13 December 2013
[23-13]

Administrative Assessment Report – Application A1094
Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Cotton Line DAS-81910-7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date received:</th>
<th>15 November</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date due for completion of administrative assessment:</td>
<td>6 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date completed:</td>
<td>3 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant:</td>
<td>Dow AgroSciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentially affected Standard</td>
<td>Standard 1.5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Brief description of Application:**
To seek permission for food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton genetically modified to provide resistance to 2,4-D and glufosinate-ammonium.

**Procedure:**
General

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated total hours:</th>
<th>Maximum 350</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reasons why:</td>
<td>This kind of application involves an assessment of the potential risk to public health and safety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated start work:</td>
<td>January 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision**

Application accepted

Date: 3 December 2013
Has the Applicant requested confidential commercial information status?
Yes ✔ No

What documents are affected?
One confidential attachment in Study ID#110752

Has the Applicant provided justification for confidential commercial information request?
Yes ✔ No N/A

**Charges**

Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the Applicant?
Yes ✔ No

If yes, indicate the reason:
Since the technology behind the Application has been developed solely by the Applicant, the Applicant will gain an exclusive benefit if the Application is approved.
The Applicant indicated they believed an ECCB was appropriate.

Due date for fees: 6 January 2014 (fees received 11 December 2013)

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?
Yes No ✔ Not known

**Application Handbook requirements**

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the Application Handbook apply to this Application?
3.1.1, 3.5.1

Is the checklist completed?
Yes ✔ No

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?
Yes ✔ No

If no, where did the application fail to meet requirements?
The electronic version was not identical to the hard copy as required by guideline 3.1.1B
Two studies that should have been supplied were omitted from the original dossier but were supplied on request (on 21 November 2013).

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?
Yes ✔ No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?
Yes ✔ No

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?
Yes ✔ No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:
General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:
Nil
### Consultation & assessment timeframe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed length of public consultation period:</th>
<th>6 weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed timeframe for assessment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Early Bird Notification’ due: 18 December 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commence assessment (clock start)</td>
<td>11 December 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion of assessment &amp; preparation of draft food reg measure</td>
<td>Mid-March 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public comment</td>
<td>Late March – Mid-May 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board to complete approval</td>
<td>Mid-August 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notification to Forum</td>
<td>Late August 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated gazetral if no review requested</td>
<td>Early November 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>