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Administrative Assessment Report – Application A1159

Triacylglycerol lipase from *Trichoderma reesei* as a processing aid (enzyme)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date received: 22 January 2018</th>
<th>Date due for completion of administrative assessment: 14 February 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date completed: 13 February 2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant: DuPont Australia Pty Ltd</td>
<td>Potentially affected Schedule: 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief description of Application:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To permit the use of the enzyme lipase, triacylglycerol from <em>Trichoderma reesei</em> as a processing aid for the production of bakery products and cereal-based beverages.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure: General</td>
<td>Estimated total hours: 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasons why: Seeking permission for a new microbial source for permitted enzyme as a processing aid</td>
<td>Provisional estimated start work: Mid-August 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision**

Application accepted

Date: 13 February 2018
Has the Applicant requested confidential commercial information status?
Yes ✔ No

What documents are affected?
Sections of Appendix B and all of Appendix E

Has the Applicant provided justification for confidential commercial information request?
Yes ✔ No

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together?
Yes No ✔

**Charges**

Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the Applicant?
Yes ✔ No

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?
Yes ✔ No ✔ Not known

**Application Handbook requirements**

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the Application Handbook apply to this Application?
3.1.1, 3.3.2

Is the checklist completed?
Yes ✔ No

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?
Yes ✔ No

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?
Yes ✔ No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?
Yes ✔ No

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?
Yes ✔ No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:
General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:
Nil

**Consultation & assessment timeframe**

Proposed length of public consultation period:
6 weeks
**Proposed timeframe for assessment:**

**‘Early Bird Notification’ due:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commence assessment (clock start)</td>
<td>Mid-Sept 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion of assessment &amp; preparation of draft food reg measure</td>
<td>Mid-Jan 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public comment</td>
<td>Late Jan–early March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board to complete approval</td>
<td>Mid-June 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notification to Forum</td>
<td>Late June 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated gazettal if no review requested</td>
<td>Early Sept 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>