

22 December 2014 [27–14]

Administrative Assessment Report – Application A1106

Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant & Insect-protected Corn Line 4114

Date received: 10 December 201 Date due for completion of admin Date completed: 16 December 2	nistrative assessment: 6 January 2015	
Applicant: Pioneer Hi-Bred Australia Pty Ltd via SGA Solutions Pty Ltd		Potentially affected Standard:
Brief description of Application: To seek approval for food derived from a genetically modified glufosinate- tolerant and insect-protected corn line DP-004114-3.		Standard 1.5.2
Procedure: General	Estimated total hours: Maximum 350 Reasons why: This kind of application involves an assessment of the potential risk to public health and safety.	Estimated start work: mid-January 2015

Has the Applicant requested confidential commercial information status?

Yes 🗸 No

What documents are affected?

Annex III: Event-specific detection method

Has the Applicant provided justification for confidential commercial information request?

Yes 🖌 No N/A

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together?

Yes No 🗸

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the Applicant?

Yes 🖌 🛛 No

If yes, indicate the reason:

Since the technology behind the Application has been developed solely by the Applicant, the Applicant will gain an exclusive benefit if the Application is approved.

The Applicant indicated that an ECCB would most likely accrue as a result of an approval.

Due date for fees: 20 January 2015 (fees received 17 December 2014)

Application Handbook requirements

 Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the Application Handbook apply to this Application?

 3.1.1, 3.5.1

 Is the checklist completed?

 Yes ✓
 No

 Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?

 Yes ✓
 No

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes 🖌 🛛 No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No 🗸

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes 🖌 No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters: Nil

2

Proposed length of public consultation period:	
6 weeks	
Proposed timeframe for assessment:	
'Early Bird Notification' due:	
Commence assessment (clock start)	17 December 2014
Completion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measure	Mid-April 2015
Public comment	Late April – Mid-June 2015
Board to complete approval	Mid-September 2015
Notification to Forum	Early Oct 2015
Anticipated gazettal if no review requested	Mid-December 2015