



25 February 2009
[2-09]

APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT

PA1022	Date Received: 5 January 2009 Date Due for completion of Administrative Assessment: 28 January 2009 Date Administrative Assessment Completed: 28 January 2009	
Applicant: New Zealand Government via New Zealand Food Safety Authority		Potentially Affected Standards in the Code: Standard 1.4.1 – Contaminants and Natural Toxicants and Standard 2.8.2 – Honey
Title: Maximum Level for Tutin in Honey Brief Description of Application: To develop a maximum level for Tutin in honey as a result of an issue of a New Zealand Food Standard [Food (Tutin in Honey) Standard 2008]. The Application has been lodged as required under Annex DIII of the Treaty.		
Procedure: General Reasons why: The Applicant is seeking the inclusion of a limit for Tutin in honey and honeycomb	Cost Category (General Procedure): Up to 850 hours Reasons why: The assessment will require detailed toxicological and dietary exposure assessments. There will be risk management considerations which may be complex, including legal drafting.	Estimated start work:

DECISION

Application rejected Date: 28 January 2009 If rejected, list reasons for rejection: The Application does not meet the mandatory information and format requirements under Part 3 of the <i>Application Handbook</i> , as required under subsection 22(2) of the FSANZ Act.
--

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

What documents are affected? N/A

Has the Applicant provided justification for Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No ✓

If so, has the Applicant made an election to have FSANZ give public notice calling for submissions under s.51 of the FSANZ Act?

Yes No ✓

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No ✓

Details: N/A

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No ✓

If yes, indicate the reason:

N/A

Due date for fees:

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No Not known ✓

The Application has been lodged as required under Annex DIII of the Agreement between the Government of Australia and the Government of New Zealand Concerning a Joint Food Standards Setting System (the Treaty). In accordance with the Treaty, FSANZ must expedite consideration of the Application.

Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guideline/s within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application:

3.1, 3.4 and potentially 3.6

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guideline/s?

Yes No ✓

Is the checklist completed?

Yes No ✓

What information is not provided?

No information was provided in respect of the requirements of the relevant guidelines.

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

Not identified

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME

Consultation Strategy:

Proposed length of public consultation period:

General Procedure (6 weeks)

**Community
Involvement
Category:**

3

Intensive, narrower
focus

Proposed Timeframe for Assessment: Not Applicable (rejection)