature. It is assumed that no more than 1-log of growth is possible during the transportation and storage of milk prior to cheese making.

*E. coli* are sensitive to heat and readily inactivated by pasteurisation of milk (ICMSF, 1998). During thermisation at 64-68$^\circ$C, significant destruction in *E. coli* will occur if the milk is heated for approximately 1 min (D-value at $64^\circ$C = 3-9.6 sec). Thermisation at 63-65$^\circ$C for 15-20 sec (IDF definition) is likely to give a 2 to 5-log reduction (D’Aoust et al, 1988; Morgan et al, 1988). Pasteurisation at 73-75$^\circ$C for 15-30 sec will eliminate pathogenic *E. coli*.

The optimum growth temperature for *E. coli* is in the range 35-40$^\circ$C. Milk used for the manufacture of these hard cheeses is heated to 33-40$^\circ$C for curd formation. *E. coli* has been shown to multiply in milk with starter culture added (ICMSF, 1996b). At a pH of 5.1-6.6 at temperatures of 24-36$^\circ$C from 1-2.5-log growth occurred over 5-7 h. At 32.8-40$^\circ$C and pH of 6.1-6.6, 3-log growth occurred over 3.5 h. While the temperature and the pH of the milk may allow growth, the durations of holding at these conditions would appear not likely to result in significant growth although *E. coli* will survive.

Cooking of the curd will impact on any *E. coli* that have survived the processing at this stage or been introduced into the product. The temperature limit for growth of *E. coli* is 46$^\circ$C with some strains of O157 EHEC unable to grow above 42.5$^\circ$C in selective media (Desmarchelier and Grau, 1997). Pasteurised milk cheeses (i.e. Asiago and Montasio) have relatively low curd cooking temperatures of 42-46$^\circ$C for 20-30 min and 48$^\circ$C for 30-40 min respectively. Although growth could occur, the time is short and as these milks are pasteurised there should be no survivors at this step if the pasteurisation process is adequately controlled.

The curd made from thermised sheep milk (i.e. Pecorino Romano) is cooked at 45-48$^\circ$C for 10 min and is held at this temperature up to 30 min while the curd is pressed under the whey. Growth will be inhibited at this temperature. The $D_{50}$ value for buffalo milk is 31 min (ICMSF, 1996b). Thermisation of the milk will have resulted in a 2- to 5-log reduction of *E. coli*. Low temperature cooking of the curd will provide very limited further inactivation.
Raw milk used for production of some hard cheeses (i.e. Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano) is not thermally treated; however, the curd is generally cooked at high temperatures (e.g. 55-56°C for 15-20 min) plus resting in the whey for a further 45-65 min. giving a minimum of 40 min. at this temperature. Curd heating of Swiss Emmentaler cheese is carried out at 53°C for 45 min, including time under whey. Bachmann and Spahr (1995) indicate that a 3.5-log reduction in E. coli can be achieved in that process. In skim milk E. coli has a D_{55} value of 5.5 min and in whole milk a D_{55} value of 6.6 min (ICMSF, 1996b). Using these values E. coli numbers would be reduced by approximately 6-logs during this processing step. When curd used for the manufacture of cottage cheese was heated to 56°C, E. coli O157:H7 numbers were reduced by ~2.5-logs (Hudson et al, 1997). No reduction was noted for the same strain at the lower cooking temperature (46°C) used for the manufacture of Romano cheese.

At this stage if processing and hygiene is properly controlled through the application of verified HACCP programs and good hygiene practices, then there should not be E. coli in the Asiago, Montasio, Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano cheeses or Pecorino Romano if the thermisation of raw milk is carried out at 64°C for at least 60 sec.

During the first 24 h of cheese manufacture any E. coli surviving in the milk will be concentrated in the curd during syneresis and if not destroyed during the curd cooking may also multiply during salting and ripening until the pH and a_w combinations reach the limits of no-growth. Table 2 lists the increase in E. coli numbers found in some cheese types during cheese making and early ripening. The pH of these cheeses at salting ranges from 4.95-5.2 and the NaCl concentration of the final cheese is 1.3-1.6 g/100g with the exception of Pecorino Romano that has a pH of 5.85 and a salt concentration of 5 g/100g. Hudson et al, 1997, reported an increase of 1.7-logs during curd formation of Romano cheese, after 65 h in 22% brine (Table 5). For hard cheeses the amount of growth of E. coli during the initial stages of ripening is likely to be less than 2-logs.

**Table 2:** Increase in *E. coli* numbers during cheese making and early ripening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cheese</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Log increase</th>
<th>Stage after:</th>
<th>Time from start (days)</th>
<th>pH (24h)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colby</td>
<td>Non-O157</td>
<td>0.2-4.0</td>
<td>Press</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.91-5.34</td>
<td>Kornacki &amp; Marth, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colby</td>
<td>O157</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hudson et al, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheddar</td>
<td>3 xO157</td>
<td>0.7-1.4</td>
<td>Press</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reitsma and Henning, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romano</td>
<td>O157</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Brine</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hudson et al, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick</td>
<td>Non-O157</td>
<td>2.2-2.5</td>
<td>Brine</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Frank et al, 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilsiter</td>
<td>NCTC 9001</td>
<td>ca 1.5</td>
<td>Brine</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bachmann and Spahr, 1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Romano - after 65h in 22% brine; Brick - after 24h in 22% brine; Tilsiter - after 24 h in 20% brine and 1 day ripening at 11-13°C.

During ripening and storage the numbers of *E. coli* present in the cheese will decrease. The rate of decrease will be primarily dependent on the storage temperature although further pH and a_w will contribute to the rate of inactivation (Table 3). The results of some studies are presented in Table 5 and it can be seen that the results are very variable.
Table 3: Decrease in E. coli numbers during late ripening and maturation of cheese.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cheese</th>
<th>E. coli Strain</th>
<th>% Salt in Water</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Log decrease</th>
<th>Ageing Conditions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colby</td>
<td>ETEC-a</td>
<td>3.7-4.9</td>
<td>4.9-5.3</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>6.5 wk at 10°C</td>
<td>Kornacki &amp; Marth, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colby</td>
<td>ETEC-b</td>
<td>3.9-4.0</td>
<td>5-5.6</td>
<td>1.5-4</td>
<td>11 wk at 10°C</td>
<td>Kornacki &amp; Marth, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colby</td>
<td>EIEC-a</td>
<td>5.4-5.9</td>
<td>5.3-5.5</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>3.5 wk at 10°C</td>
<td>Kornacki &amp; Marth, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colby</td>
<td>O157 EHEC</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 wk at 13°C</td>
<td>Hudson et al, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheddar</td>
<td>3 strains O157</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>5-5.2</td>
<td>2.8-5.8</td>
<td>22.5 wk at 6-7°C</td>
<td>Reitsma &amp; Henning, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheddar</td>
<td>3 strains O157</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>5-5.2</td>
<td>ca 2.1</td>
<td>18.5 wk at 6-7°C</td>
<td>Reitsma &amp; Henning, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick</td>
<td>ETEC-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1-5.3</td>
<td>0.64 – 2.4</td>
<td>2 wk, 15.5°C + 5 wk, 7°C</td>
<td>Frank et al, 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilsiter</td>
<td>NCTC 9001</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>5.2-5.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>30 d at 11-13°C</td>
<td>Bachmann and Spahr, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romano</td>
<td>O157 EHEC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.2-5.7</td>
<td>&gt;4.5</td>
<td>2 d, 10°C + 30 d, 13°C</td>
<td>Hudson et al, 1997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time is from start of ageing-maturation except for Colby cheese (R Hudson et al 1997) where time and extent of decrease is from salting; Romano - after 65 h in 22% brine; Brick - after 24 h in 22% brine; Tilsiter - after 24 h in 20% brine and 1 day ripening at 11-13°C.

The hard cheeses in this evaluation are matured for a minimum three months and up to 24-months and at temperatures of 15-22°C. While there is considerable variability in survival, reductions of greater than 4.5-logs have been noted for Romano matured for 30 d at 11 to 13°C (Table 3). The higher cooking and setting temperatures (55-56°C for 40 min) used in the production of Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano will result in a greater reduction of E. coli, certainly more than the 5-logs required. Cheeses produced using lower cooking temperatures i.e. Romano type cheeses (45-48°C), Asiago (42-46°C) and Montasio (48°C), will not produce a significant reduction in E. coli during cooking. These cheeses however, are usually produced with thermised or pasteurised milk and are ripened for 3 or more months. Maturation of Romano cheese at 13°C resulted in an overall reduction in E. coli numbers of more than 3-logs (Hudson et al, 1997) after 21 d. While the effect of maturation for longer periods has not been reported for E. coli, it would appear that a 5-log reduction is possible.

Hard cheeses manufactured with a curd cooking temperature of >55°C (30 min) and matured for a minimum of 3 months will achieve the required performance criteria of greater than a 5D reduction in E. coli. Cheeses receiving a lower cooking temperature should where possible be manufactured from thermised or pasteurised milk. Raw milk cheeses using lower cooking temperatures should be matured for a minimum of 6 months unless supporting data on the inactivation of E. coli are provided.

Salmonella

Salmonellae reside in the intestinal tract of warm and cold-blooded animals. In cattle and sheep the bacterium are carried by both healthy and diseased animals and are transmitted in the faeces and hence can contaminate raw milk. Food handlers may also excrete the organisms during infection and convalescence and small percentage become carriers. Salmonella has been isolated frequently from raw milk (Johnson, 1990). In the US, 4.7% milk in 678 tankers were positive.
In a study of raw milk in bulk tanks in the UK in 1995, 0.36% of the tanks sampled were contaminated (O’Donnell, 1995). Both milk and milk products such as cheddar cheeses and Vacherin cheese, have been implicated in outbreaks of salmonellosis (Johnson, 1990). The source of contamination is primarily the raw milk contaminated via the udder and teats and maybe via systemic infection and milkers. Milk can also be contaminated post-pasteurisation. Product may be further contaminated via the factory environment and food handlers during processing.

Using human volunteers for infectious dose studies it has been found that $10^7$ Salmonellae were required to have a significant likelihood of causing disease (ICMSF, 1996c). Outbreaks involving water, which has a minimal retention time in the stomach, and fatty or buffered foods, which protect organisms from the action of stomach acids, have been shown to result from ingestion of far fewer numbers of salmonellae (ICMSF, 1996c). Cheese implicated in Salmonellosis outbreaks has been found to contain low numbers, 0.36-9.3 cells/100 grams (D’Aoust et al, 1985) and 0.36-4.3 cells/100 grams (Hedberg et al, 1992).

The level of contamination of co-mingled raw milk would be very low. The temperature range for growth is 7-47°C and the rate of growth at the extremes eg 7°C is substantially reduced (ICMSF 1996c). Growth should not occur is properly chilled and stored raw milk. Holding the milk at 16-17°C for 6-8h some limited growth may occur. If these milks are stored at temperature above 10°C the time has to be carefully controlled.

Thermal treatment can be used to inactivate any salmonellae that may be present in raw milk. Some species of Salmonella (i.e. Salmonella Senftenberg) are unusually heat resistant although these species are rare. The D-value for most salmonellae in milk is 3.6 to 5.7 sec at 62.8°C. The D-value for S. Senftenberg is 34 sec at 65.6°C (ICMSF, 1996c). For pasteurised milk cheese eg Asiago and Montasio, salmonellae will be inactivated during the heat treatment of the raw milk. The thermisation of the milk eg in Pecorino Romano cheese, at 65-68°C will also destroy most salmonellae if held for more than 10 sec.

While concentration may occur during syneresis, decreases will occur at high curd cooking temperatures. The D-value for S. Typhimurium at 51.4 and 55.2°C in laboratory media containing 10% milk solids is 49.0 min and 4.7 min, respectively (ICMSF, 1996c). A >5-log reduction will be achieved in cheeses receiving a cooking step of >55°C for a minimum of 30 min (i.e. Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano). At lower curd cooking temperatures as found in some Romano cheeses Asiago and Montasio cheeses (45-48°C), little if any inactivation may occur. Bachmann and Spahr (1995), demonstrated a reduction of ~2-logs in Salmonella after cooking at 53°C for 45 min and an increase of ~1-log after cooking at 42°C for 15 min. As the cheeses are ripened and matured the numbers of viable Salmonellae will decline (Table 4).

**Table 4: Decrease in Salmonellae during Ageing and Maturation of Cheese**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cheese</th>
<th>% Salt</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Log decrease</th>
<th>Ageing Conditions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cheddar</td>
<td>5.4-5.65</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>26 weeks at 4.5°C</td>
<td>Hargrove et al, 1969</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheddar</td>
<td>5.2-5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>26 wk at 4.5°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheddar</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13 wk at 4.5°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheddar</td>
<td>5.2-5.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13 wk at 10°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheddar</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14-16 wk at 7.5°C</td>
<td>Goepfert et al, 1968</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cheddar 2.1-2.3 5.2 4.8-5.2 20 wk at 7°C Mehta and Tatini, 1994
Samsoe 5.2 4 5-6 wk at 16-20°C +
ca 3 wk at 10-12°C Goepfert et al, 1968
Montasio 5.4-5.6 ca 4.5 12-13 wk at 12°C Stecchini et al, 1991
Manchego 2.5-3 4.9-5.0 ca 7 8 wk at 10°C Medina et al, 1982
Tilsiter 1.23 5.2-5.4 6.3 4 wk at 11-13°C Bachmann and Spahr, 1995.

Time and extent of decrease in salmonellae in Cheddar is from 1 day after production; in the semi-hard cheeses, Montasio from day 3 (after brine-salting) and Tilsiter from day 3 (after brine-salting and 1 day ripening); and for Manchego, from day 2 (after brine-salting). Cheddar used by Mehta and Tatini, 1994 had an aW of 0.95-0.97. Internal salt content of Manchego after 60 d, and for Tilsiter after 90 d.

High maturation temperatures and longer storage times will result in greater reductions in viable numbers of Salmonella. Maturation of semi-hard cheese at ~12 °C for 30 d (pH 5.2-5.8, 39% moisture, 1.2% w/w salt) results in a ~5-log reduction (Bachmann and Spahr, 1995). The rate of inactivation appears to be linear implying that storage for 60d would result in a ~7-log reduction, in the same experiment Salmonella numbers in Swiss hard cheese decreased by >6-logs after cooking.

The hard cheeses considered in this report have similar pH and moisture levels to the Swiss hard cheeses discussed above. Given this, maturing at >15 °C for more than 3 months will result in reductions of greater than 5-logs.

Cheeses produced with curd cooking temperatures above 55°C are unlikely to contain viable Salmonellae at the end of maturation. Cheeses produced with curd cooking temperature below 51°C may still be contaminated with low levels of Salmonellae. Such cheeses should be manufactured from pasteurised or thermised milk or stored for more than 3 months at approximately 15 °C.

Staphylococcus aureus

Coagulase positive S. aureus are the cause of foodborne intoxications. Illness results from the consumption of food containing staphylococcal enterotoxins produced by the staphylococci during growth in food. S. aureus occurs in the mucous membranes and skin of most healthy warm-blooded animals, including man and food animals (ICMSF, 1996d). This organism may be shed into milk in subclinical cases of mastitis in food animals at levels up to 10^6 CFU/mL. The bacterium is also a common cause of wound and skin infections in personnel including food handlers and farm workers. Milk usually becomes infected via the animal host or food handlers during processing. Outbreaks of staphylococcal intoxication have been attributed to dairy products including cheeses such as Swiss style cheeses (eg Emmenthal, Gruyere and Swiss), raw milk cheddar, Colby and cheese curds (Johnson et al, 1990).

These outbreaks have resulted from poor process control, contamination from infected factory workers, contaminated starter cultures and use of contaminated water. Enterotoxin production can occur in the raw milk before processing or during cheese processing. Enterotoxins have been shown to persist in cheese for several years (IDF, 1980).
High numbers of staphylococci (10^6 to 10^7 CFU/mL) are required for the production of heat stable enterotoxins in foods. The conditions for growth of staphylococci are listed in Table 1. *S. aureus* can grow over a temperature range of 7-48°C although enterotoxin production occurs over a more restricted range. Enterotoxin production occurs between 10-48°C with optimum production occurring at 35-40°C and at a pH of 6.0-7.0. Production is also influenced by the salt concentration (Ash, 1987). Raw milk that is not cooled rapidly or stored correctly will support growth and possible toxin production. At 10°C there is a long lag time (>20h) and when growth commences it is very slow (ICMSF, 1996b). *S. aureus* will grow over a wider range of aw values than other foodborne pathogens eg 0.83-0.99, however the rate of growth is significantly slowed at values less than 0.94 (Ash, 1997).

In milk, D-values for *S. aureus* at 65, 70 and 75°C have been reported to be 12, 6 and 1.2 sec respectively (ICMSF, 1996d). Johnson et al (1990) summarised heat studies of milk used for cheese making and concluded that at 70°C a holding time of 16-18 sec was required to inactivate *S. aureus*. At 65°C, 63 sec was required for inactivation. There is evidence that *S. aureus* may become injured and is not readily recovered after heat treatment (eg 63.9-65.6 °C for 16-21 sec), although recovery may occur during cheese making (Zottola et al, 1969).

Based on these studies, the thermal treatment of milk at 73-75°C for 15-30 sec will inactivate *S. aureus* (i.e. Asiago and Montasio cheeses). Treatment of milk at lower temperatures i.e. at 65-68°C will inactivate the bacterium if held for at least 63 sec, such as for the manufacture of Pecorino Romano.

The staphylococcal enterotoxins are thermally stable and if toxin is present in the raw milk active toxin will remain after these thermal processes (ICMSF, 1996d). For the raw milk cheeses, staphylococci could be present and management of herd health and control of hygiene in milk production are essential.

The temperatures of the milks during the initial production of these cheeses prior to curd formation are between 33-40°C and could allow the growth of *S. aureus*; however, the duration is not more than 30 min and provided this is controlled the extent of growth would be minimal. Growth conditions are considered less favourable in the raw milk where the lactoperoxidase system and the natural flora present inhibit staphylococcal growth (Bachmann and Spahr, 1994).

Cooking the curd at 55-56°C for 15-20 min (i.e. Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano) will produce considerable destruction of *S. aureus* as in milk the D50 is 10 min and D55 is 3 min (ICMSF, 1996d). A 2 to 3-log reduction was noted after cooking at 53 °C for 45 min (Bachmann and Spahr, 1995). At lower cooking temperatures, between 42 and 48°C, there is little effect on the numbers of *S. aureus* (Table 1). Initial increases of between 1 to 2-logs occur during the manufacture of Swiss style cheeses (cooking temperature 51 °C), due partly to the concentration of cells in the curd (Todd et al, 1981). Similar increases have been reported for semi-hard Swiss cheeses (Bachmann and Spahr, 1995). Although staphylococci can multiply up to 48°C the lag times at these extremes of growth and the falling pH that will be occurring are likely to prevent the numbers reaching that required for enterotoxin production.

If the pH does not fall rapidly growth can occur. Todd et al (1981) noted the work of Tatini et al, showing that *S. aureus* numbers can reach counts of 10^7 CFU/g in 24 h when the pH dropped to between 5.5 and 5.6.
Even at pH values lower than this numbers did not reach the inoculation levels within the first 24 h. Protocols for the manufacture of Italian DOP hard cheeses state that the pH should be <5.2 within 24 h for the manufacture of Parmigiano Reggiano, Grana Padano and Pecorino Romano. Rapid pH fall is essential for preventing significant growth of *S. aureus*.

Toxin production appears to be more closely associated with growth in the milk prior to cheese making rather than as a result of temperatures allowing growth during curd formation (Todd et al, 1981). Temperature control of the raw milk used in cheese making is essential to ensure that *S. aureus* numbers remain below 10⁶ CFU/ml, even if the milk is heat treated prior to use. Pre-formed enterotoxins will survive the most stringent curd heating protocols such as in traditional Canestrato Pugliese which has a curd cooking step in hot whey of 80°C for 30 s (Albenzio et al., 2001). Because some growth of *S. aureus* can occur during manufacture, numbers in the milk should be less than 10³ CFU/ml at the start of manufacture.

Failure of starter cultures to rapidly lower the pH or temperature abuse of the raw milk would be required to allow *S. aureus* to reach the levels required for enterotoxin production. After fermentation counts may increase during salting. Todd et al (1981) noted published work showing *S. aureus* numbers increasing to ~10⁸ after 2-weeks followed by a gradual decrease in numbers until a 2-log total reduction (4-log maximum reduction) was achieved at 15 weeks. Bachmann and Spahr (1995) failed to find *S. aureus* in Swiss hard cheese immediately after cooking the curd (5-log reduction), in semi-hard cheese a 5-log reduction was observed after maturation for >30 d.

*S. aureus* should be inactivated in hard cheeses manufactured with a curd cooking temperature of >55°C (30 min) and matured for a minimum of 3 months. The presence of toxin appears be determined by the number of organisms present in the milk used for cheese making. Some growth (1 to 2-logs) of *S. aureus* would be expected in cheeses receiving a lower cooking temperature, therefore *S. aureus* numbers in milk used in the manufacture of these cheeses should be less than 10³ CFU/ml. Storage of these cheeses for 3-months should ensure that they are free of viable *S. aureus*.

**Listeria monocytogenes**

*L. monocytogenes* is carried by milk producing animals and can cause disease in these hosts. It is also ubiquitous in the environment of food production and has been linked to numerous foodborne outbreaks including coleslaw, pate, frankfurters, jellied pork tongue and raw milk and cheese (ICMSF, 1996e). *Listeria* is frequently detected in raw milk and is able to grow in properly chilled milk. Because *Listeria* is commonly found in the processing environment it is a hazard for all cheese manufacture not just that utilising unpasteurised milk.

Thermal treatment of milk will inactivate *L. monocytogenes* in milk. D-values reported for this bacterium in milk at 63.3°C is 33.3 sec and at 68.9°C is 7.0 and 7.2 sec (Johnson et al, 1990). Thermisation for sufficient time and pasteurisation will inactivate any organisms present in the raw milk.

Listeria numbers decrease considerably during cooking. D values in whole milk heated to 52.2°C range between 24 and 37 mins; D₅₇.₈ is 4.4 to 5.2 mins (ICMSF, 1996e). During the production of parmesan cheese *Listeria* numbers increased during the initial heating stages before decreasing during cooking (51°C/45 min) by approximately 0.45-logs (Yousef and Marth, 1990).
Cooking at a temperature of 53°C for 45 min (pH 5.2 to 5.4) resulted in a >4.6-log reduction in *Listeria* (Bachmann and Spahr, 1995, Spahr and Url, 1994), cooking at 56°C for 25 min gave a similar reduction (Spahr and Url, 1994). Heating whole milk at 55 °C for 40 min should result in only a ~2-log decrease in *Listeria* (from D values in whole milk), this is lower than expected from the results in experiments carried out on curd.

Cooking the curd at lower temperatures eg 42-48°C will have limited if any effect on the viability of *L. monocytogenes*. In Swiss semihard cheese made from experimentally inoculated milk, after cooking the curd at 42°C for 15 min a slight increase in *L. monocytogenes* was observed, probably due to concentration during syneresis.

Survival of *Listeria* during the manufacture of cheese is highly variable between products and within the same product. *Listeria* has been shown to survive and even grow on the outside surface of cheese during maturation. Survival is dependent on the pH of the cheese and at levels of ~pH 5.5 no growth should occur on the outer surface of hard cheeses (Bachmann and Spahr, 1995). Genigeorgis et al. (1991) examined the survival of *Listeria* on the surface of a variety of cheeses; non-soft cheeses made with the use of starter cultures and at pH values of ≤5.5 did not support the growth of *L. monocytogenes* at temperatures ranging between 4 and 30°C. Contamination of the outside surface of cheese with *Listeria* should be similar for cheeses manufactured from raw or heat-treated milk.

The combination of cooking at 51 °C and low pH and high storage temperature (pH 5.1 and 12.8 °C) used in the manufacture of Parmesan cheese reduced the most persistent strain of *Listeria* by >4.5-logs after 120 d (Yousef and Marth, 1990).

Cooking of the curd to temperatures of >55 °C followed by maturation at >15 °C for more than 3-months will result in reductions in *Listeria* of more than 5-logs. Cooking at lower temperatures may result in a slight increase in *Listeria* during the early stages of production followed by a rapid decrease in numbers during storage reaching >5-logs after approximately 6 months.

**Conclusions**

An evaluation has been undertaken of the processing of very hard cheeses, such as Parmigiano Reggiano and Grana Padano, Romano, Asiago, Montasio under the terms of reference required by FSANZ.

The cheeses evaluated in this report fall into three broad categories:

1. cheeses made from raw milk receiving a high curd cook i.e. 55-56°C
2. cheeses made from thermised milk and receiving a mild curd cook i.e. 45-48°C
3. and cheeses made from pasteurised milk that receive only a mild curd cook i.e. 42-46°C.

During the early stages of production bacteria are concentrated in the curd and at low cooking temperatures there can be a 1 to 2-log increase in pathogen numbers (due to syneresis and possibly some growth). Cooking of the curd at temperatures above 55°C results in a reduction in bacteria numbers. Although the effect of cooking at this temperature is variable it is likely that a greater than 5-log reduction will occur for most of the pathogens considered.
Heat resistant strains of *Salmonella* (i.e. *Salmonella* Senftenberg) are the exception and would survive this process although numbers may be reduced by ~2-logs as a result of cooking. Heat resistant strains of *Salmonella* are rarely encountered. Inactivation of pathogens continues throughout ripening (providing the pH is 5.5 or less) and reductions of >5-logs after 3-months should be possible for all the hard cheeses considered irrespective of the curd cooking temperature.

The presence of *S. aureus* in raw milk is a risk factor. Information on quality assurance programs for the raw milk used in cheese making was not provided as part of this assessment and this should be sought. A microbiological criterion is set for drinking milk for *L. monocytogenes* and for *Salmonella* only (Council Directive 92/46/EEC (Annex C) Chapter II). It is not known if this applies to milk used for cheese production. Growth of *S. aureus* in the raw milk can result in enterotoxins being introduced into the cheese. The cheese making process will not inactivate enterotoxin and it can persist in the cheese for several years. *S. aureus* will not grow in hard cheeses receiving a cook of >55°C, therefore enterotoxins can only originate from the milk. The quality of the milk used should be monitored to ensure that *S. aureus* levels are below 10^6 CFU/ml. For cheeses receiving a milder cook it is possible that *S. aureus* can increase in numbers by ~2-logs. Therefore milk used in the manufacture of these cheeses should have <10^3 CFU/ml of *S. aureus* at the start of manufacture.

Using good manufacturing practices it is possible to produce safe raw milk hard cheese of the type specified in this report. The use of pasteurised or thermised milk for the manufacture of cheeses that have received a mild cook adds additional safety, although these cheeses can still be produced safely provided the quality of the raw material is monitored and that the maturation time is sufficiently long to ensure pathogen inactivation (i.e. >6-months).

According to the criteria specified by FSANZ, the following conclusions were made:

1. All very hard cheeses made, according to the process criteria provided, will achieve a 5-log reduction in the numbers of the pathogens specified eg *Campylobacter jejuni/coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes*, pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella*. Note is made of the significance of staphylococcal enterotoxin production in raw milk and during manufacture.

2. The production process resulting in the greatest control/destruction of pathogens include the curd cooking together with the long maturation.

3. The presence of staphylococcal enterotoxin will be dependent on the initial quality/pathogen load of the raw milk, which needs to be controlled for the production process to achieve a safe product. This is the case whether using raw milk or pasteurised/thermised milk.
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Table 5: Summary of processing steps provided for very hard cheeses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processing step</th>
<th>Parmigiano Reggiano</th>
<th>Grana Padano</th>
<th>Pecorino Romano</th>
<th>Asiago</th>
<th>Montasio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Raw milk handling                    | Cow’s milk partially skimmed  
No temperature provided  
10-12h  
Whole milk added next morning | Cow’s milk partially skimmed  
16-17°C  
6-8h  
pH 6.4-6.7 | Sheep’s milk filtered  
Stored 4°C  
≤ 24h | Cow’s milk partially skimmed  
No temperature or time provided  
Whole milk added next morning | Whole cow’s milk  
No temperature and time of storage provided |
| Thermal treatment of milk            | nil                  | nil          | 65-68°C  
no time provided | 73-75°C  
15-30 sec | 73-75°C  
15-30 sec |
| Acidification/ Whey starter added    | Natural whey starter  
Mainly lactobacilli | Natural whey starter  
Mainly lactobacilli  
33°C  
pH 6.2-6.5 | Thermophilic lactobacillus & streptococcus  
38-40°C  
30 min.  
pH <3.5 | Natural whey starter  
32-36°C | Natural whey starter  
35°C  
pH 6.5-6.6 before pH 6.4 after addition |
| Coagulation/ rennet addition         | 33-34°C  
10-12 min | 33°C  
10-15 min | 35°C  
20-30 min | 35°C  
20 min | 42-43°C |
| Curd cutting/ extraction             | 43-44°C  
3-4 min | 55-56°C  
15-20 min | 45-48°C  
10 min | 42-46°C  
20-30 min | 48°C  
30-40 min max |
| Curd cooking                         | 55-56°C  
15-20 min | 55-56°C  
20 min | 45-48°C  
10 min | 42-46°C  
20-30 min | 48°C  
30-40 min max |
| Curd resting/ hooping/ pressing      | Under whey  
55-56°C  
45-65 min (minimum 40 min)  
Pressing 3d  
pH 5.0-5.3 | Under whey  
55-56°C  
35-45 min (minimum 40 min)  
8 h in wooden mould to dry  
2-3d in s/s mould @ 18-20°C | Under whey  
45-48°C  
30 min (minimum time) | Out of whey  
No temperature provided  
12h | Out of whey  
No temperature provided  
Pressing 3d |
Salting  
25-27d  Brine 22-26%NaCl  15-18°C  25-32d  Brine 23-24%  6-10d  Dry salting x3-x4 over  50-70d  Brine 20-22%  15°C  5d  pH 5.85  Brine 20-22%  15°C  5d  pH 4.95

Drying  
15-18°C  3d

Ripening  
18-24 months  16-22°C for 14-18 months  5 months min.  3-12 months at 15-16°C (short) or at 8-9°C (long)  15-18 °C  3-12 months

Table 6 Summary of chemical characteristics of final product.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Parmigiano Reggiano</th>
<th>Grana Padano</th>
<th>Pecorino Romano</th>
<th>Asiago</th>
<th>Montasio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>5.4-5.6</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>30.76</td>
<td>32.46</td>
<td>32 (5 months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>1.3g/100g</td>
<td>1.4g/100g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex

The following documents were provided by FSANZ and were used in this evaluation:

1. “Declaration of manufacture” from Zanetti sent to AQIS, Canberra for the following cheeses:
   
   d. Parmigiano Reggiano cheese (Zanetti Brand)
   e. Grana Padano cheese (Zanetti Brand)
   f. Asiago cheese (Zanetti Brand)
   g. Montasio cheese Zanetti (Tre Canpane Brand, Three Bells Brand)

Documents from Istituto Sperimentale Lattiero – Caseario for Parmigiano Reggiano, Grana Padano and Pecorino Romano cheeses including:

   h. statement and flow diagram for manufacture from Ministry of Agriculture,
   i. chemical composition

## Summary of Submissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submitter</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 CSIRO Health Sciences &amp; Nutrition</td>
<td>Based on the scientific evidence provided, Option 1 supported (to allow for the sale of very hard cheeses produced from raw milk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Rients Rypma (Karikaas – cheese manufacturer in New Zealand)</td>
<td>Objects to allowing the continued import of unpasteurised milk hard cheeses on the basis of having to compete with export subsidised European cheese. Raises the issue of BSE in milk but provides no relationship with P263.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Luciano Galli (Commercial Counsellor, Embassy of Italy)</td>
<td>Is reassured by the Draft Assessment report in supporting the continued importation of Italian cheeses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Italian Chamber of Commerce and Industry</td>
<td>Supports the implementation of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This submission also submitted on behalf of</td>
<td>Supports the implementation of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bon Food Pty Ltd (Mr Gabriele Peroni)</td>
<td>Supports the implementation of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Campania Olive Oil Pty Ltd (Mr Paul Michieli)</td>
<td>Supports the implementation of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Calandra International (Mr Flavio Calandra)</td>
<td>Supports the implementation of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conga Food (Mr Saverio Valmorbida)</td>
<td>Supports the implementation of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Enoteca Silenop (Mr John Portelli)</td>
<td>Supports the implementation of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hudson Pacific Corp. (Mr Roberto Bertini)</td>
<td>Supports the implementation of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Kirks Selected Cheese (Mr Matthew Kirks)</td>
<td>Supports the implementation of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Leo’s Imports &amp; Distributors (Mr Andrew Madafferi)</td>
<td>Supports the implementation of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• P&amp;T Basile Pty Ltd (Mr Pat Basile)</td>
<td>Supports the implementation of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Food Technology Association of Victoria</td>
<td>The Committee agreed with Option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Dairy Food Safety Victoria (DFSV)</td>
<td>The continued importation of raw milk very hard cheeses is supported, however, the DFSV recommends that additional time (12 to 24 months) is allowed before an amendment is made to permit domestic production. This would enable time for the assessment, development and verification of CCPs in raw milk cheese manufacture and the development of guidelines for the manufacture of raw milk cheese to support food safety programs. DFSV also questions why temperature/time parameters were not included in the drafting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Neil Willman (Senior Lecturer, Melbourne University)</td>
<td>Neil Willman supports the importation of raw milk very hard cheeses into Australia but raises concerns with the practices and skills of Australian cheesemakers (particularly untrained) to be able to produce these cheeses safely domestically. In particular, additional safeguards ensuring that an adequate pH drop after 24 hours of fermentation is achieved and that there is a salt level greater than 1.5% for pathogen control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Australian Specialty Cheesemakers Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Food and Beverage Importers Association (Tony Beaver)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SafeFood NSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Western Australia Food Advisory Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Queensland Public Health Services, Environmental Health Unit (with assistance from Safe Food Production Queensland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Australian Dairy Products Federation (Helen Dornam)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Queensland Dairy Authority (Bob Thomson)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Restaurant &amp; Catering Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Queensland Dairy Authority (received 29/10/02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Dairy Authority of South Australia (received 30/10/02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Communiqué (received 31/10/02) from the meeting of Food Authority CEOs, representing: SafeFood NSW SafeFood Queensland Queensland Dairy Authority Dairy Industry Authority of South Australia Dairy Food Safety Victoria Tasmania Dairy Industry Authority Victoria Meat Authority Department of Health, Western Australia Primary Industry &amp; Resources, South Australia and also stating that the position is supported by the Australian Dairy Products Federation’s submission.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>