

20 September 2018
[59-18]

Approval report – Proposal P1048

Code Revision (2018)

Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) has approved amendments to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (the Code) to correct typographical errors and formatting issues and to update references.

On 15 May 2018, FSANZ sought submissions on draft variations and published an associated report. FSANZ received three submissions.

FSANZ approved the draft variation with amendments on 5 September 2018. The Australia and New Zealand Ministerial Forum on Food Regulation (Forum) was notified of FSANZ's decision on 18 September 2018.

This Report is provided pursuant to paragraph 63(1)(b) of the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991* (the FSANZ Act).

Table of contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
1 INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 THE PROPOSAL	3
1.2 THE CURRENT STANDARD	3
1.3 REASONS FOR PREPARING PROPOSAL	3
1.4 PROCEDURE FOR ASSESSMENT	3
1.5 DECISION	3
2 SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS	3
2.1 SUMMARY OF ISSUES RAISED IN SUBMISSIONS	3
2.2 RISK ASSESSMENT	6
2.3 RISK MANAGEMENT	7
2.4 <i>Consultation</i>	7
2.5 FSANZ ACT ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS	7
2.5.1 <i>Section 59</i>	7
2.5.2. <i>Subsection 18(1)</i>	8
ATTACHMENT A – APPROVED DRAFT VARIATION TO THE <i>AUSTRALIA NEW ZEALAND FOOD STANDARDS CODE</i>	9
ATTACHMENT B – EXPLANATORY STATEMENT	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
ATTACHMENT C – DRAFT VARIATIONS TO THE <i>AUSTRALIA NEW ZEALAND FOOD STANDARDS CODE</i> (CALL FOR SUBMISSIONS).....	16

Supporting document

SD1 List of proposed amendments (at Approval)

Executive summary

FSANZ has approved a draft variation to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (the Code) to correct typographical errors, make formatting changes and update references.

The amendments in the approved draft variation are all relatively minor in nature. No potential public health and safety concerns have been identified.

Each amendment is explained in SD1.

1 Introduction

1.1 The Proposal

Proposal P1048 was prepared to make a range of minor amendments to the Code including the correction of typographical errors, formatting issues, and updating of references.

1.2 The current Standard

The approved draft variation will amend Standards 1.1.1, 1.1.2, 1.2.7, 1.2.8, 1.2.10, 1.6.1, 4.2.3, 4.2.5 and Schedules 1, 3, 11, 15, 18, 26 and 29.

1.3 Reasons for preparing Proposal

Minor typographical and grammatical errors and cross-reference issues are identified in the Code from time to time. References in the Code also become superseded as the documents they refer to are updated. This Proposal was prepared to resolve such issues.

1.4 Procedure for assessment

The Proposal was assessed under the General Procedure.

1.5 Decision

The draft variation as proposed following assessment was approved with amendment.

The draft variation was amended to correct additional typographical errors and additional outdated references in the Code that were identified by FSANZ and submitters during the public consultation period (see section 2.1 below). The additional variations were included as items [7] to [13] and [16] to [19] of the approved draft variation. Each additional variation is explained in SD1. The formatting of the draft variation was also amended to improve clarity.

The approved draft variation is at Attachment A. The approved variation takes effect on the date of gazettal.

The explanatory statement is at Attachment B. An explanatory statement is required to accompany an instrument if it is lodged on the Federal Register of Legislation.

The draft variations on which submissions were sought are at Attachment C.

2 Summary of the findings

2.1 Summary of issues raised in submissions

Three submissions were received in response to the call for public submissions.

Two submissions supported the draft amendments and recommended additional amendments. The other submission recommended that text of one draft amendment be changed and queried the rationale for another. It supported all the other amendments. These issues are considered in Table 1 below.

Table: Summary of issues raised by submitters

Issue	Raised by	FSANZ response (including any amendments to drafting)
<p>Amendment made by Item [2] of the Schedule of the draft variation</p> <p>Supports the draft amendment</p> <p>Additional comments on how the Code references external documents and the ad-hoc approach taken to update references in the Code.</p> <p>There are still references in the Code are out of date (two examples provided).</p> <p>FSANZ should adopt a systematic approach to ensure all references in the Code remain up to date. Suggested that FSANZ reference documents ‘as updated from time to time’ consistent with the approach in the EU.</p>	<p>Victorian Department of Health & Human Services and Economic Development, Jobs, Transport & Resources</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>These additional comments are beyond the scope of P1048.</p> <p>FSANZ cannot make a standard that refers to or incorporates an external document ‘as updated from time to time’. Standards made by FSANZ are legislative instruments for the purposes of the <i>Legislation Act 2003</i> (Cth). Section 14 of that Commonwealth Act prohibits a legislative instrument from incorporating any matter contained in another instrument/document as in force or existing from time to time. Therefore, when the Code refers to or incorporates an external document, it must refer to that document as it is at a specific point in time (eg, to a specific edition).</p> <p>The two outdated references identified have been corrected. See the new items [8] – [10A] in the approved draft variation.</p>
<p>Amendment made by Item [1] of the Schedule of the draft variation</p> <p>Supports the draft amendment</p> <p>Recommended an additional amendment to Schedule 22 to make clear that the paragraphs in that Schedule that state “Portion of the commodity to which the MRL and ERL apply...” apply only in Australia.</p>	<p>New Zealand Food and Grocery Council</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Not agreed.</p> <p>Existing Code provisions make clear that the paragraphs in question apply only in Australia. See for example, Note 1 to Schedule 22. It explains which sections of the Code rely on Schedule 22. It also explains that the references to ‘portions’ in Schedule 22 are for the purposes of subsection 1.4.2—3(2) in Standard 1.4.2. Other Code provisions and Notes clearly state that Standard 1.4.2 does not apply in New Zealand.</p>
<p>Amendment made by Items [5] and [6] of the Schedule of the draft variation</p>	<p>New Zealand Food and</p>	<p>Noted</p>

Issue	Raised by	FSANZ response (including any amendments to drafting)
<p>Supports the draft amendments</p> <p>Recommended additional amendments. The references to “small package” in the following should be identified with an asterisks:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Paragraph 1.2.10—3(3)(c); 2. Paragraph 1.2.10—5(1)(a); and 3. possibly in the title of Schedule 13. 	<p>Grocery Council</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agreed. See new item [7] included in the approved draft variation. 2. Not agreed. There is no paragraph 1.2.10—5(1)(a). 3. Not agreed. Asterisks are not used in Standard titles.
<p>Amendment made by Item [9] of the Schedule of the draft variation</p> <p>Supports the draft amendment</p> <p>Item [9] amends subsection S11—4(2). The Call For Submissions explained that the purpose of the amendment is to insert an asterisk and to replace the reference to “named fibre” with “named dietary fibre”. The second amendment is not reflected in the draft variation.</p>	<p>New Zealand Food Safety (New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries)</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>The Call for Submissions’ reference to the second amendment was an error.</p> <p>Prior to the issue of the Call for Submissions, the second amendment was removed from the draft variation.</p> <p>This was because the reference in subsection S11—4(2) to ‘named fibre’ can only be to a named dietary fibre.</p> <p>That is, subsection S11—4(1) states that subsection S11—4(2) applies only for the purposes of subsection 1.2.8—7(7) and section S5—6(2). Both those provisions impose requirements only in relation to dietary fibre.</p>
<p>Amendment made by Item [9] of the Schedule of the draft variation</p> <p>Item [9] amends paragraphs S11—4(2)(a) and (b) by replacing “total dietary fibre” with “dietary fibre”.</p> <p>The Call For Submissions explained that the purpose of this amendment was to be consistent with the Codex definition of dietary fibre and the related Codex standard for recommended methods of analysis and sampling.</p> <p>MPI does not support this amendment as MPI considers the above rationale is insufficient because of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The paragraphs’ reference to “total dietary fibre” is correct as the name of the AOAC method 985.29 or 991.43 includes the term “total”; 2. The method does measure ‘total’ 	<p>New Zealand Food Safety (New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries)</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>FSANZ decided that the amendment was required for the following reasons.</p> <p>The paragraphs’ reference to ‘total’ is not a reference to the name of a method of analysis that is prescribed by those paragraphs (eg, AOAC method 985.29 or 991.43). It is a reference to what is measured by those methods of analysis (compared to the other methods listed in section S11—4), in the context of the definition of dietary fibre in the Code.</p> <p>The methods of analysis prescribed by the paragraphs may not measure the ‘total’</p>

Issue	Raised by	FSANZ response (including any amendments to drafting)
<p>3. Removing “total” will provide less clarity around the list of methods for Code users who already find this provision difficult;</p> <p>4. The Codex definition of “dietary fibre” is not the same as its definition in the Code;</p> <p>5. How “dietary fibre” is used in the Codex Guidelines on Nutrition Labelling CAC/GL 2-1985 is in relation to how it is declared on labels. This is in contrast to subsection 11—4(2) relates to methods of analysis.</p>		<p>dietary fibre in a food, consistent with the definition of dietary fibre in the Code. For example, if a food contains resistant starch, the prescribed methods of analysis will not measure all of the resistant starch in that food and consequently will not measure the ‘total’ dietary fibre (as defined in the Code) in that food.</p> <p>The use of the word ‘total’ in this context is therefore inaccurate. Removing it will improve clarity.</p>

2.2 Risk assessment

All of the issues considered are relatively minor in nature, and fall into the following broad categories:

- correcting minor errors and omissions, and improving clarity**
 The amendments include the correction of typographical errors and incorrect spelling and punctuation, as well as re-wording of text to improve clarity. See Items [1], [3] to [7], [11], [19] to [23], [23A], [26] and [27] in the Schedule of the approved draft variation.
- updating references**
 References to the names of standards or cross-references within the Code have been amended or updated. See Items [12] to [15], [24] and [25] in the Schedule of the approved draft variation.
- updating references to Australian Standards**
 References to the names of Australian Standards have been amended or updated. See Items [9] to [10A] in the Schedule of the approved draft variation.
- updating material from international sources**
 References in the Code to various international publication have been replaced with a reference to a more recent edition of that publication. See items [2], [8], and [16] to [18] in the Schedule of the approved draft variation.

FSANZ has confidence in the specialist abilities of the internationally recognised scientific organisation that produces each publication. FSANZ is satisfied that appropriate and rigorous assessments have been carried out by this body to ensure that there are no public health or safety issues and that the publication can be incorporated by reference in the Code.

No potential public health and safety concerns have been identified.

2.3 Risk management

The proposed amendments will ensure that the Code remains current and that errors and inconsistencies are addressed.

2.4 Risk communication

2.4 Consultation

Consultation is a key part of FSANZ's standards development process. FSANZ acknowledges the time taken by individuals and organisations to make submissions on this Proposal.

Public submissions were invited on draft variations which were released for public comment between 15 May 2018 and 12 June 2018. The call for submissions was notified via the Notification Circular and Food Standards News. Subscribers and interested parties were also notified.

Three submissions were received. All submissions were considered by the FSANZ Board.

2.5 FSANZ Act assessment requirements

2.5.1 Section 59

2.5.1.1 Consideration of costs and benefits

Section 59 requires FSANZ to have regard to whether the direct and indirect benefits that would arise from a food regulatory measure developed as a result of this Proposal will outweigh the costs to the community, Government or industry that would arise from the development of that measure.

Proposal P1048 aims to correct typographical errors; resolve formatting issues; and update references.

As stated above, references in the Code become superseded as the documents they refer to are updated. The draft variation includes new and updated specifications for some permitted substances (such as food additives). This ensures the Code is updated with the latest internationally recognised specifications. Without the draft variation, the Code will remain out of date.

The draft variation is not expected to impose costs on the community, industry or Government that are additional to those already generated by compliance with or enforcement of the current Code.

For this reason, one can reasonably conclude that the benefits to the community, Government or industry that will arise from the draft variation will outweigh the costs that would arise from the development of that measure.

2.5.1.2 Other measures

There are no other measures (whether available to FSANZ or not) that would be more cost-effective than a food regulatory measure developed or varied as a result of the Proposal.

2.5.1.3 Any relevant New Zealand standards

There are no relevant New Zealand only standards. The standards being amended include joint standards.

2.5.1.4 Any other relevant matters

Other relevant matters are considered below.

2.5.2. Subsection 18(1)

FSANZ has also considered the three objectives in subsection 18(1) of the FSANZ Act during the assessment. FSANZ is satisfied that the approved draft variation will not have any impact on measures in place for:

- the protection of public health and safety
- the provision of adequate information relating to food to enable consumers to make informed choices
- the prevention of misleading or deceptive conduct

2.5.3 Subsection 18(2) considerations

FSANZ has also had regard to:

- the need for standards to be based on risk analysis using the best available scientific evidence
- the promotion of consistency between domestic and international food standards
- the desirability of an efficient and internationally competitive food industry
- the promotion of fair trading in food
- any written policy guidelines formulated by the Australia and New Zealand Ministerial Forum on Food Regulation.

In relation to the promotion of consistency between domestic and international food standards, several amendments update or include references to internationally recognised publications. The other issues are not considered relevant to this Proposal.

Attachments

- A. Approved draft variation to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code*
- B. Explanatory Statement
- C. Draft variation to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (call for submissions)

Attachment A – Approved draft variation to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code*



Food Standards (Proposal P1048 – Code Revision (2018)) Variation

The Board of Food Standards Australia New Zealand gives notice of the making of this variation under section 92 of the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991*. The Standard commences on the date specified in clause 3 of this variation.

Dated [To be completed by the Delegate]

Standards Management Officer
Delegate of the Board of Food Standards Australia New Zealand

Note:

This variation will be published in the Commonwealth of Australia Gazette No. FSC **XX on XX Month 20XX**. This means that this date is the gazettal date for the purposes of clause 3 of the variation.

1 Name

This instrument is the *Food Standards (Proposal P1048 – Code Revision (2018)) Variation*.

2 Variation to standards in the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code*

The Schedule varies Standards in the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code*.

3 Commencement

The variation commences on the date of gazettal.

SCHEDULE

Standard 1.1.1 – Structure of the Code and general provisions

[1] Subsection 1.1.1—2(2)

Omit

Schedule 22 Foods and classes of foods

Note Applies in Australia only

substitute

Schedule 22 Foods and classes of foods

Standard 1.1.2 – Definitions used throughout the Code

[2] Subsection 1.1.2—2(3) (definition of *permitted flavouring substance*)

Omit '2015 (edition 27)', substitute '2018 (edition 28)'

[3] Subsection 1.1.2—9(1)(a)(i)

Omit '*fat', substitute 'fat'

Standard 1.2.7 – Nutrition, health and related claims

[4] Section 1.2.7—4

Omit '*nutrition content claim', substitute 'nutrition content claim'

Standard 1.2.8 – Nutrition information requirements

[5] Paragraph 1.2.8—5(2)(b)

Omit 'small package', substitute '*small package'

[6] Subsection 1.2.8—14(1)

Omit 'small package', substitute '*small package'

Standard 1.2.10 – Information requirements – characterising ingredients and components of food

[7] Paragraph 1.2.10—3(3)(c)

Omit 'small package', substitute '*small package'

Standard 1.6.1 – Microbiological limits in food

[8] Subparagraph 1.6.1—3(5)(a)(iii)(B)

Omit 'ISO 16140:2003', substitute 'ISO 16140.2:2016'

Standard 4.2.3 – Production and Processing Standard for Meat

- [9] **Clause 3 (definition of *HACCP plan*)**
Omit 'AS-4696-2002', substitute 'AS-4696-2007'
- [10] **Clause 4 (Table 1)**
Omit 'AS4696-2002', substitute 'AS-4696-2007'
- [10A] **Clause 4 (Editorial Note)**
Omit 'AS4696-2002', substitute 'AS-4696-2007'

Standard 4.2.5 – Primary Production and Processing Standard for Eggs and Egg Product

- [11] **Subclause 2(2) (Editorial Note after the definition of *food safety management statement*)**
Omit 'draft Standard 4.1.1.', substitute 'Standard 4.1.1.'
- [12] **Subclause 2(2) (Editorial Note after the definition of *unacceptable egg*)**
Omit 'Standard 1.1.1', substitute 'Standard 1.1.2'
- [13] **Clause 23 (Editorial Note)**
Omit 'Standard 1.2.3', substitute 'Schedule 9'

Schedule 1 – RDIs and ESADDIs

- [14] **Section S1—4**
Omit '1.1.2—14(a)', substitute '1.1.2—14(3)(a)'
- [15] **Section S1—5**
Omit '1.1.2—14(d)', substitute '1.1.2—14(3)(c)'

Schedule 3 – Identity and purity

- [16] **Paragraph S3—2(1)(b)**
Omit
(xi) FAO JECFA Monographs 19 (2016); or
substitute
(xi) FAO JECFA Monographs 19 (2016);
(xii) FAO JECFA Monographs 20 (2017); or
- [17] **Paragraph S3—2(1)(c)**
Omit '(2016) Food chemicals codex. 10th ed', substitute '(2018) Food chemicals codex. 11th ed'
- [18] **Paragraph S3—3(jj)**
Omit '(2017)', substitute '(2018)'
- [19] **Paragraph S3—28(2)(a)**
Omit 'mg/kg', substitute 'mg/g'

Schedule 11 – Calculation of values for nutrition information panel

- [20] **Section S11—4**
Omit subsections (2) and (3), substitute
(2) The total *dietary fibre, and amount of any specifically named fibre, in a food must be determined in accordance with any one or more of the methods contained in

following sections of the AOAC:

- (a) for dietary fibre—sections 985.29 or 991.43;
 - (b) for dietary fibre (including all resistant maltodextrins)—section 2001.03;
 - (c) for inulin and fructooligosaccharide—section 997.08;
 - (d) for inulin—section 999.03;
 - (e) for polydextrose—section 2000.11;
 - (f) for resistant starch—section 2002.02.
- (3) If the dietary fibre content of a food has been determined by more than 1 method of analysis, the total dietary fibre content is calculated by:
- (a) adding together the results from each method of analysis; and
 - (b) subtracting any portion of dietary fibre which has been included in the results of more than one method of analysis.

Schedule 15 – Substances that may be used as food additives

[21] Section S15—5 (table)

Omit '9.3.2', substitute '9.3.1'

Schedule 18 – Processing aids

[22] Section S18—3

Omit

Dimethyldialkylammonium chloride

GMP

Technological purpose—decolourants, clarifying, filtration and adsorbent agents

Substitute

Dimethyldialkylammonium chloride

GMP

[23] Subsection S18—9(3) (Heading to the table)

Omit 'Technological purpose' wherever occurring, substitute 'Technological purpose and food'

[23A] Subsection S18—9(3) (Heading to the table)

Omit 'Maximum permitted and food level (mg/kg)' wherever occurring, substitute 'Maximum permitted level (mg/kg)'

Schedule 26 – Food produced using gene technology

[24] Subsection S26—3(4) (Item 1(g) of the table)

Omit 'products', substitute 'products (see subsection (2))'

[25] Subsection S26—3(4) (Item 6(b) of the table)

Omit 'GR2E', substitute 'GR2E (see subsection (2A))'

Schedule 29 – Special purpose foods

[26] Subsection S29—14(1)

Omit 'section 2.9.3—5', substitute 'sections 2.9.3—5 and 2.9.3—6'

[27] Section S29—20 (table)

Omit

L-cystine

L-glutamic acid

Substitute

L-cystine

L-glutamic acid

Attachment B – Draft Explanatory Statement

1. Authority

Section 13 of the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991* (the FSANZ Act) provides that the functions of Food Standards Australia New Zealand (the Authority) include the development of standards and variations of standards for inclusion in the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (the Code).

Division 2 of Part 3 of the FSANZ Act specifies that the Authority may prepare a proposal for the development or variation of food regulatory measures, including standards. This Division also stipulates the procedure for considering a proposal for the development or variation of food regulatory measures.

The Authority prepared Proposal P1048 to make a range of minor amendments to the Code including the correction of typographical errors, formatting issues, and updating of references. The Authority considered the Proposal in accordance with Division 2 of Part 3 and has approved a draft variation with amendments.

Following consideration by the Australia and New Zealand Ministerial Forum on Food Regulation, section 92 of the FSANZ Act stipulates that the Authority must publish a notice about the standard or draft variation of a standard.

Section 94 of the FSANZ Act specifies that a standard, or a variation of a standard, in relation to which a notice is published under section 92 is a legislative instrument, but is not subject to parliamentary disallowance or sunseting under the *Legislation Act 2003*.

2. Purpose

The Authority has approved the variation because minor typographical and grammatical errors and formatting and cross referencing issues are identified in the Code from time-to-time. References in the Code also become superseded as the documents they refer to are updated. This Proposal was prepared to resolve such issues.

3. Documents incorporated by reference

The approved draft variation does not incorporate any documents by reference not already incorporated into the current Code. It updates the following existing references in the Code (see sections 6.3 and 6.4 below):

- Generally Recognised as Safe (GRAS) lists of flavouring substances published by the Flavour and Extract Manufacturers' Association of the United States;
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO) Standard 16140-2;
- Australian Standard 4696:2002 -- Hygienic Production and Transportation of Meat and Meat Products for Human Consumption;
- Combined Compendium of Food Additive Specifications, FAO JECFA Monographs 1 (2005), Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome;
- United States Pharmacopeial Convention (2016) Food chemicals codex. 10th ed, United States Pharmacopeial Convention, Rockville, MD; and
- International Oenological Codex (2017), Organisation Internationale de la Vigne et du Vin (OIV).

4. Consultation

In accordance with the procedure in Division 2 of Part 3 of the FSANZ Act, the Authority's consideration of Proposal P1048 included one round of consultation following an assessment and the preparation of draft variations to a number of Standards and an associated assessment summary. Submissions were called for on 15 May 2018 for a four-week consultation period.

A Regulation Impact Statement was not required because the variation is likely to have a minor impact on business and individuals.

5. Statement of compatibility with human rights

This instrument is exempt from the requirements for a statement of compatibility with human rights as it is a non-disallowable instrument under section 94 of the FSANZ Act.

6. Variations

6.1 Correcting minor errors and omissions

Items [1], [3] to [7], [11], [19] to [23], [23A], [26] and [27] of the Schedule to the approved draft variation include amendments to correct minor errors and omissions to format, text and punctuation, as well to improve the clarity of some text.

6.2 Updating references

Items [12] to [15], [24] and [25] of the Schedule to the approved draft variation include amendments to correct cross references.

6.3 Updating material from Australian Standards

Items [9] and [10A] of the Schedule update references to an Australian Standard.

6.4 Updating material from international sources

Items [2], [8] and [16] to [18] of the Schedule update references to international publications.

Attachment C – Draft variation to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (call for submissions)



Food Standards (Proposal P1048 – Code Revision (2018)) Variation

The Board of Food Standards Australia New Zealand gives notice of the making of this variation under section 92 of the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991*. The Standard commences on the date specified in clause 3 of this variation.

Dated [To be completed by the Delegate]

Insert name of Delegate
Delegate of the Board of Food Standards Australia New Zealand

Note:

This variation will be published in the Commonwealth of Australia Gazette No. FSC XX on XX Month 2018. This means that this date is the gazettal date for the purposes of clause 3 of the variation.

1 Name

This instrument is the *Food Standards (Proposal P1048 – Code Revision (2018)) Variation*.

2 Variation to standards in the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code*

The Schedule varies Standards in the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code*.

3 Commencement

The variation commences on the date of gazettal.

SCHEDULE

Standard 1.1.1 – Structure of the Code and general provisions

[1] Subsection 1.1.1—2(2)

Omit

Schedule 22 Foods and classes of foods

Note Applies in Australia only

substitute

Schedule 22 Foods and classes of foods

Standard 1.1.2 – Definitions used throughout the Code

[2] Subsection 1.1.2—2(3)

Omit '2015 (edition 27)', substitute '2017 (edition 28)'

[3] Subsection 1.1.2—9(1)(a)(i)

Omit '*fat', substitute 'fat'

Standard 1.2.7 – Nutrition, health and related claims

[4] Section 1.2.7—4

Omit '*nutrition content claim', substitute 'nutrition content claim'

Standard 1.2.8 – Nutrition information requirements

[5] Paragraph 1.2.8—5(2)(b)

Omit 'small package', substitute '*small package'

[6] Subsection 1.2.8—14(1)

Omit 'small package', substitute '*small package'

Schedule 1 – RDIs and ESADDIs

[7] Section S1—4

Omit '1.1.2—14(a)', substitute '1.1.2—14(3)(a)'

[8] Section S1—5

Omit '1.1.2—14(d)', substitute '1.1.2—14(3)(c)'

Schedule 11 – Calculation of values for nutrition information panel

[9] Section 11—4

Omit subsections (2) and (3), substitute

- (2) The total *dietary fibre, and amount of any specifically named fibre, in a food must be determined in accordance with any one or more of the methods contained in

following sections of the AOAC:

- (a) for dietary fibre—sections 985.29 or 991.43;
 - (b) for dietary fibre (including all resistant maltodextrins)—section 2001.03;
 - (c) for inulin and fructooligosaccharide—section 997.08;
 - (d) for inulin—section 999.03;
 - (e) for polydextrose—section 2000.11.
- (3) If the dietary fibre content of a food has been determined by more than 1 method of analysis, the total dietary fibre content is calculated by:
- (a) adding together the results from each method of analysis; and
 - (b) subtracting any portion of dietary fibre which has been included in the results of more than one method of analysis.

Schedule 15 – Substances that may be used as food additives

[10] Section 15—5

Omit '9.3.2', substitute '9.3.1'

Schedule 18 – Processing aids

[11] Subsection S18—3

Omit the second 'Technological purpose—decolourants, clarifying, filtration and adsorbent agents'.

[12] Subsection S18—9(3)

Omit

<i>Substance</i>	<i>Technological purpose</i>	<i>Maximum permitted and food level (mg/kg)</i>
Substitute		

<i>Substance</i>	<i>Technological purpose and food</i>	<i>Maximum permitted level (mg/kg)</i>
------------------	---------------------------------------	--

Schedule 26 – Food produced using gene technology

[13] Subsection S26—3(4) paragraph 1(g)

Omit 'products', substitute 'products (see subsection (2A))'

[14] Subsection S26—3(4) paragraph 6(b)

Omit 'GR2E', substitute 'GR2E (see subsection (2A))'

Schedule 29 – Special purpose foods

[15] Subsection S29—14(1)

Omit 'section 2.9.3—5', substitute 'sections 2.9.3—5 and 2.9.3—6'

[16] The table to Section S29—20

Omit

L-cystine

L-glutamic acid

Substitute

L-cystine

L-glutamic aci