

11 June 2015 [11–15]

Administrative Assessment Report – Application A1112

Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Corn Line MZHG0JG

Date received: 4 May 2015 Date due for completion of administrative assessment: 25 May 2015 Date completed: 25 May 2015		
Applicant: Syngenta Australia Pty Ltd		Potentially affected Standard:
Brief description of Application: To seek approval for food derived from a genetically modified corn line MZHG0JG that is tolerant to the herbicides glyphosate and glufosinate ammonium.		Standard 1.5.2
Procedure: General	Estimated total hours: Maximum 350 Reasons why: This kind of application involves an assessment of the potential risk to public health and safety.	Provisional estimated start work: Mid-June 2015

Decision

Date: 25 May 2015

Application accepted

Has the Applicant requested confidential commercial information status?

Yes√ No.

What documents are affected?

Specified information in 3 studies (TK0117253, TK0117252, TK0062603). The redacted version has been provided as Volume 1 of each study; the CCI version has been provided as Volume 2.

Has the Applicant provided justification for confidential commercial information request?

Yes√ No N/A

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the Applicant?

Yes√ No

If yes, indicate the reason:

Since the technology behind the Application has been developed solely by the Applicant, the Applicant will gain an exclusive benefit if the Application is approved.

The Applicant indicated that an ECCB was appropriate.

Due date for fees: 24 June 2015 (fees received 9 June 2015)

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No√ Not known

Application Handbook requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application? 3.1.1, 3.5.1

Is the checklist completed?

Yes ✓ No

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?

Yes√ No

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes√ No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No√

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes ✓ No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

Nil

Consultation & assessment timeframe

Proposed length of public consultation period:

6 weeks

Proposed timeframe for assessment:

'Early Bird Notification' due: 24 June 2015

Commence assessment (clock start) 9 June 2015
Completion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measure Mid-Oct 2015

Public comment Mid-Oct–Late Nov 2015

Board to complete approval Early March 2016

Notification to Forum Mid-March 2016

Anticipated gazettal if no review requested Late May 2016