

> \_\_\_\_\_  
> From: Mrs Susie Haley (susiehaley@bigpond.com)  
[mailto:cheese@brunyislandcheese.com.au]  
> Sent: Wednesday, 3 September 2008 12:44 PM  
> To: submissions  
> Subject: Re: Proposal P1007 Primary Production and Processing Requirements  
for Raw Milk Products  
>  
> Re: Proposal P1007 Primary Production & Processing Requirements for Raw  
Milk Products (Australia only)  
>  
> I would like to register my support for an amendment to the code to bring  
Australia into line with other major international cheese manufacturing  
countries.  
>  
> My objections to the current standards that prohibit the production and  
sale of most cheese made from raw milk in Australia are as follows:  
>  
> 1.                   The purpose of the Standard is to guarantee safe  
cheese however the assumption that pasteurisation as a single step will  
guarantee safety is not scientifically valid.  
>  
> 2.                   The single critical control point that guarantees  
safety for all cheese varieties is starter culture activity that creates a  
hostile environment to pathogens in the cheese. Starter culture activity  
comprises two biological components, the first is primary fermentation of  
milk sugar to organic acids during cheese making and the second is secondary  
fermentation/metabolism of organic acids, fat and protein during ripening.  
This principal is supported by scientific studies and accepted by all of the  
major cheese producing countries of the world i.e. European Union (EU), USA,  
and Canada.  
>  
> 3.                   The standard is anti-competitive and trade  
restrictive. The standard does not encourage world best practice in  
cheese/milk production and allows the use of milk of poor microbiological  
quality for cheese making.  
>  
> 4.                   The microbiological standards for cheese are overly  
onerous in relation to E.coli and have led to very questionable practices in  
domestic production. The standard is out of step with scientific studies and  
the microbiological standards applied in overseas countries.  
>  
> 5.                   The standard is a breach of Australias commitment to  
WTO Policy, as it cannot be justified on scientific grounds for food safety.  
>  
> WTO Article 5.1 requires members to 'ensure that their sanitary or  
phytosanitary measures are based on an assessment, as appropriate to the  
circumstance, of the risks to human, animal or plant life or health, taking  
into account risk assessment techniques developed by the relevant  
international organizations'.  
>  
> Article 5.2 states in the assessment of risks 'Members shall take into  
account available scientific evidence'.  
>  
> Article 5.4 states 'Members should, when determining the appropriate level  
of sanitary or phytosanitary protection, take into account the objective of  
minimizing trade effects'.  
>

> 6. The Standard is overly prescriptive. It does not meet the Council of Australian Government (COAG) guidelines on primary production and processing standards that stipulate an objective of minimal effective regulation.

>

> 7. The standard is highly discriminatory. It provides for international exemptions such as Roquefort and Swiss cheese but denies Australian cheese makers a choice of making similar cheese from raw milk. Australian artisanal cheese makers deserve to have the opportunity to develop a significant point of difference to enable their products to survive in a competitive market.

>

> 8. Over the past two decades international artisan and farmhouse cheese production has enjoyed a significant growth in demand due to a revolution in consumer interest. Many of these cheeses are made from raw milk and are recognised as having an infinitely superior flavour and regional character when compared to similar cheeses made from pasteurised milk. However unlike their overseas counterparts Australian consumers have been denied a choice of cheeses made from raw milk.

>

> 9. There is no reason why cheese made from raw milk should represent a greater degree of risk than those produced from pasteurised milk provided recognised international guidelines are adopted in Australia.

>

>

> Signed

> Mrs Susie Haley

>

> 3039 Huon Highway

> Franklin Tas 7113

>

>

> \*\*\*\*\*

> This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager.

>

> This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by MIMESweeper for the presence of computer viruses.

>

> [www.clearswift.com](http://www.clearswift.com)

> \*\*\*\*\*

>

>