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Call for submissions – Application A1094

Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Cotton Line DAS-81910-7

FSANZ has assessed an Application made by Dow AgroSciences Australia Ltd seeking permission for food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line DAS-81910-7, which is genetically modified to provide tolerance to the herbicides 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) and glufosinate ammonium, and has prepared a draft food regulatory measure. Pursuant to section 31 of the Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991 (FSANZ Act), FSANZ now calls for submissions to assist consideration of the draft food regulatory measure.

For information about making a submission, visit the FSANZ website at information for submitters.

All submissions on applications and proposals will be published on our website. We will not publish material that is provided in-confidence, but will record that such information is held. In-confidence submissions may be subject to release under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act 1991. Submissions will be published as soon as possible after the end of the public comment period. Where large numbers of documents are involved, FSANZ will make these available on CD, rather than on the website.

Under section 114 of the FSANZ Act, some information provided to FSANZ cannot be disclosed. More information about the disclosure of confidential commercial information is available on the FSANZ website at information for submitters.

Submissions should be made in writing; be marked clearly with the word ‘Submission’ and quote the correct project number and name. While FSANZ accepts submissions in hard copy to our offices, it is more convenient and quicker to receive submissions electronically through the FSANZ website via the link on documents for public comment. You can also email your submission directly to submissions@foodstandards.gov.au.

There is no need to send a hard copy of your submission if you have submitted it by email or via the FSANZ website. FSANZ endeavours to formally acknowledge receipt of submissions within 3 business days.

**DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSIONS:** 6pm (Canberra time) 29 April 2014

Submissions received after this date will not be considered unless an extension had been given before the closing date. Extensions will only be granted due to extraordinary circumstances during the submission period. Any agreed extension will be notified on the FSANZ website and will apply to all submitters.

Questions about making submissions or the application process can be sent to standards.management@foodstandards.gov.au.

Hard copy submissions may be sent to one of the following addresses:
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SD1 Safety Assessment Report: Application A1094 – Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Cotton Line DAS-81910-7
Executive summary

Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) received an Application from Dow AgroSciences Australia Ltd on 15 November 2013. The Applicants requested a variation to Standard 1.5.2 – Food produced using Gene Technology, in the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code (the Code), to permit the sale and use of food derived from genetically modified (GM) cotton line DAS-81910-7, that is tolerant to the two herbicides 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid and glufosinate ammonium.

This Application is being assessed under the General Procedure.

The primary objective of FSANZ in developing or varying a food regulatory measure, as stated in s 18 of the Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991 (FSANZ Act), is the protection of public health and safety. Accordingly, the safety assessment is a central part of considering an application.

The safety assessment of herbicide-tolerant cotton line DAS-81910-7 (also referred to as cotton line 81910) is provided in Supporting Document 1. No potential public health and safety concerns have been identified. Based on the data provided in the present Application, and other available information, food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line DAS-81910-7 is considered to be as safe for human consumption as food derived from conventional cotton cultivars.

FSANZ has prepared a draft variation to Standard 1.5.2 to include food derived from cotton line 81910.
1 Introduction

1.1 The Applicant

Dow AgroSciences Australia Pty Ltd is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Dow Chemical Company and is a technology provider to the agricultural and food industries.

1.2 The Application

Application A1094 was submitted by Dow AgroSciences Australia Ltd on 15 November 2013. It sought approval for food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line DAS-81910-7 (also referred to as cotton line 81910) under Standard 1.5.2 – Food produced using Gene Technology.

Cotton line 81910 is tolerant to two herbicides 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D), and glufosinate ammonium. Tolerance to 2,4-D is achieved through expression of the enzyme aryloxyalkanoatedioxygenase-12 (AAD-12) encoded by the aad-12 gene derived from the soil bacterium Delftia acidovorans. Tolerance to glufosinate ammonium is achieved through expression of the enzyme phosphinothricin acetyltransferase (PAT) encoded by the pat gene derived from another soil bacterium Streptomyces viridochromogenes.

1.3 The current Standard

Standard 1.5.2 sets out the permission and conditions for the sale and use of food produced using gene technology.

Pre-market approval is necessary before a GM food may enter the Australian and New Zealand food supply. Approval of such foods under Standard 1.5.2 is contingent on completion of a comprehensive pre-market safety assessment. Foods that have been assessed and approved are listed in the Schedule to the Standard.

Standard 1.5.2 contains specific labelling provisions for approved GM foods. GM foods and ingredients (including food additives and processing aids from GM sources) must be identified on labels with the words 'genetically modified', if novel DNA and/or novel protein from an approved GM variety is present in the final food, or the food has altered characteristics. In the latter case the Standard also allows for additional labelling about the nature of the altered characteristics.

1.4 Reasons for accepting the Application

The Application was accepted for assessment because:

- it complied with the procedural requirements under subsection 22(2)
- it related to a matter that warranted the variation of a food regulatory measure
- it was not so similar to a previous application for the variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought to be rejected.

1.5 Procedure for assessment

The Application is being assessed under the General Procedure.
2 Summary of the assessment

2.1 Safety assessment

The safety assessment of cotton line 81910 is provided in the supporting document (SD1) and included the following key elements:

- a characterisation of the transferred genes, their origin, function and stability in the cotton genome
- characterisation of novel DNA and protein in the whole food
- detailed compositional analyses
- evaluation of intended and unintended changes
- the potential for the newly expressed proteins to be either allergenic or toxic in humans.

The assessment of cotton line 81910 was restricted to human food safety and nutritional issues. This assessment therefore does not address any risks to the environment that may occur as the result of growing GM plants used in food production, or any risks to animals that may consume feed derived from GM plants.

No potential public health and safety concerns have been identified.

Based on the data provided in the present Application, and other available information, food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line DAS-81910-7 is considered to be as safe for human consumption as food derived from conventional cotton cultivars.

2.2 Risk management

2.2.1 Labelling

GM foods are labelled to help consumers make an informed choice. In accordance with Standard 1.5.2, food derived from cotton line 81910 would be required to be labelled as ‘genetically modified’ if it contains novel DNA and/or novel protein; or if it has altered characteristics. Cotton line 81910 does not have altered characteristics.

Cottonseed oil and linters are likely to be the main foods derived from cotton line 81910 and both are highly refined derivatives of cotton. Extensive processing of cottonseed during oil production means novel protein and novel DNA are not likely to be present and therefore oil is unlikely to require labelling. Cottonseed linters are essentially pure cellulose and therefore food products containing linters are also unlikely to require labelling.

2.2.2 Detection methodology

An Expert Advisory Group (EAG), involving laboratory personnel and representatives of the Australian and New Zealand jurisdictions was formed by the Food Regulation Standing Committee’s Implementation Sub-Committee\(^1\) to identify and evaluate appropriate methods of analysis associated with all applications to FSANZ, including GM applications.

The EAG has indicated that for GM applications, the full DNA sequence of the insert and adjacent genomic DNA is sufficient data to be provided for analytical purposes. Using this information, any DNA analytical laboratory would have the capability to develop a PCR-based detection method.

\(^1\) Now known as the Implementation Subcommittee for Food Regulation
This sequence information was supplied by the Applicant for cotton line 81910 to satisfy the requirement for detection methodology in the FSANZ Application Handbook (FSANZ, 2013).

2.3 Risk communication

2.3.1 Consultation

Consultation is a key part of FSANZ’s Standards development process.

FSANZ developed and applied a basic communication strategy to this Application. All calls for submissions are notified via the FSANZ Notification Circular, media release and through FSANZ’s social media tools and Food Standards News. Subscribers and interested parties are also notified about the availability of reports for public comment.

The draft variation will be considered for approval by the FSANZ Board taking into account public comments received on this call for submissions.

The Applicant and individuals and organisations that make submissions on this Application will be notified at each stage of the assessment.

If the draft variation to the Code is approved by the FSANZ Board, that decision will be notified to the Forum. If the Board’s decision is not subject to a request for a review, the Applicant and stakeholders, including the public, will be notified of the gazettal of the variation to the Code in the national press and on the website.

2.3.2 World Trade Organization (WTO)

As members of the World Trade Organization (WTO), Australia and New Zealand are obliged to notify WTO member nations where proposed mandatory regulatory measures are inconsistent with any existing or imminent international standards and the proposed measure may have a significant effect on trade.

There are not any relevant international standards, and amending the Code to permit food derived from cotton line 81910 is unlikely to have a significant effect on international trade as it would permit food derived from cotton line 81910 to be imported into Australia and New Zealand and sold, where currently sale is prohibited. Therefore, a notification to the WTO under Australia’s and New Zealand’s obligations under the WTO Technical Barriers to Trade or Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures Agreement was not considered necessary.

2.4 FSANZ Act assessment requirements

2.4.1 Section 29

2.4.1.1 Cost benefit analysis

The Office of Best Practice Regulation (OBPR), in a letter to FSANZ dated 24 November 2010, granted a standing exemption from the need for the OBPR to assess if a Regulatory Impact Statement is required for the approval of additional genetically modified foods (reference 12065).

This standing exemption was provided as such changes are considered as minor, machinery and deregulatory in nature. The exemption relates to the introduction of a food to the food supply that has been determined to be safe.
The direct and indirect benefits that would arise from a food regulatory measure developed or varied as a result of the Application outweigh the costs to the community, Government or industry that would arise from the development or variation of the food regulatory measure.

A consideration of the cost/benefit of the regulatory options is not intended to be an exhaustive, quantitative financial analysis of the options as most of the impacts that are considered cannot be assigned a dollar value. Rather, the analysis seeks to highlight the qualitative impacts of criteria that are relevant to each option. These criteria are deliberately limited to those involving broad areas such as trade, consumer information and compliance. The cost/benefit analysis is based on the assumption that herbicide-tolerant cotton line DAS-81910-7 will be approved for growing in other countries.

Option 1 – Develop a draft variation to Standard 1.5.2

**Consumers:** Broader availability of imported cottonseed products as, if cotton line 81910 is approved for commercial growing in other countries, there would be no restriction on imported foods containing this line.

For those cottonseed products derived from cotton line 81910 which contain novel DNA and/or novel protein, appropriate labelling would allow consumers wishing to avoid these products to do so.

If cotton line 81910 is approved for commercial growing in overseas countries it can be used in the manufacture of products using co-mingled cottonseed. This means that there would be no cost involved in having to exclude cotton line 81910 from co-mingling and hence that there would be no consequential need to increase the prices of imported foods that are manufactured using co-mingled cottonseed products.

**Government:** If cotton line 81910 was detected in food imports, approval would ensure compliance with the Code and prevent any trade disruption on regulatory grounds.

Approval would result in no conflict with WTO responsibilities if the line is approved for commercial growing in other countries.

This option would be cost neutral in terms of compliance costs, as monitoring is required irrespective of whether or not a GM food is approved. In the case of approved GM foods, monitoring is required to ensure compliance with the labelling requirements, and in the case of GM foods that have not been approved, monitoring is required to ensure they are not illegally entering the food supply.

**Industry:** Foods derived from cotton line 81910 would be permitted under the Code, allowing broader market access and increased choice in raw materials.

The segregation of raw agricultural commodities of cotton line 81910, as for any GM crop, will be driven by industry, based on market preferences. Implicit in this will be a due regard to the costs of maintaining various levels of purity.

Retailers may be able to offer a broader range of cottonseed products or imported foods manufactured using cottonseed derivatives. There may be additional costs to the food industry as some food ingredients derived from cotton line 81910 would be required to be labelled.
Option 2 – Reject application

Consumers: Possible restriction in the availability of imported cottonseed products which may be co-mingled with those derived from cotton line 81910.

No effect on consumers wishing to avoid GM foods, as food from cotton line 81910 is not currently permitted in the food supply.

Potential increase in price of imported cottonseed foods due to requirement for segregation of cotton line 81910.

Government: Potential effect if considered inconsistent with WTO obligations but this would be in terms of trade policy rather than in government revenue.

Industry: Possible restriction on imports of cottonseed food products if cotton line 81910 were to be commercialised overseas.

Potential longer-term effect i.e. any successful WTO challenge has the potential to impact adversely on food industry.

As food from cotton line 81910 has been found to be as safe as food from conventional cultivars of cotton, not preparing a draft variation would offer little benefit to consumers, as approval of cotton line 81910 by other countries could limit the availability of imported cottonseed products in the Australian and New Zealand markets.

FSANZ has decided to prepare a draft variation to Standard 1.5.2 because the potential benefits of approving the variation outweigh the potential costs, and because no public health or safety concerns resulting from consumption of food derived from cotton line DAS-81910-7 have yet been raised.

2.4.1.2 Other measures

There were no measures that could achieve the same result other than an amendment to Standard 1.5.2.

2.4.1.3 Any relevant New Zealand standards

Standard 1.5.2 applies in New Zealand.

2.4.1.4 Any other relevant matters

The Applicant has submitted applications for regulatory approval of cotton line 81910 to a number of other countries, as listed in Table 1. To date, none has been finalised.

Table 1: List of countries to whom applications for food/feed regulatory approval of cotton line DAS-81910-7 have been submitted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Type of approval sought</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Food &amp; Drug Administration</td>
<td>food/feed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Food Inspection Agency</td>
<td>feed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health Canada</td>
<td>food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry &amp; Fisheries</td>
<td>environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is the Applicant’s intention to submit applications to a number of other countries such as Mexico, Korea and EU for food/feed regulatory approvals.

It is the Applicant’s intention that cotton line 81910 be commercially cultivated predominantly in North America. There is currently no intention to apply for approval to cultivate this line in either Australia or New Zealand. Cultivation in Australia or New Zealand would require independent assessment and approval by the Office of the Gene Technology Regulator in Australia and by the Environmental Protection Authority in New Zealand.

2.4.2 Subsection 18(1)

FSANZ has also considered the three objectives in subsection 18(1) of the FSANZ Act during the assessment.

2.4.2.1 Protection of public health and safety

Food derived from cotton line 81910 has been assessed according to the safety assessment guidelines prepared by FSANZ (2007).

No public health and safety concerns were identified in this assessment. Based on the available evidence, including detailed studies provided by the Applicant, food derived from cotton line DAS-81910-7 is considered as safe and wholesome as food derived from other commercial cotton cultivars.

2.4.2.2 The provision of adequate information relating to food to enable consumers to make informed choices

In accordance with existing labelling provisions, food derived from cotton line DAS-81910-7 would have to be labelled as ‘genetically modified’ if it contains novel DNA or novel protein (see Section 2.2.1).

2.4.2.3 The prevention of misleading or deceptive conduct

The requirement for detection methodology (see Section 2.2.2) is designed to address this objective.

2.4.3 Subsection 18(2) considerations

FSANZ has also had regard to:

- the need for standards to be based on risk analysis using the best available scientific evidence.

FSANZ’s approach to the safety assessment of all GM foods applies concepts and principles outlined in the Codex General Principles for the Risk Analysis of Foods derived from Biotechnology (Codex, 2004). Based on these principles, the risk analysis undertaken for cotton line 81910 used the best scientific evidence available. The Applicant submitted to FSANZ, a comprehensive dossier of quality-assured raw experimental data. In addition to the information supplied by the Applicants, other available resource material including published scientific literature and general technical information was used in the safety assessment.

- the promotion of consistency between domestic and international food standards

This is not a consideration as there are no relevant international standards.
• the desirability of an efficient and internationally competitive food industry

The inclusion of GM foods in the food supply, providing there are no safety concerns, allows for innovation by developers and a widening of the technological base for the production of foods. Herbicide-tolerant cotton line DAS-81910-7 is a new food crop designed to provide growers with an alternative weed management strategy.

• the promotion of fair trading in food

The cost/benefit analysis in Section 2.4.1.1 lists a number of considerations that address fair trading with respect to cotton line 81910.

• any written policy guidelines formulated by the Ministerial Council

No specific policy guidelines have been developed since Standard 1.5.2 commenced.

3 Draft variation

The draft variation to Standard 1.5.2 is at Attachment A. The draft variation is intended to take effect on gazetral.

A draft explanatory statement is at Attachment B. An explanatory statement is required to accompany an instrument if it is lodged on the Federal Register of Legislative Instruments.

4 References


Attachments

A. Draft variation to the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code
B. Draft Explanatory Statement
Attachment A – Draft variation to the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code

Food Standards (Application A1094 – Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Cotton Line DAS-81910-7) Variation

The Board of Food Standards Australia New Zealand gives notice of the making of this variation under section 92 of the Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991. The Standard commences on the date specified in clause 3 of this variation.

Dated [To be completed by Standards Management Officer]

Standards Management Officer
Delegate of the Board of Food Standards Australia New Zealand

Note:
This variation will be published in the Commonwealth of Australia Gazette No. FSC XX on XX Month 20XX. This means that this date is the gazettal date for the purposes of clause 3 of the variation.
1 Name
This instrument is the Food Standards (Application A1094 – Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Cotton Line DAS-81910-7) Variation.

2 Variation to a Standard in the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code
The Schedule varies a Standard in the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code.

3 Commencement
The variation commences on the date of gazettal.

SCHEDULE

[1] Standard 1.5.2 is varied by inserting in Item numerical order in the Schedule

```
| 3.14 | Food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line DAS-81910-7 |
```

"
Attachment B – Draft Explanatory Statement

1. Authority

Section 13 of the Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991 (the FSANZ Act) provides that the functions of Food Standards Australia New Zealand (the Authority) include the development of standards and variations of standards for inclusion in the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code (the Code).

Division 1 of Part 3 of the FSANZ Act specifies that the Authority may accept applications for the development or variation of food regulatory measures, including standards. This Division also stipulates the procedure for considering an application for the development or variation of food regulatory measures.

FSANZ accepted Application A1094 which seeks permission for the sale and use of food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line DAS-81910-7 (cotton line 81910). The Authority considered the Application in accordance with Division 1 of Part 3 and has approved a draft Standard.

2. Purpose

Standard 1.5.2 of the Code currently sets out the permission and conditions for the sale and use of foods produced using gene technology. Permitted foods using gene technology are listed in the Schedule to Standard 1.5.2. Food derived from cotton line 81910 is not currently listed in the Schedule to Standard 1.5.2 and therefore is not permitted for sale or use in food. Therefore, FSANZ is proposing to vary Standard 1.5.2 by inserting into the Schedule, in relation to the commodity “Cotton”, a reference to “Food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line DAS-81910-7”.

3. Documents incorporated by reference

The variations to food regulatory measures do not incorporate any documents by reference.

4. Consultation

In accordance with the procedure in Division 1 of Part 3 of the FSANZ Act, the Authority’s consideration of Application A1094 will include one round of public consultation following an assessment and the preparation of a draft variation.

A Regulation Impact Statement was not required because the use of food derived from cotton line DAS-81910-7, if approved, would be voluntary and would be likely to have a minor impact on business and individuals.

5. Statement of compatibility with human rights

This instrument is exempt from the requirements for a statement of compatibility with human rights as it is a non-disallowable instrument under section 94 of the FSANZ Act.

6. Variation

Item [1] inserts in Item numerical order in the Schedule to Standard 1.5.2 “Food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line DAS-81910-7”.