

2 October 2015
[24-15]

Administrative Assessment Report – Application A1118

Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Corn Line MON87419

Date received: 11 August 2015		
Date due for completion of administrative assessment: 1 September 2015		
Date completed: 1 September 2015		
Applicant: Monsanto Australia Ltd		Potentially affected Standard
Brief description of Application: To seek approval for food derived from genetically modified corn line MON87419 which is tolerant to dicamba and glufosinate herbicide.		Schedule 26 (revised Code commencing 1 March 2016)
Procedure: General	Estimated total hours: Maximum 350 hours Reasons why: This kind of application involves an assessment of the potential risk to public health and safety	Provisional estimated start work: Mid-September 2015

Decision

Application accepted

Date: 1 September 2015

Has the Applicant requested confidential commercial information status?

Yes No

What documents are affected?

Specified information in 4 studies (MSL0025902; MSL0025907; MSL0025920; MSL0026123)

Has the Applicant provided justification for confidential commercial information request?

Yes No N/A

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the Applicant?

Yes No

If yes, indicate the reason:

Since the technology behind the Application has been developed solely by the Applicant, the Applicant will gain an exclusive benefit if the Application is approved.

The Applicant indicated that an ECCB was appropriate.

Due date for fees: 30 September 2015 (fees received 30 September 2015)

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No Not known

Application Handbook requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application?

3.1.1, 3.5.1

Is the checklist completed?

Yes No

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?

Yes No

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

Nil

Consultation & assessment timeframe

Proposed length of public consultation period:

6 weeks

Proposed timeframe for assessment:

'Early Bird Notification' due: 30 September 2015

Commence assessment (clock start)	30 Sept 2015
Completion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measure	Late Jan 2016
Public comment	Early Feb–Mid-March 2016
Board to complete approval	Mid-June 2016
Notification to Forum	Late June 2016
Anticipated gazettal if no review requested	Early Sept 2016